

Headline report

Meeting name	Code Change and Development Group – MHHS SCR	Purpose of paper	Information
Meeting number	21	Classification	Public
Date and time	21 September 2021		

Synopsis **Summary of the twenty-first CCDG meeting and actions arising**

1. Introduction, apologies and meeting objectives

- 1.1 The Chair introduced CCDG21 and confirmed those in attendance.
- 1.2 The Chair confirmed that the meeting objectives were to:
 - Provide an update on the MHHS Programme.
 - Provide an update on the Registration subgroup discussions.
 - Provide an overview and discuss the approach to the transition deliverable for Ofgem.
 - Provide an update on the MHHS Document Prototyping.

2. Other updates

- 2.1 The Ofgem representative provided an update with regards to some Ofgem-led activities happening at the moment:

Authority-led code modification proposals

- 2.1.1 Ofgem issued a [decision letter](#) on 11 August 2021 setting out the code modifications that are required in order to put in place the MHHS implementation and governance arrangements. The following codes will be taking forward relevant modifications:
 - Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) – BSC Modification 423
 - Distribution Connection and Use of System Agreement (DCUSA) - DCP393
 - Connection and Use of System Code (CUSC) – CMP378
 - Smart Energy Code (SEC) – MP180
 - Retail Energy Code (REC) – R00031

- 2.1.2 In its decision letter Ofgem also confirmed that the Governance Framework for implementation will be largely as proposed in the consultation, with some changes and clarifications such as increasing the membership of the Programme Steering Group.
- 2.1.3 Ofgem also noted that it will proceed with procuring the Independent Programme Assurance (IPA) provider in accordance with the principles and measures detailed in the consultation.

Smart Meter Communication Licence amendment

- 2.2 Ofgem also published their [decision](#) with regards to their Statutory Consultation on the proposed changes to Licence Condition 21 of the Smart Meter Communication Licence. The Ofgem representative highlighted that these changes are necessary to ensure compliance by DCC with the proposed provisions to be placed in the BSC about the governance and management of MHHS implementation and other obligations in respect of programme assurance.

Headline report

Policy – Electricity Supply Standard Licence Condition (SLC) 47

- 2.3 The Ofgem representative also noted that Ofgem is continuing to work on the proposed amended SLC47 text which will transpose all the policies with regards to data access for settlement into the legal framework. Ofgem is aiming to consult on the amended SLC47 text in the next few weeks.

3. Update on the MHHS Programme

Mobilisation Work Ongoing

- 3.1 A CCDG member asked whether more Business Analysts would need to be recruited. The Programme team noted that it will kick off with three Business Analysts at the moment and based on how the Programme progresses it could recruit more.
- 3.2 Another CCDG members asked how Level 4 meetings would interact with the CCDG and whether there is going to be continuation. The Programme team advised that the CCDG will have only one more meeting before the Programme is initiated and that the team will soon be advising on how the CCDG will continue to operate.

MHHS Programme Design Delivery – POAP Draft

- 3.3 A CCDG member asked whether the Programme team will be engaging with members who are interested in joining Level 4 meetings and creating a plan/stream of activities. The Programme team advised that Level 4 meetings are planned to start in mid-November based on the assumption that it will get a good response from participants wanting to populate these meetings. The Programme team also added that it will get in touch with interested members for further details on the construction of the groups, timelines etc. The same CCDG member also asked whether the Review Cycle 2 item on the plan would be necessary. The Programme team confirmed Level 4 groups can make decisions provided all industry constituencies are represented. Otherwise, further consultation may be required.
- 3.4 Another CCDG member asked why the central systems development is not acting as a stream within the plan. The Programme team confirmed that Elexon is developing changes in the central systems under the Helix project. The Level 4 Business Process Workgroup will allow Elexon to feed central system development into the Programme so that everything works. The same CCDG member asked whether the industry will be feeding into these processes. The Programme team noted that it will be a two-way thing i.e. the MHHS will be feeding information to Helix and vice-versa; at the same time a low-level design work has been ongoing for the central system changes. The Programme team also explained that the Physical Design should be the continuation of the AWG work and an update on this will be provided shortly.
- 3.5 A CCDG member asked what the remits of the different Workgroups will be. The Programme team ensured that it will be developing clear Terms of Reference for these groups.
- 3.6 Another CCDG member commented on the nature of the data the various participants/agents will be receiving from the programme and asked about the BSC Central Services alignment work stream. The Programme team advised that this work stream will be a two-way work stream to feed any design issues back to Project Helix or the Programme enabling them to align the requirements. The same CCDG member suggested changing the wording on the plan.
- 3.7 A CCDG member asked what the number of vendors is at the moment working on the physical design of the central systems. The Programme team confirmed there are currently four vendors bidding for the respective activities however the selection depends on the magnitude of the timelines so that they can feed in the longer-term processes as well.

