

## Final CP Report

### CP1582 'Remove MA from BSCP520 3.6.2.3'

#### Contents

1.	Summary	2
2.	Why Change?	3
3.	Solution	5
4.	Impacts and Costs	6
5.	Implementation Approach	8
6.	Initial Committee Views	9
7.	Industry Views	10
8.	Final Committee Views and Decision	14



#### Committee

Supplier Volume  
Allocation Group (SVG)

#### Recommendation

Approve

#### Implementation Date

29 February 2024  
(February 2024 Release)

#### About This Document

You can find the definitions of the terms and acronyms used in this document in the [BSC Glossary](#)<sup>1</sup>.

This document is the CP1582 Final Change Proposal (CP) Report which Elexon has published following the final decision from the SVG to approve CP1582.

There are 4 parts to this document:

- This is the main document. It provides details of the solution, impacts, costs, and implementation approach. It also summarises the SVG's views on the approved changes and the views of respondents to the CP Consultation, along with the final decision to approve this change.
- Attachment A contains the CP proposal form.
- Attachment B contains the approved redlined changes to deliver the CP1582 solution.
- Attachment C contains the full responses received to the CP Consultation.
- Attachment D contains the full responses received to the 2<sup>nd</sup> CP Consultation




#### Contact

Ayo Bammeke

020 7380 4176

[ayo.bammekel@elexon.co.uk](mailto:ayo.bammekel@elexon.co.uk)

[BSC.change@-elexon.co.uk](mailto:BSC.change@-elexon.co.uk)



CP1582

Final CP Report

dd Month yyyy

Version 0.1.0

Page 1 of 14

© Elexon 2023

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.elexon.co.uk/glossary/?show=all>

# 1. Summary



## Not sure where to start?

We suggest reading the following sections:

- Have 5 minutes?  
Read section 1
- Have 15 minutes?  
Read sections 1, 4, 5 and 8
- Have 30 minutes?  
Read all sections
- Have longer? Read all sections and the annexes and attachments

## Why change?

BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS'<sup>2</sup> step 3.6.2.3, as introduced erroneously by P434 'Half Hourly Settlement for UMS Metering Systems'<sup>3</sup>, states "UMSO confirms the de-energisation date to Supplier, NHHDC and MA".

However Non Half Hourly (NHH) Unmetered Supply (UMS) sites don't have a Meter Administrator (MA).

## Solution

The MA should be removed from BSCP520 3.6.2.3.

## Impacts and costs

This CP had originally been proposed as a housekeeping update to correct BSCP520. We do not anticipate that this CP will impact any BSC Party or Party Agent.

On 12 July 2023 Elexon received a formal objection from a Meter Administrator (MA) to CP1582 being raised as a Housekeeping CP, as a result was issued for consultation as per BSCP40 3.5.11<sup>4</sup> to understand industry views on the solution, impacts and costs.

A second consultation was then undertaken to allow for industry views on an updated solution that expanded clarity of sections in BSCP520 3.6.2 and references in 3.1.13.

## Implementation

CP1582 was initially recommended for implementation on 2 November 2023. Following the objection to the CP and subsequent consultation responses we have re-consulted with a new implementation date of 29 February 2024.

## Committee Decision

The SVG:

- **AGREED** the amendments to the proposed redlining for BSCP520 for CP1582 made following the first and second CP Consultations;
- **AGREED** the proposed changes to BSCP520 for CP1582; and
- **APPROVED** CP1582 for implementation on 29 February 2024 as part of the standard February 2024 Release.



## Housekeeping Change

Involves the correction of manifest errors, minor errors and inconsistencies, including typographical errors (e.g. punctuation errors, spelling mistakes, incorrect font, incorrect capitalisation) incorrect cross-referencing, and the removal of redundant text. Housekeeping CPs do not require a CP Consultation, however market participants can raise an objection to this approach if they believe there will be an impact.

CP1582

Final CP Report

dd Month yyyy

Version 0.1.0

Page 2 of 14

© Elexon 2023

<sup>2</sup> <https://bscdocs.elexon.co.uk/bsc-procedures/bscp520-unmetered-supplies-registered-in-smrs>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.elexon.co.uk/mod-proposal/p434/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://bscdocs.elexon.co.uk/bsc-procedures/bscp-40-change-management>

## 2. Why Change?

---

### What is the issue?

BSCP520 step 3.6.2.3, as introduced erroneously by P434, states “UMSO confirms the de-energisation date to Supplier, NHHDC and MA”.

However Non Half Hourly (NHH) Unmetered Supply (UMS) sites don't have a Meter Administrator (MA).

