

Issue 101 Workgroup Meeting 9 Summary

Summary

1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- Discuss feedback from consultation on framework for the enduring DIP arrangements; and
- Discuss draft legal text and subsidiary document for On-Boarding and Off-Boarding.

2. Feedback from consultation on framework for the enduring arrangements

Elexon presented a summary of the responses, showing the majority of respondents agreed with the framework for each topic, before sharing specific comments and themes that appeared. As a result of the responses, Elexon have made several amendments to the business requirements that have or will be included in the DIP Rules, including:

- Amendments to the DIP Change Advisory Board (DCAB) Membership to include Metering Services and independent Distributors;
- Amendments to the DIP Applicable Objectives; and
- Creating an initial proposal for determining whether a change is 'material'.

During discussion of auditing and reporting, a WG member noted that it is not only Code Body audits that DIP audits could clash with, but also internal audits such as for ISO certification. Elexon noted that it may be helpful to provide audit dates in advance to reduce likelihood of overlap. During discussion of change management, a WG member questioned what changes would only benefit the proposer, and the example of bespoke flows was discussed. It was raised that the impact of changes on core services should be considered, as the core services should be prioritised.

2.1 DIP Applicable Objectives

The initial proposed DIP Applicable Objectives came from Ofgem and an early Issue 101 Workgroup discussion. Following comments from the consultation, Elexon have proposed the following amended DIP Applicable Objectives:

- Provide accurate and timely support for the sharing of applicable market data;
- Further consumers' interests through the appropriately governed sharing of data; and
- Facilitate competitive change and innovation through the efficient and economic delivery of reliable and adaptable services.

2.2 Change Materiality

As part of the consultation, Elexon asked for industry's views on the criteria to determine whether a change is 'material' and thus should go to DCAB for decision. Following the consultation responses, Elexon built in a business requirement that if the DIP Manager is unsure whether there will be a Material Impact, it should consult to that effect. It is proposed that the following should be considered:

- The DIP Manager's implementation cost will be more than £1m;
- A two-third majority of DIP User's costs will have implementation costs greater than £200k based on consultation and/or Impact assessment;
- The lead time for implementation will be more than one year after the decision is made;
- Other Industry Code's implementation costs will be more than £500k; or
- Other Industry Code's implementation lead times will be more than one year.

3. On-Boarding and Off-Boarding

Elexon had shared a first draft of the DIP Rules (legal text and subsidiary document) for on-boarding and off-boarding. Elexon presented the major highlights from the shared documents, and called out the aspects that are still outstanding.

Actions

No.	Action	Owner
1.	Update and publish document with Elexon comments on the consultation responses.	Elexon
2.	Consider the revised DIP Applicable Objectives and proposed materiality criteria, and provide comments to Elexon.	WG
3.	Consider generating a log of comments on the draft DIP Rules and Elexon responses.	Elexon