

MINUTES

MEETING NAME	BSC Panel
Meeting number	281
Date of meeting	9 August 2018
Venue	ELEXON Ltd, 350 Euston Road, NW1 3AW
Classification	Public

ATTENDEES AND APOLOGIES

Attendees	Michael Gibbons	MG	BSC Panel Chairman
	Andy Knowles	AK	Industry Panel Member (and alternate for TE)
	Colin Down	CD	Ofgem Representative
	David Lane	DL	DSO Representative
	Diane Dowdell	DD	Industry Panel Member
	Gareth Evans	GE	Industry Panel Member (alternate for BV)
	Jon Wisdom	JW	Transmission Company Representative
	Mitch Donnelly	MD	Industry Panel Member
	Phil Hare	PH	Independent Panel Member
	Stuart Cotten	SC	Industry Panel Member
	Victoria Pelka	VP	Consumer Panel Member (and alternate for SH)
	Mark Bygraves	MB	ELEXON CEO
	Lawrence Jones	LJ	Modification Secretary
	Victoria Moxham	VM	Panel Secretary
	Claire Kerr	CK	Panel and Committee Support Manager
	Nicholas Brown	NB	ELEXON Company Secretary (Part Meeting)
	Rebecca Kassube	RK	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Roger Harris	RH	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Ryan Dale	RD	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Oliver Meggitt	OM	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Darren Draper	DD	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Elliott Harper	EH	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Jemma Williams	JW	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Edwin Foden	EF	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Damian Clough	DC	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Jason Jackson	JJ	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Mark Bellman	MB	ScottishPower Energy Retail
	Alessandra De Zottis	AZ	UK Power Reserve
Apologies	Barbara Vest	BV	Industry Panel Member
	Derek Bunn	DB	Independent Panel Member
	Stew Horne	SH	Consumer Panel Member
	Tom Edwards	TE	Industry Panel Member
	Nigel Perdue	NP	ELEXON Director of Operations

MINUTES

1. Apologies

- 1.1 The Chairman confirmed the apologies of Barbara Vest, Derek Bunn, Stew Horne, Tom Edwards and Nigel Perdue.

MODIFICATION BUSINESS (OPEN SESSION)

2. Change Report and Progress of Modification Proposals – (281/03)

- 2.1 The Modification Secretary provided an update on open Modifications and Change Proposals.
- 2.2 The Modification Secretary highlighted Modification [P332 'Revisions to the Supplier Hub Principle'](#) which is currently on hold. He commented that on 31 July 2018, Ofgem published a [letter to all interested parties](#) in which they state that the current supplier hub arrangements are not going to be fit for purpose for energy consumers over the longer term. Ofgem noted that it wants to accelerate the Supplier Hub reform including code consolidation in the Retail Energy Code (REC).
- 2.3 The Modification Secretary clarified that the term 'Supplier Hub' appears to be being used differently in the two contexts: Ofgem refers to 'Supplier Hub' as being the only way for consumers to access the energy market currently is via a Supplier, whereas under P332, 'Supplier Hub' has the meaning of the Supplier being responsible for its Metering Systems and appointing its own agents, with the P332 issue focussing on customers appointing their own agents. The Modification Secretary commented that at this stage, there does not appear to be a direct impact on P332.
- 2.4 A Panel Member queried whether P332 is going to be kept on the change log of Modifications indefinitely. They noted that the P332 Proposer had last presented at the 14 September 2017 Panel meeting ([Panel 270](#)) on this issue prior to the start of Ofgem's Significant Code Review (SCR) on Half Hourly (HH) Settlement. The Proposer had indicated that he would return to the Panel six-nine months into Ofgem's SCR to inform the Panel whether he would want to withdraw the Modification if he was happy that the SCR was heading in the right direction to address the problem. The Modification Secretary commented that the Panel granted an extension to the P332 Assessment Procedure at its 10 May 2018 meeting ([Panel 278/03](#)) as Ofgem had indicated that it would publish some policy in this area at the end of 2018.
- 2.5 The Modification Secretary highlighted to the Panel that they have reminded Ofgem that if a decision is not made on [P359 'Mechanised process for GC/DC declarations'](#) and [P361 'Revised treatment of BSC Charges for Lead Parties of Interconnector BM Units'](#) by the end of August 2018, then they would not meet their targeted February 2019 Release date.
- 2.6 The Ofgem Representative responded that they expect to make a decision on P359 in the next week and P362 ahead of schedule. However, due to the contentious issue being discussed, Ofgem expects to miss the 25 WD KPI for P361 as it needs to carry out a more thorough legal review than normal.
- 2.7 The Ofgem Representative commented that Ofgem expects to make a decision on [P344 'Project TERRE implementation into GB market arrangements'](#) in the next couple of weeks, noting that it had passed its 25 Working Day (WD) KPI. They highlighted that the Modification a particularly large volume of papers in the Final Modification Report. This had required a careful review to know exactly what would be being decided upon. Additionally, the change in Panel's recommendation for [P354 'Use of ABSVD for non-BM Balancing Services at the metered \(MPAN\) level'](#) in relation to customer consent had meant that further consideration was needed. However, the Ofgem Representative indicated that its provisional thinking, which had previously been shared with the Panel, had not changed. ELEXON commented that an imminent P344 decision is helpful as it would not want to risk a delay to the new systems readiness for February 2019.

