

---

## P455 Workgroup Meeting 1 Summary

---

### Summary

#### 1. Meeting Objectives

The Chair welcomed attendees and presented the meeting objectives:

- 1.0 Present the P455 issue and proposed solution
- 1.1 Introduce the Modification's Terms of Reference (ToR)

#### 2. Brief summary of the BSC Change Process

- 2.0 Elxon started by explaining the BSC Process and different stages of a change. A workgroup (WG) member asked about the attendance requirements for voting members, and it was explained that a 50% overall attendance is required.
- 2.1 Since there are participants that are not BSC Parties, Elxon will make sure that the different stages, obligations and responsibilities are explained before each stage of the process.

#### 3. P455

- 3.0 The Proposer stepped through the background, issue and benefits of the proposed solution. This was an introductory meeting, since the WG will discuss the problem and solution in detail during further meetings.
- 3.1 A WG member asked if there are cross-code impacts identified. The Proposer explained that there is a live DCUSA Sandbox process that runs independently and that a REC change will be need to be progressed along with the BSC Change. However, Elxon believes that the changes can be progressed independently. This will be confirmed during the Assessment Procedure.
- 3.2 It was noted that the solution could generate further responsibilities for Meter Operators. A WG member questioned whether a Meter at the Boundary Point is still required as the Third Party customers are all metered independently and all customers under the PNO contract are aggregated so the Boundary Point reading isn't used. The proposer noted that Private Networks (PN) need commercial agreements with the third Party Supplier in which they specify the Half Hourly Data Collectors (HHDCs) and Half Hourly Meter Operator Agents (HHMOAs)
- 3.3 It was noted that there is no ToR about the impacts on the Market Wide Half Hourly Settlement Programme (MHHSP) Elxon explained that this Modification does not impact on the design of the Target Operating Model for MHHSP, but that the WG will assess the impacts in the future and Elxon will lead a Change Request if a consequential change is required.
- 3.4 A WG member asked the proposer to walk the WG through the solution step by step to better understand the use of smart meters on complex sites and the interaction with future MHHMOAs.
- 3.5 A WG member questioned what the incentives for a HHMOA to be appointed to these aggregated sites was as they would need to maintain and adopt a greater amount of Meters which they may not have installed. Proposer clarified that the process is voluntary so HHMOAs wouldn't be required to tender for these type of sites.

#### 4. Review of the Terms of Reference

- 4.0 Elxon introduced the ToR and the Proposer expressed his ambition an efficient and targeted process, to preserve everyone's time. The proposer stated that the Sandbox evidence (that will be covered in a future meeting) shows that the solution works, so the assessment of the Modification should proceed efficiently.
- 4.1 Elxon asked the WG if more but shorter meetings are preferred over less but longer sessions, but there were no comments.

## 5. Next steps

Event	Date
Workgroup meeting 2 – Does the new solution work? Evidence from Emergent’s Sandbox	<b>October – November 2023</b>
Workgroup meeting 3-4 – How will the solution work in detail?	
Workgroup meeting 5 – Legal text changes	