

Report Phase Consultation Responses

P437 'Allowing non-BSC Parties to request Metering Dispensations'

This Report Phase Consultation was issued on 14 September 2022, with responses invited by 29 September 2022.



Phase

Initial Written Assessment

Definition Procedure

Assessment Procedure

Report Phase

Implementation

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	Role(s) Represented
Flexible Generation Group	Generator

P437
Report Phase Consultation
Responses

6 October 2022

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 8

© Elexon Limited 2022

Question 1: Do you agree with the Panel's initial unanimous recommendation that P437 should be approved?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	<p>As power plant developers, were we to be unable to locate a meter in a BSC compliant location it would be preferable to have the flexibility to apply for a metering dispensation in our own company's right than to have to appoint a Supplier before they are needed for plant operations. Making it easier for the developers to resolve issues directly under the BSC will also allow earlier notice of non-complaint metering to Elexon.</p> <p>The change would better facilitate objectives c and d of the BSC.</p>

Question 2: Do you agree with the Panel that the redlined changes to the BSC deliver the intention of P437?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	N/A

Question 3: Do you agree with the Panel that the redlined changes to the Code Subsidiary Documents deliver the intention of P437?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	N/A

Question 4: Do you agree with the Panel's recommended Implementation Date?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	This should add to the efficiency of the implementation and management of the BSC and be more efficient for parties.

Question 5: Do you agree with the Panel's initial view that P437 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	N/A

Question 6: Do you agree with the Panel's initial recommendation that P437 does not impact the European Electricity Balancing Guideline (EBGL) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the BSC?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	Yes	N/A

Question 7: Do you have any further comments on PXXX?

Summary

Yes	No
0	1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Flexible Generation Group	No	N/A