ELEXON

P434 Workgroup Meeting 2 Summary

Summary

1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- Agree Draft Legal Text for Proposed Solution
- Agree a solution for the CoMC process

2. CoMC process

- 2.1 The Workgroup agrees Suppliers will need to drive the CoMC process, however there will need to be a heavy amount of UMSO coordination. The process should also be coordinated by Elexon with the help of the OSM team and Elexon's standard communication channels.
- 2.2 A Workgroup Member was concerned that the CoMC option two process might not be viable and this needed to be checked with the Service Provider whether it was possible to make the simultaneous registration data changes.
 - Post meeting update: A Workgroup Member took the action to investigate with the Service Provider and has confirmed that the Change will be fine to go ahead.
- 2.3 It was suggested an implementation working group should be set up so interested parties can thrash out what should happen for edge cases such as where you have different Suppliers for the different MSIDs on an NHH UMS Certificate. Elexon agreed to take this as an action to consider.
- 2.4 The Workgroup agreed that HH UMS certificates will not be needed to be produced and sent to the customer or Supplier on the back of an update, but certificates should be available on reguest.

3. Data cleanse

- 3.1 The Workgroup discussed that the data cleanse activity can start before CCDG's recommendation (April 2023) and UMSOs will take lead with the data cleanse with input from Suppliers and Customers. It was agreed that a mandate to engage in the coordinated data cleanse activity would be in the BSC and the process and references to the data cleanse template will be outlined in BSCP520. A consultation question will be added around the items included in the data cleanse template and once the P434 implementation working group is set up they can consider any changes to the template, which Elexon can then publish.
- 3.2 The Workgroup discussed that the MSID that will be retained would be identified through the data cleanse process.
- 3.3 The Workgroup agreed that MSIDs will only be disconnected from sites where the equipment no longer exists not where the customer cannot be contacted. By October 2024 the expectation is that everything will be migrated even if there are uncertainties.

4. Latitude/Longitude

- 4.1 The Workgroup decided that unless better information is available, the lat/long should be derived using the GSP Group average lat/long, as this is the solution that will require the least change. However, over time if better coordinates are found for specific MSIDs they can be updated.
- 4.2 It was discussed that currently the lat/long are taken from the population centre rather than the centre of GSP Group. Elexon will need to review how the lat/long is defined in its documents.

5. Assurance

5.1 The assurance team recommended that no additional assurance activity is needed to monitor the migration.

UMS risk 11 is not in focus this year so it is not part of the risk operating plan, and it only makes up a small

- percentage of the market place. PAB agreed no additional assurance will be needed as it is a relatively small risk. The Workgroup agreed with this approach.
- 5.2 The assurance team will engage with parties beforehand around key points and priorities and they can agree appropriate assurance measures and techniques post migration, where required to address risks to Settlement or significant compliance issues.

Actions from this meeting

No.	Action	Owner
1.	To investigate with the main Service Provider whether it is possible to make the simultaneous registration data changes	Workgroup Member
2.	Consider setting up an implementation working group for P434 to facilitate the implementation of P434 and resolve any common operational issues	Elexon
3.	To review BSCP502 to check whether there are any impacts	Elexon
4.	Investigate the issue populating MA MPID in the MOA field in D0148, as per process in BSCP501	Elexon
5.	To investigate the 100kW process requirement for UMS	Elexon
6.	Review how the lat/long on the GSP Group boundaries are defined in the BSC documents.	Elexon