# ELEXON

# P412 WG 2 summary

#### **Summary**

## 1. Meeting Objectives

- Review of the P412 solution options which have been considered
- Consideration of the proposed P412 solution in more detail

#### 2. Recap of WG 1

- 1.1 P412 was raised by National Grid ESO to meet the requirements of the Clean Energy Package, to ensure that "All market participants shall be responsible for the imbalances they cause in the system" and "The imbalances shall be settled at a price that reflects the real-time value of energy". This Modification seeks to ensure consistency between non-BM and BM Balancing Services Providers. The first WG meeting was held on 29 October 2020.
- 1.2 The proposed solution is to make a change to the <u>P354 'Use of ABSVD for non-BM Balancing Services at the</u> metered (MPAN) level' solution, to process ABSVD as instructed not delivered volumes.

### 2 What solution options have been considered?

- 2.1 Five solution options which had been considered by National Grid ESO were presented to the WG, along with the advantages and disadvantages of each option:
  - 1. P354 with Mandatory Data Sharing
  - 2. P354 with Optional Data Sharing
  - 3. NGESO Pass-through
  - 4. New Party role
  - 5. Non-BM Balancing Services Providers move to the BM
- 2.2 The WG considered each of the options and discussed the implications. The WG expressed a preference for Option 3 as it would ensure that the non-BM Balancing Services Provider was responsible for non-delivery. Options 1 and 2 attribute the cost to the Supplier to recover from the non-BM Balancing Services Provider, although under Option 2 the MSID data would not be available unless the customer consented. The WG noted that Option 4 required significant changes to systems and processes. The WG requested that the Option 3 solution be developed further for consideration at the next meeting.

## 3 What is the proposed solution in more detail?

- 3.1 The Proposer explained that his preferred solution was Option 2, P354 with Optional Data Sharing, as it was consistent with Ofgem's decision on sharing of customer data being optional and the solution could be delivered more quickly than other solutions, to ensure that the compliance issue is resolved as soon as possible.
- 3.2 Elexon presented the solution and explained that National Grid ESO would submit instructed volumes for Balancing Services to the SVAA for each MSID Pair for each Settlement Day and Settlement Period. Suppliers would not find out ASBVD per MSID Pair unless the customer had given consent for the data to be shared. An aggregate view of instructed volumes would need to be delivered to the SVAA within 15 minutes of the Settlement Period, for use in calculation of cashout price.

### 4 Progression Plan and Next Steps

4.1 Elexon explained that the next WG meeting was scheduled to be held week commencing 14 December 2020, but would have to consider whether there was sufficient time for the Option 3 solution to be developed further for presentation to the WG.

#### 5 Actions

5.1 National Grid ESO and Elexon to develop the Option 3 solution for consideration at the next WG meeting

- 5.2 National Grid ESO to consider whether they can be a Balancing Responsible Party
- 5.3 Elexon to check if the non-delivery cashflow would go into CSOBM (Daily System Operator BM Cashflow)
- 5.4 National Grid ESO to confirm that instructed volumes at an aggregate level can be delivered to SVAA within 15 minutes of the Settlement Period, for the calculation of cashout price