---

### Background

#### P434

[P434 'Half Hourly Settlement for UMS Metering Systems'](#)<sup>5</sup> was raised by Npower Commercial Gas Limited on 3 February 2022 and implemented on 14 December 2022 as a special BSC Release.

P434 required a period of mandatory Change of Measurement Class (CoMC) activity for all Non-Half Hourly (NHH) Unmetered Suppliers (UMS) Metering Systems to complete before the Market Wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS) migration to the Target Operating Model (Milestone 11 (M11) in the MHHS Timetable). When P434 was raised, this was required to be completed by October 2024. It also required all new UMS connections to be settled Half Hourly (HH) from 12 months prior to M11, which at the time was October 2023.

If the transition for NHH UMS Metering Systems was not brought forward, combined with the workload from other MHHS activities, it was felt there was a significant increase to the risk that there will be insufficient time for Customers, Suppliers and UMSOs to address any issues that may arise with the transition to the MHHS Target Operating Model.

As introduced by P434, BSCP520 step 3.6.2.3 states “UMSO confirms the de-energisation date to Supplier, NHHDC and MA”, however NHH UMS sites do not have a MA appointed.

---

### Objection to CP1582 as Housekeeping CP

On 12 July 2023 Elexon received a formal objection from a MA to CP1582 being raised as a Housekeeping CP.

The MA advised the changes put in by P434 were unclear over the intention for including the MA in section 3.6.2.3. They stated the intent of the wording was to ensure that for the Meter System Identifier (MSID) that is to be retained when a Change of Measurement Class (CoMC) is performed, to make clear that there is an obligation on the UMSO to still send the D0388 'UMS Inventory' to the MA if an MSID is de-energised at the time of the change, but with a zero Charge Code. This will ensure that the MA calculates zero consumption.

The MA has suggested additional updated changes to BSCP520 clauses 3.1 and 3.6 that would help to clarify the intent of the change and ensure a more robust solution to the issue identified in CP1582. Highlighted changes are as follows:

1. Update section 3.1.13 – to include the requirement that has been suggested for removal through CP1582 (from 3.6.2.3), within this section. Given section 3.6.2.5 already directs participants to follow 3.1.8 through to 3.1.17 – the suggested change to 3.1.13 ensures this is covered when following the CoMC process.

---

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.elexon.co.uk/mod-proposal/p434/>

2. Reword section 3.6.2.3 to include the removal of the text this CP originally suggested, but also to reword for clarification that this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.
3. Reword section 3.6.2.4 to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.
4. Reword section 3.6.2.5 to clarify this section relates to the MSID that is being retained.
5. Reword section 3.6.2.6 to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.

As a result of the objection being received, the original CP1582 solution was sent for consultation as part of the August 2023 Change Proposal Circular (CPC) batch.

After which a subsequent consultation was conducted with a finalised updated solution, (with responses described in Section 7 of this paper).

### 3. Solution

---

#### Approved solution

The MA should be removed from BSCP520 step 3.6.2.3.

---

#### Proposer's rationale

Originally this change was recommended as a Housekeeping change to ensure process within BSCP520 is aligned with industry practice. This was recommended as housekeeping as it is correcting an error in a process that is not possible to implement by industry participants due to MA's not being appointed for NHH sites.

The Proposer's rationale for CP1582 centred on rectifying an error in BSCP520, where a Meter Administrator (MA) was erroneously included for Non Half Hourly (NHH) Unmetered Supply (UMS) sites. Initially classified as a housekeeping change, the CP evolved significantly following a formal objection and the first round of industry consultation. This feedback highlighted the need for greater clarity, leading to a revised proposal with detailed amendments to BSCP520. The SVG then reviewed the second Assessment Report and agreed to reissue CP1582 for a second industry consultation, reflecting a shift from its original classification and an updated solution.

---

#### Approved redlining

BSCP520 step 3.6.2.3 updated to remove reference to MA.

---

#### Proposed amendments to the redlining for BSCP520 made following the first CP Consultation

Following objection and consultation responses, redlining has been updated to reflect comments received and provide greater clarity to industry:

1. Update section 3.1.13 – to include the requirement that has been suggested for removal through CP1582 (from 3.6.2.3), within this section. Given section 3.6.2.5 already directs participants to follow 3.1.8 through to 3.1.17 – the suggested change to 3.1.13 ensures this is covered when following the CoMC process.
2. Reword section 3.6.2.3 to include the removal of the text this CP originally suggested, but also to reword for clarification that this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.
3. Reword section 3.6.2.4 to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.
4. Reword section 3.6.2.5 to clarify this section relates to the MSID that is being retained.
5. Reword section 3.6.2.6 to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained.

Updated redlining can be found in Attachment B.

