

---

## P427 Workgroup 2 Summary

---

### Summary

#### 1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- Recap of Workgroup meeting 1
- Decide the thresholds for Settlement Performance data which should be published
- Agree what other Risk data should be published
- Agree any required changes to the redlining
- Confirm next steps

#### 2. Discussion of thresholds for data to be published

2.2 Elexon presented the proposed thresholds for data to be published and explained that the way we arrived at these thresholds was by comparing how many MPIDs would land in each bracket based on current performance. The top bracket is in line with Elexon's targets for Settlement Data which was the recommendation of Elexon's Settlement Performance Subject Matter Expert (SME).

2.2.1 There was discussion around expanding the top bracket to include those just below Elexon's Settlement target as hitting the target is getting harder due to a variety of factors including COVID-19. However, Elexon suggested that it would be unfair to group those that were hitting the targets with those that were not.

2.2.2 It was noted that the fact that Settlement targets are getting harder to meet is a separate issue.

2.3 Elexon explained that any thresholds or bands regarding the publication of Settlement Performance would ultimately be set by the Panel following recommendation from the PAB. Elexon noted that the Modification would be intentionally flexible in its wording in order to enable the PAB and Panel to adjust their approach in response to market changes. It was noted that requiring a Modification to amend the thresholds for the publication of data or the scope of data to be published would make the process cumbersome and inflexible.

#### 3. Discussion of publishing a leader board

3.2 After further discussion the group decided it did not wish to use the thresholds and traffic light system for a number of reasons:

- Parties may be comfortable sitting in the middle band as it is a wide band, therefore not achieving the goal of increased performance;
- The approach would not provide full transparency of performance; and
- Ultimately there would be no fair way to set the threshold bands.

3.3 On that basis the Workgroup decided to publish actual performance percentages of Parties in a leader board fashion, including those hitting the Elexon targets. This would provide full transparency.

3.4 *Post Meeting Note: Following the meeting a Workgroup Member noted that Supplier Settlement Performance is available to Elexon by GSP Group. They suggested that the Workgroup should consider recommending that the data is published both in terms of the aggregated performance across all GSP Groups but also in terms of the Performance in each GSP Group. Elexon agrees with this recommendation and will put it to a vote at the next Workgroup meeting.*

#### 4. Voting

4.1 The Workgroup voted on whether to publish data routinely or for extreme cases only. The votes were 5/2 in favour of routine reporting with the frequency to be determined by the PAB and Panel but with the recommendation from the Workgroup being to publish performance monthly.

- 4.2 The Workgroup voted on whether to build obligations into the Modification to split domestic and non-domestic Suppliers. The votes were 5/2 in favour of no split. It was noted that there would be no way for Elexon to do this systematically and reliably.
- 4.3 The Workgroup voted on whether to publish TAA data where it has been confirmed to be material and attributable to a particular party. The votes were 6/1 in favour of publishing this data.
- 4.3.1 Some members noted that Elexon would need to make it clear when industry parties are reliant on third parties to resolve TAA non-compliances.
- 4.3.2 It was noted that all the data should be in the same place so users don't have to search the website to find different types of information. The data should be available to export in a user friendly format to facilitate its use by third parties, i.e. not in a PDF but downloadable as a .CSV or .XLS file via an appropriate API.
- 4.4 The Workgroup voted on whether the Modification should be used to publish Data Aggregator (DA) Settlement Performance in the same way the Workgroup had recommended that Supplier data should be published. The vote was unanimous in favour of the publication of DA Settlement Performance.
- 4.5 The Workgroup voted on whether Trading Disputes should be made public including the identity of the Party or Parties responsible for the error. The vote was unanimous in favour of making Trading Dispute data public.
- 4.6 The Workgroup voted on whether we should publish Risk 021, Registrant Approvals of Estimation Requests from the CDCA. The vote was unanimous in favour of publishing Registrant's performance against Risk 021.
- 4.7 The Workgroup voted on whether to approve the current draft of the redlining (following the amendments suggested in the meeting). All votes were in favour of approval.

## 5. Next steps/Actions

- **Elexon** to update the redlining following Workgroup comments;
- **Elexon** to confirm the costs of System changes following PAB recommendation of what will be published (Modification costs will just be the cost of implementing redlining); and
- Hold another Workgroup meeting in W/C 28 March 2022 to finalise voting.