

Report Phase Consultation Responses

P394 'Removal of Unused BSC Provisions'

This Report Phase Consultation was issued on 14 October 2019, with responses invited by 22 November 2019.



Phase

Initial Written Assessment

Definition Procedure

Assessment Procedure

Report Phase

Implementation

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	Role(s) Represented
Power Data Associates	MA
Scottish Power	Supplier
Npower	Supplier

Question 1: Do you agree with the Panel's initial unanimous recommendation that P394 should be approved?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Power Data Associates	Yes	Subject to inclusion of the comments on the red-lining below
Scottish Power	Yes	No rationale provided
Npower	Yes	Our assumption based on the information provided is that this a 'housekeeping' change

Question 2: Since the initial public Consultation, we have added further provisions for removal. Will you be impacted by this? If so, please describe how you will be impacted, how long you will need to implement P394 and any associated costs.

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
0	1	2	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power	No	No rationale provided.
Power Data Associates	No comment	No rationale provided.
Npower	No comment	No rationale provided.

Question 3: Do you agree with the Panel that the redlined changes to the BSC deliver the intention of P394?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Power Data Associates	Yes	No rationale provided.
Scottish Power	Yes	No rationale provided.
Npower	Yes	No rationale provided.

Question 4: Do you agree with the Panel's recommended Implementation Date?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Power Data Associates	Yes	No rationale provided.
Scottish Power	Yes	No rationale provided.
Npower	Yes	On the assumption that this is just a housekeeping change, we support the proposed implementation date

Question 5: Do you agree with the Panel's initial view that P394 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Power Data Associates	Yes	No rationale provided.
Scottish Power	Yes	No rationale provided.
Npower	Yes	Support as we are assuming this is just a housekeeping change

Question 6: Do you have any further comments on P394?

Responses

Respondent	Response
Power Data Associates	<p>The Table C has been retained in the red-lining. This is not a sensible approach. The listed site specific dispensations have all been added to the Dispensation Register in 2005.</p> <p>www.elexon.co.uk/knowledgebase/useful-metering-documents/</p> <p>Retaining them in the BSC and in the Dispensation Register is inefficient. As sites are decommissioned or reengineered such that the Dispensation is no longer required then it would require a Modification to remove the entry from the BSC. It would be more efficient to remove them as part of this Modification.</p>