

CP Consultation Responses

CP1552 ‘Reflecting BSCP501 timeframes in BSCP520 processes’

This CP Consultation was issued on 8 November 2021 as part of the November 2021 CPC Batch, with responses invited by 3 December 2021.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non-Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
Scottish Power Energy Networks	1	Distributor
Northern Powergrid	1	Distributor
Power Data Associates Ltd	1	Meter Administrator
Western Power Distribution	1	Distributor
The Electricity Network Company Limited	1	Distributor
SSE Energy Supply Limited	1	Supplier

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted ?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
Scottish Power Energy Networks	✓	✗	✗	✓
Northern Powergrid	✓	✓	✗	✓
Power Data Associates Ltd	-	✗	✗	-
Western Power Distribution	✓	✓	✗	✓
The Electricity Network Company Limited	✓	✗	✗	✓
SSE Energy Supply Limited	✓	✗	✗	✓

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1552 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5			1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	We are supportive of this change, as it provides clarity on obligations.
Northern Powergrid	Yes	We agree with the proposal, it reinforces the timescales that Suppliers should adhere when updating SMRS for Unmetered Supplies. This should improve the timeliness of the updates and reduce the effort required by UMSOs to engage with Suppliers in relation to those updates.
Power Data Associates Ltd	Not sure	<p>The comment in the CP Consultation that the Supplier will only need to refer to BSCP520 is incorrect. There are other obligations identified in BSCP501 which are not in BSCP520. For example, the text under the table in BSCP501 4.3 is directly relevant to unmetered.</p> <p>The red-line text has been prepared against the current version of BSCP520, CP1546 is already approved and proposed changes in this CP need to be considered against these existing changes.</p> <p>The faster switching changes take effect in the Summer of 2022. Revisions of many BSCPs will occur and are being drafted. BSCP501 is expected to be considerably changed. So any changes from the CP will need to reflect the faster switching changes.</p> <p>Overall, my preference is to define the timescales once in BSCP501 and where necessary BSCP520 should refer to the BSCP501, this is consistent</p>

[CP1552](#)

[CP Consultation](#)

[Responses](#)

[6 December 2021](#)

[Version 1.0](#)

[Page 3 of 10](#)

		with the approach adopted in other BSCPs.
Western Power Distribution	Yes	We agree with the proposal to align BSCP 520 with the timelines in BSCP 501 to add clarity and provide a clear understanding of the obligation.
The Electricity Network Company Limited	Yes	We agree with the proposed solution & believe it will better clarify Supplier obligations relating to Unmetered Supplies activity within BSCP520.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	N/A

Question 2: Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the CP1552 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5			1

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	N/A
Northern Powergrid	Yes	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	Not sure	See response to Q1.
Western Power Distribution	Yes	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	Yes	No additional comment.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	N/A

Question 3: Will CP1552 impact your organisation?

Summary

High	Medium	Low	None
	2		4

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power Energy Networks	None	There are no activities that we are required to undertake to enable this change, however we expect to see positive outputs in terms of adherence to the timescales following this change.
Northern Powergrid	Medium	The proposal will impact us positively and should reduce the effort required when engaging with Suppliers.
Power Data Associates Ltd	None	No impact anticipated.
Western Power Distribution	Medium	This CP will have a positive impact on our organisation.
The Electricity Network Company Limited	None	No impact.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	None	N/A

Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1552?

Summary

High	Medium	Low	None
			6

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power Energy Networks	None	N/A
Northern Powergrid	None	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	None	N/A
Western Power Distribution	None	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	None	No costs identified.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	None	N/A

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1552?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
5			1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Scottish Power Energy Networks	Yes	N/A
Northern Powergrid	Yes	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	Not sure	See the response to Q1. Need confidence that these proposals do not conflict with other changes.
Western Power Distribution	Yes	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	Yes	We believe the proposed implementation in standard June 2022 release is reasonable.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	Yes	N/A

Question 6: Do you have any further comments on CP1552?

Summary

Yes	No
1	5

Responses

Respondent	Response	Comments
Scottish Power Energy Networks	No	N/A
Northern Powergrid	No	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	No	N/A
Western Power Distribution	No	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	Yes	We believe the change will better facilitate party performance of the obligations within BSCP520.
SSE Energy Supply Limited	No	N/A

BSCP501

Respondent	Location	Comment
Scottish Power Energy Networks	N/A	N/A
Northern Powergrid	N/A	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	N/A	N/A
Western Power Distribution	N/A	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	N/A	N/A
SSE Energy Supply Limited	N/A	N/A

BSCP520

Respondent	Location	Comment
Scottish Power Energy Networks	N/A	N/A
Northern Powergrid	N/A	N/A
Power Data Associates Ltd	N/A	N/A
Western Power Distribution	N/A	N/A
The Electricity Network Company Limited	N/A	N/A
SSE Energy Supply Limited	N/A	N/A