CP Consultation Responses

CP1517 'Changes to BSC Configurable Items for the TERRE Final Implementation Date'



This CP Consultation was issued on **17 May 2019** as part of CPC00794, with responses invited by **13 June 2019**.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non-	Role(s) Represented
TMA Data Management Ltd	1	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC and NHHDA
Quorum Development	1	Software supplier

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 7

© ELEXON Limited 2019

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
TMA Data Management Ltd	✓	×	×	✓
Quorum Development	✓	✓	N/A	✓

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 7

© ELEXON Limited 2019

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1517 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
2	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	None provided
Quorum Development	Yes	I believe CP1517 broadly delivers the intentions of the P344 Workgroup solution.

Question 2: Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the CP1517 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	None provided
Quorum Development	No	None provided

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 7

Question 3: Will CP1517 impact your organisation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
TMA Data Management Ltd	No	N/A
Quorum Development	Yes	As a software supplier we will be updating our product and services supplied to BSC Parties, in particular the interfaces with the SAA and BMRA. Impact is a commercial matter between us and our customers.

Question 4: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1517?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
0	1	0	1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
TMA Data Management Ltd	No	N/A
Quorum Development	N/A	As a software supplier to BSC Parties any costs incurred as a result of CP1517 are essentially a confidential commercial matter.

CP1517 CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 7

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1517?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
2	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	None provided
Quorum Development	Yes	None provided

Question 6: Do you have any further comments on CP1517?

Summary

Yes	No
0	2

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 7

CP Redlined Text

NETA IDD Part 1 Document

Respondent	Location	Comment
	3.1.1	Does this need another bullet point for Replacement Reserve Data?
	3.1.1 table	Should not BMRA_I037 be included?
	4.12.4.162	Data item 'QP' indicated as being in message type 'PRRC' but is not included in the message definition at 4.12.5.73. The message is a period level message and should not need 'QP'.
Quorum Development	4.12.4.187	RR Instruction Flag 'RN' shown as being in BOALF message but the message definition for BOALF does not include the 'RN' field.
	4.12.4.188	RR Schedule Flag 'SC' shown as being in BOALF message but the message definition for BOALF does not include the 'SC' field.
	4.12.5.34	Should not the DISEBSP message contain data items related to the aggregate RR volumes used in the system price calculation?
	4.12.5.58	Should not the ISPSTACK message contain data items related to the aggregate RR volumes used in the system price calculation?
	4.12.5.69	Typo: 'EM' in place of 'EB' for Exclusive Bid.
	4.12.5.75	Type: 'GMNM' in place of 'GBNM'.

NETA IDD Part 1 Spreadsheet

Respondent	Location	Comment
Quorum Development	SAA_I014, row 988	BRR data group: BRR shown with cardinality of 0-1. Does this mean if there are no acceptances then BRR will not be displayed, given that each of the fields is shown as mandatory ('1)'? If so , then it looks like the child data group for RR Bids ('BRR') would be omitted – but there can of course be RR Bids without acceptances.

NETA IDD Part 2 Document

Respondent	Location	Comment

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 7

© ELEXON Limited 2019

NETA IDD Part 2 Spreadsheet

Respondent	Location	Comment

BSCP01

Respondent	Location	Comment
Quorum Development	New diagram 3.5	Doesn't include RR Instructions as distinct from RR Acceptances – is this deliberate?
	4.1 table row 16	Timescale says RR Delivered Volumes to be reported by +3 WD (1700) but BSCP 602 (2.2.1) says 'Within 1 WD of the provision of a Balancing Service.'

BSCP18

Respondent	Location	Comment

CVA Data Catalogue

Respondent	Location	Comment

SVA Data Catalogue

Respondent	Location	Comment

Reporting Catalogue

Respondent	Location	Comment

CP1517

CP Consultation Responses

19 June 2019

Version 1.0

Page 7 of 7