4. Impacts and Costs

BSC Party & Party Agent impacts and costs

Participant impacts

Elxon did not anticipate that CP1582 will materially impact any BSC Party or Party Agents. No impacts for Parties or Party Agents were identified during the consultations.

BSC Party & Party Agent Impacts	
BSC Party/Party Agent	Impact
None	No impacts identified

Participant costs

No costs for participants were identified.

Central impacts and costs

Central impacts

This CP will require a document only update to BSCP520. No BSC Central System changes are required, and there will be no impact on BSC Agents.

Central Impacts	
Document Impacts	System Impacts
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>BSCP520</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>None Identified</li></ul>

Impact on BSC Settlement Risks

Impact on BSC Settlement Risks
As a document only change no impacts on BSC Settlement Risks are expected.

Impact on Market-wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS)

Impact on MHHS
As a document only change no impacts on MHHS are expected.

---

## Central costs

---

The central implementation costs for CP1582 are expected to be under £1K to make the required document changes.

## 5. Implementation Approach

---

### Approved Implementation Date

---

CP1582 was initially proposed to be implemented on 2 November 2023 as part of the standard November 2023 BSC Release.

Following the objection to the CP and subsequent consultation responses we are recommending a new implementation date of 29 February 2024 as part of the standard February 2024 release. This was subject to SVG agreeing to re-consult at their meeting 3 October 2023.

CP1582 has been approved for implementation on 29 February 2024 as part of the Standard February 2024 BSC Release.



## 6. Initial Committee Views

### SVG's initial views

CP1582 was presented as an Assessment Report as a Housekeeping CP at the SVG meeting on [4 July 2023](#)<sup>6</sup>. The SVG were informed a potential objection may be received as Elxon had received notice prior to the committee meeting, although exact details of the potential objection were unknown at this stage. Elxon confirmed any approval would be subject to a 15 Working Day objection window and would be issued for industry consultation if an objection was received in the next available CPC batch.

Given the proposed governance routes, the SVG:

- **AGREED** that CP1582 is a Housekeeping CP as defined in BSCP40;
- **APPROVED** the proposed changes to BSCP520 for CP1582; and
- **APPROVED** CP1582 for implementation on 2 November 2023 [as part of the standard November 2023 Release].

### September SVG

Elxon presented an update at SVG on [5 September 2023](#)<sup>7</sup>, detailing the progress made on CP1582 including the objection to the housekeeping window and consultation responses received. We informed the SVG our 'minded to' position was to issue for a 2<sup>nd</sup> consultation period with an updated solution based on consultation responses in order to provide greater clarity for industry. SVG members advised this was a pragmatic solution to the circumstances encountered and supported the proposed approach.

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.elxon.co.uk/meeting/svg269/>

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.elxon.co.uk/meeting/svg271/>

## 7. Industry Views

This section summarises the responses received to the first CP Consultation. You can find the full responses in Attachment C.

We received 6 responses to the Consultation, 3 from Distributors, 2 from MA's and 1 from a Supplier.

4 of the respondents were supportive of the CP to be approved as HK, with 2, including the MA who provided the objection, preferring the alternative solution set out in the objection, noting the draft redlining provided as part of P434 was unclear and progressing the CP as HK would remove all elements of the requirement for the MA to be sent required information.

Having reviewed the updated redlining, we do believe this provides greater clarity to BSCP520 than both the existing documentation and the updated HK redlining provided as part of CP1582. Given the proposed updates, we believe this is a material change to the solution and as such no longer represents a HK CP, and therefore was required to be re-issued for consultation in order to give industry an opportunity to respond to the updated solution. Whilst we accept the HK change could be approved in isolation with a further CP raised to provide further clarification, re-consulting provides a pragmatic solution to ensure BSCP520 is updated to accurately reflect existing industry practice at the earliest opportunity.

No respondents identified any costs or impacts as a result of CP1582.

Following the objection to the CP and subsequent consultation responses we are recommended re-consultation with a new implementation date of 29 February 2024 (standard BSC February Release), subject to SVG agreeing to re-consult and respondents agreeing to this date. This is due to the November 2023 release no longer being a viable option if a 2<sup>nd</sup> consultation is held, due to the consultation window being in effect after the 2 November 2023 date. Given no consultation respondents identified any costs or impacts we believe there should be sufficient time to implement the change.

Summary of CP1582 CP Consultation Responses				
Question	Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
Do you agree with the CP1582 proposed solution?	4	2	0	0
Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the intent of CP1582?	3	2	1	0
Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1582?	3	1	2	0
Do you have any further comments on CP1582?	1	5	-	-
Question	High	Medium	Low	None
Will CP1582 impact your organisation?	0	0	0	4
Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1582?	0	0	0	4

---

## Comments on the proposed redlining from the first Consultation

---

As part of the objection received, an MA advised of updated redlining that would provide greater clarity to BSCP520 in place of the proposed HK change. Having reviewed this alongside consultation responses, and following discussion with SVG in September, we proposed to update the redlining as detailed below in order to provide greater clarity to industry.

