

CP Consultation Responses



CP1537 'Extending the timescales for agreeing Technical Assurance of Performance Assurance Parties (TAPAP) Findings Reports'

This CP Consultation was issued on 7 Sept 2020 as part of CPC00807, with responses invited by 2 October 2020.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non-Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
Scottish Power	1/0	Supplier, supplier agent
BUUK	1/0	Distributor
SMS PLC	0/1	Supplier Agent
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	1/0	Supplier
Stark	0/1	Supplier Agent

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
Scottish Power	✓	✓	✗	✓
BUUK	✓	✓	✗	✓
SMS PLC	✓	✓	✗	✓
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	✓	✗	✗	✓
Stark	✓	✓	✗	✓

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1537 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	Y	Yes. We agree with the CP1537 proposed solution. This seems a sensible approach in order to allow extended timescales.
BUUK	Y	BUUK are in support of the proposed solution. It allows sufficient time for any analysis to take place and to provide evidence in support of an appeal if necessary.
SMS PLC	Y	We agree that the proposed solution will provide benefits to allow parties more time to review and appropriately respond.
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Y	We believe that extending the timescales as proposed within the solution will lead to better industry processes.
Stark	Y	Increasing the timescales allowed for PAPs to allow sufficient time for the PAP to properly review and where appropriate challenge any of the non-compliances raised will improve efficiency of the process; PAPs being given more time can review the issue more thoroughly to either reach an understanding of the source of the non-compliance or challenge where the non-compliance is as a result of the inaction or non-compliance of a third-party. This will also allow time for PAPs to determine & allocate appropriate resource should further background work be required to appeal findings.

Question 2: Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the CP1537 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5	0	0	0

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	Y	Yes, we agree the draft lining delivers the CP1537 proposed solution.
BUUK	Y	None Provided
SMS PLC	Y	We agree that the redlining delivers the proposed solution
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Y	None Provided
Stark	Y	None Provided

Question 3: Will CP1537 impact your organisation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
4	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	Y	Yes, we believe the CP1537 proposed change will provide a positive benefit due to the extended timescales allowing more time for analysis.
BUUK	Y	As a distributor, we are subject to TAPAP checks and this change proposal will positively impact as will have an extended, and more appropriate timescale to review TAPAP findings reports internally. The increased timescale the proposal makes, means it more practical to meet operational demands and auditor expectations.
SMS PLC	Y	The impact is expected to be positive and no updates or changes will be required.
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	N	None Provided
Stark	Y	If the subject of a non-compliance audit result, then this change will provide the positive benefits of time allowed as suggested by this CP solution.

Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1537?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
0	5	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	N	No, we don't believe implementing CP1537 will incur a cost to our organisation.
BUUK	N	Significant costs are not expected with this change.
SMS PLC	N	None Provided
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	N	None Provided
Stark	N	None Provided

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1537?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	Y	Yes, we agree with the implementation approach for CP1537
BUUK	Y	We agree with the rationale provided in the consultation paper.
SMS PLC	Y	We agree with the proposed implementation approach.
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Y	None Provided
Stark	Y	Agree with rationale of implementation to coincide with the beginning of the following financial year & the beginning of the next PAOP.

Question 6: Do you have any further comments on CP1537?

Summary

Yes	No
0	5

Responses

Respondent	Response	Comments
Scottish Power	N	No Further Comment
BUUK	N	None Provided
SMS PLC	N	None Provided
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	N	None Provided
Stark	N	None Provided