**P375 Meeting 4 Summary**

* The Workgroup reviewed
  + The summary and actions from meeting 3
  + The issue and scope of the Modification
  + The customer registration process
* The Workgroup agreed for **Asset Meters**
  + That there should be a size threshold for appointment of **MOP**s: where the capacity of the circuit that is being metered would require **COP3** metering (broadly used by I&C sites)
  + For sites where the capacity of the circuit that is being metered would require **COP3** metering and above, the **VLP** can choose to be the **MOP** (by completing BSCP537) or appoint a **MOP**
  + Where the capacity of the circuit that is being metered is below **COP3** a **MOP** need not be appointed, and that **VLP**s can subcontract technical services
    - In this case any Metering (or Metering System) would need to be **COP11** compliant, and there would need to be a qualification process for the use of these technical services set out in a **BSCP** (to be discussed at a future Workgroup meeting)
    - That the functionality of this ‘**Asset Meter** **MOP’** should be defined in the **BSC**. This would be different to that as defined by supplier hub, which could be perceived as a barrier to entry (i.e. does not have to be a traditional **MOP**)
  + That the Modification can be future-proofed by including recognised standards (e.g. IEC, CE) in the new **COP11,** and theminimum requirements of functionality for the new meters or metering systems being approved in **BSCP601**
  + That the **VLP** is ultimately responsible for passing on data into Settlement
* ELEXON presented scenarios with a use case that explained the new processes for Settlement (P375 as compared with P344)
* ELEXON presented scenarios with a use case for subtracting Asset Metered equipment
* The Workgroup agreed
  + **Zero, one or many** **VLP**s can use **AMSID**s to meter individual parts of the site
  + **Zero or one** **VLP** can use the **Boundary Point MSID Pair(s)**. Their **Secondary BM Unit Demand Volume** will be calculated using the **Boundary Point MSID Pair(s)**, less any **AMSID**s used by other **VLP**s, **less zero or more other** **AMSID**s (representing assets that no **VLP** wants in their **BM Unit**)
* ELEXON presented the most appropriate assurance frameworks for P375, and a risk register for **VLP**s is in development
  + ELEXON invites Workgroup members to add this in the attached document
* ELEXON presented a statistical technique for asset load independence
  + The Workgroup agreed this can be used as part of a **PAF** tool

**Meeting Actions**

* ELEXON to consider customer consent model for P375
  + For complex arrangements such as multiple Boundary Points for one site and private wire networks
  + How **SVAA** shares information about other **VLP**s and **Suppliers**
* ELEXON to produce a finalised draft of **Business Requirements** to be sent out for the Workgroup to review no later than two weeks before the next Workgroup (for final Workgroup review)
* ELEXON to send a finalised draft of **Asset Metering Code of Practice 11** for Workgroup to review no later than two weeks before the next Workgroup (for final Workgroup review)