

Headline report

Meeting name	Code Change and Development Group – MHHS SCR	Purpose of paper	Information
Meeting number	19	Classification	Public
Date and time	20 July 2021		

Synopsis **Summary of the nineteenth CCDG meeting and actions arising**

1. Introduction, apologies and meeting objectives

- 1.1 The Chair introduced CCDG19 and confirmed those in attendance.
- 1.2 The Chair confirmed that the meeting objectives were to:
 - Discuss CCDG membership and more specifically new applications.
 - Provide feedback from the CCDG Transition consultation webinar and discuss further comments.
 - Provide an update on the MHHS Programme.
 - Discuss and agree remaining deliverables for CCDG by April 2022.

2. Updates on other SCR work streams

- 2.1 The SCR update can be found in the slide deck that was used during the CCDG19 meeting.
- 2.2 The group's discussion was mainly focused on Ofgem's letter (Wednesday, 14 July 2021) with regards to their preliminary decision on the AWG recommendation for the reference architecture of the MHHS Target Operating Model (TOM).
- 2.3 A CCDG member asked whether the AWG is going to be brought back together again following the consultation. Elexon commented that there might be other ways to source the information needed but all options could be considered.
- 2.4 The same CCDG member noted his disappointment at Ofgem's decision for the CCDG to continue to discuss the appointments process. He also added that the AWG discussion and business requirements prompted that the registration service was the single source of truth. Elexon clarified that the group needs to work on this at a much greater level of detail. Elexon added that the CCDG already has a good picture of what is needed and most of the discussion is going to be on technical aspects with a variety of ways to work through the CCDG requirements. It also advised that, at some point, an architectural view needs to be produced to show the flow of data and how this data is to be transferred.
- 2.5 Elexon advised that, as far as the CCDG is concerned, the group has to wait for more developments. It also added that a logical data flow must be agreed upon and identify any compromises associated with this i.e. cost.

3. CCDG membership – update on new applications

- 3.1 Elexon noted that it had received some applications of interest to join the CCDG. It clarified that at this point a confirmation from Ofgem is still pending and existing CCDG members will be notified soon after Ofgem finalises their decision.

Headline report

- 3.2 A CCDG member asked whether Elexon could share what kind of backgrounds and work experience the new members possess. Elexon said that new members range from Supplier Agents to domestic and non-domestic Suppliers therefore bringing a lot of knowledge with them.

4. CCDG Transition consultation – webinar feedback

- 4.1 Elexon provided a brief overview of the [webinar](#) it hosted on Wednesday 7 July 2021 with regards to the CCDG Transition consultation.
- 4.2 Elexon noted that the webinar was very well received. It added that the main takeaways were the interest in registration requirements and also about the length of the migration period. Regarding the migration period, Elexon informed the audience that it should not be extended as it should be parallel to the legacy systems and that the CCDG is not going to change its recommendations.
- 4.3 Other CCDG members that attended this webinar noted that it went well.
- 4.4 Elexon also noted that it will gather all responses to the transition consultation and aiming to share the public ones with the members and also produce a summary of those ahead of the August CCDG meeting.

5. Update on the MHHS Programme

Current design status

- 5.1 A CCDG member pointed out that drafting the legal text (BSCPs) should be the main activity and something that should be leading the processes. The Programme team explained that there are not any proposals to put anything forward at the moment with regards to processes and activities but the key message is that the solution will be organised in such a way to derive to the logical design. The Programme team added that it will consider various platforms and connection methods driven by the current design diagram.

Mobilisation Work ongoing

- 5.2 A CCDG member asked whether the CCDG will be continuing for a long time. The Programme team explained that it is expected the CCDG will continue as long as the Programme is mobilising and then break the group down in different subgroups depending on the needs of the Programme. It also noted that, at a high-level, the Programme could potentially enable the creation of a Technical Support Group, Architecture Group and a Requirements and Process refining Group. Elexon noted that continuity of the CCDG is imperative even in terms of transitioning to these groups and that it has to be ensured that all of the historical working is not lost.

Current Programme Team structure

- 5.3 A CCDG member asked what the responsibilities of the Separation Lead role are. The Programme team clarified that this role will be looking at the process and requirements for separating the MHHS Programme from Elexon's main functions.

MHHS Governance Model

- 5.4 The Programme team noted that the governance model will require constituency-based representation at key programme forums, including at the Steering Group. It is likely that the membership will include the following representatives: two Supplier and Supplier Agent representatives; one DNO representative; and one IDNO representative. Ofgem will be supervising Level 3 and Level 3 meetings.
- 5.5 A CCDG member noted that there will be some cross-over between those levels and suggested debating about Faster Switching before coming into the Programme. The Programme team advised that there will be a separate group for this within the Programme. It also informed that the team will be coming back to the CCDG to get its views on the governance arrangements.
- 5.6 A CCDG member noted an Ofgem announcement that was published on 20 July 2021 with regards to setting up an End-to-end Design Group and asked whether the Programme team is monitoring this. The Programme team said it recognises that a detailed design workgroup needs to be created. It added that it will set up a group

Headline report

of people to answer the questions Ofgem has put forward however there is a gap around thinking about transition and AWG closing down. The same CCDG member stressed that it would be necessary for the Programme to monitor these things and whether these are being achieved or not. The Programme team ensured that it is currently looking at those questions and also monitoring the various milestones **and agreed** to discuss these in the next CCDG meeting in August 2021. The CCDG member also highlighted the importance of having a more granular plan setting out the timeline for the next six to nine months ideally before the redlining begins.

- 5.7 Another CCDG member pointed out that part of the AWG's output was a list of documents that prompted CCDG to carry on the work. The Programme team explained that those documents feed into the planning to define targets and that it will review those documents in the next few weeks.
- 5.8 Another CCDG member added that there needs to be a detailed sequence for developing the milestones. The Programme team noted that there are a number of issues from a design perspective that need to be dealt with before the redlining begins.

6. Agree remaining deliverables for CCDG by April 2022

- 6.1 A CCDG member noted that Friday morning meetings are not enough for evaluating the registration processes and suggested to create a separate group with people that actually run the registration services. It was also noted that the Draft CCDG Transition Plan has changes due to be implemented in the Registration Service in Nov22/Feb23 therefore the requirements need to be developed and agreed in the next few months. He also noted that another big area to be looked at is the Smart Data Service (SDS) which could drive more questions from the industry as it is a completely new role. The same CCDG member suggested to create two subgroups, which should run in parallel, and focus on those two items and come up with something to address any questions by the end of September.
- 6.2 Another CCDG member commented that Friday meetings covered a lot of items but have not kept track of the decisions. He also noted that more people from the industry need to attend those meetings and not only people from Elexon. Elexon explained that although there are a lot of central systems requirements, it could do a lot of this lifting. The same CCDG member added that it is necessary for the group to start thinking what the actual requirements are about the design questions before the work on other deliverables begins. Elexon advised that the Friday meetings can be used to bring those areas up to a level when the Friday group is ready to be split into two subgroups starting with the SDS. Elexon agreed to form a plan of action and prioritise time against those items.
- 6.3 The NGESO representative noted that the outstanding item relating to the ESO was the Access and Forward Looking Charges SCR and whether there was anything that could impact data use. She confirmed that the ESO has a better idea about data requirements noting that these should be discussed with Elexon and bring a proposal to the CCDG; she also added that it would be necessary to capture the requirements resulting from the [P402 BSC Modification 'Enabling reform of residual network charging as directed by the Targeted Charging Review'](#).
- 6.4 The DNOs representative said that she will pass this on to the DNOs and IDNOs and invite them to join the registration subgroup.