4. Registration subgroup discussion and enabling changes

- 4.1 A CCDG member asked whether the Import Export Relationship MPAN is the same as the Associated MPANs items on ECOES. Elexon advised that Associated MPANs on ECOES show other MPANs that share the same MSN as the MPAN in a record, so where the same HH meter is being used to measure import and export,

Headline report

these MPANs are linked because they share the same MSN. Where there is a stand-alone export meter with a different MSN, this would not be captured as an associated MPAN on ECOES. Associated MPANs applies to all MPANs that share the same MSN, including related twin-element meters and crossed meters. There is currently no link between Import and Export MPANs in ECOES or MPRS, which is what the Import Export Relationship MPAN seeks to provide under MHHS.

- 4.2 Elexon questioned whether there might still be value in including the Domestic Premises Indicator in the scope of the enabling changes even if Consent Granularity is not, on the basis that it would help identify domestic CT sites for the purposes of the CoMC obligation. Because this item is mastered by Suppliers in CSS and could be passed back to SMRS, Suppliers would not need to make changes to update it directly. A CCDG member noted that this could be used by the MDR when collecting data, to which Elexon agreed and noted that this will be in place for the start of migration but does not impact the enabling changes. Discussion at Friday subgroup meetings had suggested that this would require a change to CSS, adding cost and complexity while not being as critical to the enabling registration change as the other data items, and so was excluded.
- 4.3 Another CCDG member asked what the plan will be around the population and cleanse approach for each new data item and whether any feedback would be needed from CCDG members. Elexon explained that there should be a managed process for cleansing as amending any wrong data items might become a bit complicated. It added that post implementation cleanse is a bit further away from being published and what matters now is focusing more on the population rules. The same member asked whether CCDG would need to review the post implementation approach. Elexon advised that it will try and send something around to the members for further discussion.
- 4.4 A CCDG member commented that the reporting based on the sharepoint should be going forward and be visible to the industry in order to keep progressing it.

5. Put-back and overview of the transition deliverable for Ofgem

- 5.1 Elexon noted that this document covers the recommendations without the details set out in the consultation. A CCDG member commented that there might be a danger certain things are missed out if more items continue to be added to that document and he stressed that there should be a level of flexibility in accommodating changes.
- 5.2 The DNO representative asked whether the industry would have any involvement in those recommendations. Elexon noted that Ofgem will respond to these recommendations and some of those would need to be fed back to the Programme. Elexon also added that this recommendations will prompt a number of changes to be implemented by 2023 and then the various code bodies will make the respective changes to their systems aligning with CCDG's recommendations.
- 5.3 The group discussed the scope of the transition deliverable document for Ofgem. A number of CCDG members questioned what this document was supposed to deliver and whether it would be repeating information from the consultation document. A CCDG member suggested to include the put-back content into the transition deliverable document and should there be any substantial comments from parties these could be done separately between the put-back content and the transition deliverable. The Ofgem representative also suggested to keep a single document. Elexon agreed this will simplify things and that this document should be published soon. Another CCDG member asked whether a request should be made for Ofgem to respond on some specific subjects within the transition deliverable document.
- 5.4 With regards to the early rationalisation of UMS meters, the DNO representative noted that she will circulate some suggested dates for the respective workgroup. A number of CCDG members expressed their interest to attend these workgroups.
- 5.5 Elexon agreed with the group to include the put-back content into the transition deliverable document and upload on MS Teams asking members to comment until Tuesday 28 September. Elexon is planning to send this document to Ofgem by Thursday 30 September.

Headline report

6. MHHS Document Prototype

- 6.1 A number of CCDG members agreed that a document walkthrough would be very useful as these documents tend to become very complicated. A CCDG member stressed that there could be some similarity between business process descriptions with the BSCPs without adding any value. The Programme team agreed and noted that there will be some correlation between the two to make sure they do not have any requirements that are not met.
- 6.2 Another CCDG member asked whether there is a sample of what is expected to be published in April 2022. Elexon explained that it is currently working on the prototypes. The Programme team added that there will be elements leading to the solution but it is aiming to get a full set of documentation as complete as possible by April.
- 6.3 A CCDG member noted that the data flows and catalogue should be a single document and asked whether these will be baselined documents. The Programme team confirmed that there will be a version control going forward and the baseline should be complete by April.
- 6.4 Another CCDG member asked whether any non-settlement business requirements will be captured in these documents. Elexon noted that these will be included as there is also a work stream dedicated to recognising outstanding areas and who would be responsible looking at those issues.