
P432 Workgroup Meeting 3 Summary

Summary

1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- Consider the responses received to the Assessment Procedure Consultation
- Agree on the final solution
- Workgroup to provide its final views against the Applicable BSC Objectives and recommendations to the BSC Panel

2. Consultation Responses

2.1 The Workgroup discussed that the majority of consultation respondents didn't agree P432 should proceed ahead of MHHS. There was a trend in the responses where majority of the Suppliers disagreed with the Modification but Supplier Agents (Data Collectors/Data Aggregators/ Meter Operating Agents) agreed. The Workgroup noted that this trend will be highlighted in the Assessment Report. The Proposer noted that their views still remained the same in regards to P432 better facilitating Objectives C and D.

3. Legal text and BSC Subsidiary Documents

- 3.1 The Workgroup was asked whether CoMCs back to NHH should be prevented in the legal text. The Proposer's view was that a process should be left in place for downgrading, as there are data access provisions that need to be considered. It was agreed that the legal text will not need further amendments.
- 3.2 Elaxon presented the minor amendment it made to BSCP516 in order to clarify that the 1 October 2022 mandate will only apply to new connections. The Workgroup agreed to the amendments.

4. De-risking the MHHS Programme

- 4.1 The MHHS Programme explained that if the P432 changes are not implemented now they will need to be addressed at some point in the future, which can add risk to the MHHS timeline. It was noted that any extension to the programme could be an impact of around £1 million per month. Other solutions could be explored, however they are likely to be suboptimal to P432.
- 4.2 The Proposer added that any costs incurred via extensions to the MHHS Programme will ultimately be funded by Suppliers, which will then impact consumers, therefore they are keen to avoid any extensions and suggested that P432 proceed as planned.

5. Voting

- 5.1 The Workgroup voted unanimously that there are no Alternative Modifications.
- 5.2 The Workgroup voted unanimously that P432 is not a Self-Governance Modification.
- 5.3 The Workgroup voted unanimously that they agreed with the Implementation approach.
- 5.4 Majority of the Workgroup agreed with the legal text, two members abstained from voting as they had not reviewed the legal text yet.
- 5.5 The Workgroup by majority recommended approval of P432. Majority of the members agreed that P432 better facilitates Objective (c) 'Promoting effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) promoting such competition in the sale and purchase of electricity', two members voted that it is detrimental against Objective (c) and one member voted it is neutral against Objective (c). Majority of the Members agreed that P432 better facilitates objective (d) 'Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements', one member voted it is neutral against objective (d) and one member voted it is detrimental.

Actions

No.	Action	Owner
1.	Review the Assessment Report	Workgroup
2.	A Change will be raised for retrospective CoMCs	Elexon