Comments on the CP1582 Proposed Redlining		
Document & Location	Comment	Elaxon's Response
BSCP520 3.1.13	Updated to include the requirement that has been suggested for removal through this CP (from 3.6.2.3), within this section. Given section 3.6.2.5 already directs participants to follow 3.1.8 through to 3.1.17	Agree this provides greater clarification to BSCP520 and should be incorporated as part of an updated solution.
BSCP520 3.6.2.3	Reworded to include the removal of the text this CP originally suggested, but also to reword for clarification that this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained	Agree this provides greater clarification to BSCP520 and should be incorporated as part of an updated solution.
BSCP520 3.6.2.4	Reworded to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained	Agree this provides greater clarification to BSCP520 and should be incorporated as part of an updated solution.
BSCP520 3.6.2.5	Reworded to clarify this section relates to the MSID that is being retained.	Agree this provides greater clarification to BSCP520 and should be incorporated as part of an updated solution.
BSCP520 3.6.2.6	Reworded to clarify this section relates to the NHH MSIDs not being retained	Agree this provides greater clarification to BSCP520 and should be incorporated as part of an updated solution.

This section summarises the responses received to the second CP Consultation. You can find the full responses in Attachment D.

We received 4 responses to the Consultation, 2 from Distributors, 1 from an Interconnector and 1 from a Supplier.

The majority of the respondents were happy with the updated proposed solution of CP1582 and identified no costs or impacts as a result of implementing CP1582 however one respondent noted issues with the redlining. All respondents were content with the updated redlining delivering the proposed solution.

All respondents were happy with the recommended implementation date. Following the objection to the CP and subsequent consultation responses we are recommending re-consultation with a new implementation date of 29 February 2024 (standard BSC February Release), which was subject to SVG agreeing to re-consult and respondents agreeing to this date. This is due to the November 2023 release no longer being a viable option after a 2<sup>nd</sup> consultation was held, due to the consultation window being in effect after the 2 November 2023 date. Given no consultation respondents identified any costs or impacts we believe there should be sufficient time to implement the change.

Summary of CP1582 CP Consultation Responses				
Question	Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
Do you agree with the CP1582 proposed solution?	3	1	0	0
Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the intent of CP1582?	4	0	0	0
Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1582?	4	0	0	0
Do you have any further comments on CP1582?	3	1	-	-
Question	High	Medium	Low	None
Will CP1582 impact your organisation?	0	1	0	3
Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1582?	0	1	0	3

## Comments on the proposed redlining after the second consultation

As part of the objection received, an MA advised of updated redlining that would provide greater clarity to BSCP520 in place of the proposed Housekeeping (HK) change. Having reviewed this alongside consultation responses, and following discussion with SVG in September, we proposed to update the redlining as detailed below in order to provide greater clarity to industry.

Comments on the updated CP1582 Proposed Redlining		
Document & Location	Comment	Elaxon's Response
BSCP520 3.6.2.3	It is not clear what value step 3.6.2.3 adds. Presumably this is a	The scope of CP1582 is to clarify the

	<p>“logical” de-energisation of the to-be disconnected MPANs in order to facilitate their disconnection.</p> <p>Step 3.6.2.4 Confirms this is updated concurrent with CoMC and therefore in the control of the supplier.</p> <p>It is not clear what purpose the message from the UMSO serves?</p> <p>The supplier can take the steps at 3.6.2.4 without the need for 3.6.2.3, particularly after 3.6.2.2 has agreed the effective date for all of the changes.</p>	<p>processes around UMSO sending the D0388 with zero Chare Code to the MA. Removing step 3.6.2.3 would be removing an obligation on the UMSO, and therefore materially changing the process.</p>
--	---	--

## 8. Final Committee Views and Decision

---

### SVG's final views

---

The SVG considered CP1582 at its meeting ([274/03](#)<sup>8</sup>) on Tuesday 5 December 2023. The SVG approved all recommendation and made no no further comment.

---

### Final decision

---

The SVG has:

- **AGREED** the amendments to the proposed redlining for BSCP520 for CP1582 made following the first and second CP Consultations;
- **AGREED** the proposed changes to BSCP520 for CP1582; and
- **APPROVED** CP1582 for implementation on 29 February 2024 as part of the standard February 2024 Release.

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.elexon.co.uk/meeting/svg274/>