ELEXON

CP Consultation Responses

CP1582 'Remove MA from BSCP520 3.6.2.3'

This CP Consultation was issued on 9 October 2023 as part of the October 2023 CPC Batch, with responses invited by Friday 3 November 2023.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non- Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
National Grid Electricity Distribution	1	Distributor
SSE Energy Supply Limited	1	Supplier
Scottish Power Energy Networks	1	Distributor
UK Power Networks	1	Interconnector User

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 9

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted ?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
National Grid Electricity Distribution	✓	×	×	✓
SSE Energy Supply Limited	✓	æ	×	✓
Scottish Power Energy Networks	✓	æ	×	✓
UK Power Networks	×	✓	✓	✓

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 9

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1582 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	1		

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Yes	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	None Given
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	SPEN are supportive of this change in respect of the changes being addressed, as the MA is not appointed to NHHS sites currently and would not require notification of a de-energisation in these instances. However, we note that the reference to the UMSO sending the D0139 to the Supplier and NHHDC is not consistent with the DTN, as there is no ability for the D0139 flow to be sent from the UMSO role code. If this is not able to be updated in this change a further change is required to update that the UMSO should notify the LDSO to send the D0139 flow to the Supplier and NHHDC. We note that there has been an update to section 3.7.8 to reference the LDSO sending the D0139 flow, however this is not consistent across the document (3.6.2.3, 3.7.2). There is no difference in the LDSO process for NHH/HH change of Energisation status the LDSO would always send this.
UK Power Networks	No	See Question 6

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 9

Question 2: Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the CP1582 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
4			

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Yes	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	None Given
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	None Given
UK Power Networks	Yes	None Given

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 9

Question 3: Will CP1582 impact your organisation?

Summary

High	Medium	Low	None
	1		3

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity Distribution	None	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	None	None Given
Scottish Power Energy Networks	None	We believe that these changes assist in the clarification of the changes to process
UK Power Networks	Medium	If we have to send the D0139 in this case

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 9

Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1582?

Summary

High	Medium	Low	None
	1		3

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity Distribution	None	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	None	None Given
Scottish Power Energy Networks	None	None Given
UK Power Networks	Medium	If we have to send the D0139 in this case

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 9

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1582?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
4			

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity Distribution	Yes	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	None Given
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	None Given
UK Power Networks	Yes	None Given

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 9

Question 6: Do you have any further comments on CP1582?

Summary

Yes	No
3	1

Responses

Respond ent	Respon se	Comments
National Grid Electricity Distributi on	No	None Given
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	We believe that this revised solution, which was detailed in the appeal to the original change request, is a better one compared to the proposed solution in the original change request. We do have a query regarding the Market Message variants detailed in the change proposal. Many of these Market Message Variants are not currently in the REC Energy Market Architecture Repository (EMAR). Can you please confirm if Elexon will be raising this with the REC to get the new variants added to the EMAR? Many software providers for DTN platforms are reliant on the EMAR to provide the level of detail needed for their systems to validate the Market Messages, so this may be a necessity if Suppliers are going to use the DTN to carry out the CoMCs.
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	We note that as per previous response there are inconsistencies in this document (not necessarily related to this change directly) with reference to the UMSO sending the D0139 to the Supplier and NHHDC, this is not consistent with the DTN. If this is not able to be updated in this change a further change is required to update that the UMSO should notify the LDSO to send the D0139 flow to the Supplier and NHHDC. We note that there has been an update to section 3.7.8 to reference the LDSO sending the D0139 flow, however this is not consistent across the document (3.6.2.3, 3.7.2). There is no difference in the LDSO process for NHH/HH change of Energisation status the LDSO would always send this.
UK Power Networks		It is not clear what value step 3.6.2.3 adds. Presumably this is a "logical" de-energisation of the to-be-disconnected MPANs in order to facilitate their disconnection. Step 3.6.2.4 Confirms this is updated concurrent with CoMC and therefore in the control of the supplier.

CP1582
CP Consultation
Responses
3 November 2023
Version 1.0
Page 8 of 9
© Elexon 2023

It is not clear what purpose the message from the UMSO serves?

The supplier can take the steps at 3.6.2.4 without the need for 3.6.2.3, particularly after 3.6.2.2 has agreed the effective date for all of the changes.

Moreover the UMSO is not a sender of the D0139 per the EMAR -



Contrast here with the D0052 flow that UMSO does send (in case an argument is made that the UMSO and the LDSO/DNO are the same party) -

Variant Id	Name		Target
SV00425	Affirmation of Metering System Settlement Details	Electricity Supplier	Non Half Hourly Data Collector
SV00426	Affirmation of Metering System Settlement Details	Unmetered Supplies Operator	Non Half Hourly Data Collector
SV00427	Affirmation of Metering System Settlement Details	Unmetered Supplies Operator	Electricity Supplier

CP1582

CP Consultation Responses

3 November 2023

Version 1.0

Page 9 of 9