CP Consultation Responses

CP1517 'Changes to BSC Configurable **ELEXON** Items for the TERRE Final Implementation Date'



Consultation Respondents					
Respondent No. of Parties/Non- Role(s) Represented					
Quorum Development	1	Software supplier			

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019 Version 1.0 Page 1 of 7 © ELEXON Limited 2019

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
Quorum Development	√	√	√	✓

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019
Version 1.0
Page 2 of 7
© ELEXON Limited 2019

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1517 updated solution following the first CP consultation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Quorum Development	Yes	Non provided

Question 2: Do you agree that the updated draft redlining as part of this second CP1517 consultation delivers the CP1517 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
0	1	0	0

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Quorum Development	No	See comments below

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019 Version 1.0 Page 3 of 7 © ELEXON Limited 2019

Question 3: Will CP1517 impact your organisation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Quorum	Yes	The impact is a matter of commercial confidentiality
Development		between us and our customers

Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1517?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Quorum	Yes	The cost is a matter of commercial confidentiality
Development		between us and our customers

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019 Version 1.0 Page 4 of 7 © ELEXON Limited 2019

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1517?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Quorum Development	Yes	None provided

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019		
Version 1.0		
Page 5 of 7		
© ELEXON Limited 2019		

NETA IDD Part 1 Document

Respondent	Location	Comment
	4.13.11.2	Section 4.13.11.2: Body Record Bid Acceptance
	4.13.11.3	Volumes Contains RR Instruction Flag
	4.13.11.4	
	4.13.11.5	Section 4.13.11.3: Body Record Offer Acceptance
		Volumes Does not contains RR Instruction Flag
Quorum Development		Section 4.13.11.4: Body Record Indicative Period Bid Acceptance Volumes (post P217) Does not contains RR Instruction Flag
		Section 4.13.11.5: Body Record Indicative Period Offer Acceptance Volumes (post P217) Does not contains RR Instruction Flag
		The first is different to the remainder – which is correct?
	BM Unit Derived	Assuming the volume records above should contain
	Data	the RR Instruction Flag, should these Cashflow
		records have the RR Instruction Flag as well, to keep
		them consistent with the volume records?
	Market Depth	Assuming the volume records above should contain
	Data	the RR Instruction Flag, should these Cashflow
		records have the RR Instruction Flag as well, to keep
		them consistent with the volume records?
	8.4 SAA_I014	Includes the text:
		BM Unit Period Bid-Offer Acceptance (for Effective Dates after the TERRE P344 Final Implementation Date): Acceptance STOR Provider Flag Reserve Scarcity Price Flag
		I don't think this should reference TERRE – did not
		P305 introduce these?
		This seems to be corroborated by the IDD
		spreadsheet, S0141 v9, lines 749 to 753.
	8 / 1 Aggregate	Is correct for these data groups to include 'Tatal
	8.4.1 Aggregate Party Day	Is correct for these data groups to include 'Total System RR Cashflow'? They are related to Party
	Charges	data after all, and I don't believe they include any
	8.4.2 Aggregate	system level BM data.
	VLP Day	
	Charges	

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 7

© ELEXON Limited 2019

NETA IDD Part 1 Spreadsheet

Respondent	Location	Comment
Quorum Development	SAA_I014, row 988	BRR data group: BRR shown with cardinality of 0- 1. Does this mean if there are no acceptances then BRR will not be displayed, given that each of the fields is shown as mandatory ('1)'? If so , then it looks like the child data group for RR Bids ('BRR') would be omitted – but there can of course be RR Bids without acceptances.

We received no comments to any of other redlined documents we consulted on.

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

8 August 2019		
Version 1.0		
Page 7 of 7		
© ELEXON Limited 2019		