

# CP Consultation Responses

## CP1517 'Changes to BSC Configurable Items for the TERRE Final Implementation Date'



This CP Consultation was issued on **8 July 2019** as part of CPC00795, with responses invited by **2 August 2019**.

### Consultation Respondents

| Respondent         | No. of Parties/Non- | Role(s) Represented |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Quorum Development | 1                   | Software supplier   |

## Summary of Consultation Responses

| Respondent         | Agree? | Impacted? | Costs? | Impl. Date? |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Quorum Development | ✓      | ✓         | ✓      | ✓           |

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1517 updated solution following the first CP consultation?

### Summary

| Yes | No | Neutral/No Comment | Other |
|-----|----|--------------------|-------|
| 1   | 0  | 0                  | 0     |

### Responses

| Respondent         | Response | Rationale    |
|--------------------|----------|--------------|
| Quorum Development | Yes      | Non provided |

Question 2: Do you agree that the updated draft redlining as part of this second CP1517 consultation delivers the CP1517 proposed solution?

### Summary

| Yes | No | Neutral/No Comment | Other |
|-----|----|--------------------|-------|
| 0   | 1  | 0                  | 0     |

### Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

| Respondent         | Response | Rationale          |
|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Quorum Development | No       | See comments below |

### Question 3: Will CP1517 impact your organisation?

#### Summary

| Yes | No | Neutral/No Comment | Other |
|-----|----|--------------------|-------|
| 1   | 0  | 0                  | 0     |

#### Responses

| Respondent         | Response | Rationale   |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| Quorum Development | Yes      | The impact is a matter of commercial confidentiality between us and our customers |

### Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1517?

#### Summary

| Yes | No | Neutral/No Comment | Other |
|-----|----|--------------------|-------|
| 1   | 0  | 0                  | 1     |

#### Responses

| Respondent         | Response | Rationale   |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| Quorum Development | Yes      | The cost is a matter of commercial confidentiality between us and our customers |

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1517?

### Summary

| Yes | No | Neutral/No Comment | Other |
|-----|----|--------------------|-------|
| 1   | 0  | 0                  | 0     |

### Responses

| Respondent         | Response | Rationale     |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|
| Quorum Development | Yes      | None provided |

## NETA IDD Part 1 Document

| Respondent         | Location   | Comment   |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Quorum Development | 4.13.11.2  | Section 4.13.11.2: Body Record Bid Acceptance Volumes Contains RR Instruction Flag  |
|                    | 4.13.11.3  |   |
|                    | 4.13.11.4  | Section 4.13.11.3: Body Record Offer Acceptance Volumes Does not contains RR Instruction Flag   |
|                    | 4.13.11.5  |   |
|                    |  | Section 4.13.11.4: Body Record Indicative Period Bid Acceptance Volumes (post P217) Does not contains RR Instruction Flag   |
|                    |  | Section 4.13.11.5: Body Record Indicative Period Offer Acceptance Volumes (post P217) Does not contains RR Instruction Flag   |
|                    |  | The first is different to the remainder – which is correct?   |
|                    | BM Unit Derived Data   | Assuming the volume records above should contain the RR Instruction Flag, should these Cashflow records have the RR Instruction Flag as well, to keep them consistent with the volume records?  |
|                    | Market Depth Data  | Assuming the volume records above should contain the RR Instruction Flag, should these Cashflow records have the RR Instruction Flag as well, to keep them consistent with the volume records?  |
|                    | 8.4 SAA_I014   | Includes the text:<br><br>BM Unit Period Bid-Offer Acceptance (for Effective Dates after the TERRE P344 Final Implementation Date):<br>Acceptance STOR Provider Flag<br>Reserve Scarcity Price Flag<br><br>I don't think this should reference TERRE – did not P305 introduce these?<br><br>This seems to be corroborated by the IDD spreadsheet, S0141 v9, lines 749 to 753. |
|                    | 8.4.1 Aggregate Party Day Charges<br>8.4.2 Aggregate VLP Day Charges | Is correct for these data groups to include 'Total System RR Cashflow'? They are related to Party data after all, and I don't believe they include any system level BM data.  |
|                    |  |   |

## NETA IDD Part 1 Spreadsheet

| Respondent         | Location          | Comment   |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Quorum Development | SAA_I014, row 988 | BRR data group: BRR shown with cardinality of 0-1. Does this mean if there are no acceptances then BRR will not be displayed, given that each of the fields is shown as mandatory ('1')? If so , then it looks like the child data group for RR Bids ('BRR') would be omitted – but there can of course be RR Bids without acceptances. |

We received no comments to any of other redlined documents we consulted on as part of the second CP1517 Consultation.