MINUTES

- 2.8 A Panel queried how Ofgem sets its timetable as they were of the view that publishing a 'tbc' decision date s it leaves industry in limbo and can be more unhelpful than a potential indicative date. The Ofgem Representative acknowledged the concern commenting that there may have been an administrative error in relation to P344 as it never expected to meet its 25 WD KPI. They noted that the more contentious Modifications require a more thorough legal view; this can pose additional questions which can take more time to answer as there is reluctance to get the legal team involved too early. The Ofgem Representative agreed to feed back that publishing 'tbc' is viewed as unhelpful.
- 2.9 A Panel Member queried the risk of Great Britain (GB) not being able to participate in TERRE if no decisions regarding Brexit have yet been made for the energy market. ELEXON noted that National Grid has committed to making the TERRE product available in GB and the government has also made it clear that it wants to stay in the single electricity market in Europe. Therefore while any no-deal Brexit implications are not yet clear, ELEXON's working assumptions are based on these very public commitments. MB reiterated that TERRE obligations are already in force the future market opening date of December 2019 so unless a subsequent decision has an impact, we are working to deliver for this date.
- 2.10 ELEXON noted that it has started drafting its Brexit Modification which it intends to bring to the Panel in October 2018.
- 2.11 The BSC Panel:
- a) **APPROVED** a request for an additional two month extension to the [P355](#) Assessment Procedure;
 - b) **APPROVED** a request for an additional three month extension to the [P363/P364](#) Assessment Procedure;
 - c) **RE-AFFIRMED** its commitment to support P344; and
 - d) **NOTED** the contents of the August Change Report.

3. **P369 'National Grid Legal Separation changes to BSC' – (281/04)**

- 3.1 [P369](#) proposes to modify the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) to reflect the creation of a new Electricity System Operator (ESO) that is legally separate from the Transmission System Owner (National Grid Electricity Transmission Limited or NGET).
- 3.2 A Panel Member commented that it was 'most' rather than 'some' of the Panel Members who had concerns regarding the Modification solution terminology ('Transmission Company') at the 12 July 2018 Panel meeting and as such the minutes were updated to reflect this.
- 3.3 Another Panel Member was pleased that recommendation d) had been to 'direct' amendments to the legal text rather than to 'approve' them as they observed that receiving 28 legal text attachments one WD before the meeting meant they did not have time to review all the documentation.
- 3.4 MB reminded the Panel that using the previous term 'Transmission Company' to refer to the ESO whilst efficient was considered by the July Panel to be confusing and that efficiency should not be at the expense of effectiveness. This has resulted in the 703 changes to the legal text to use the new National Electricity Transmission System Operator (NETSO) term and whilst ELEXON is confident that it has picked up all instances, the additional work meant that the 703 detailed legal text changes had only been issued one WD ahead of the meeting which he accepted was challenging.
- 3.5 Panel Members noted respondents' comments to the Report Phase Consultation on the term NETSO and commented that whilst NETSO may not ultimately be the perfect term, it is suitable and so should be approved.
- 3.6 The same Panel Member was strongly of the view that P369 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification as they believed it to be a housekeeping type of change that would not be an efficient use of the

MINUTES

Authority's time should it not progress as Self-Governance. A Panel Member commented that the main argument for this is alignment so that Ofgem receives the changes to all the codes at the same time. ELEXON highlighted that five of the six respondents to the Report Phase Consultation were strongly of the view that P369 should not be treated as Self-Governance. The Chairman acknowledged the arguments on both sides but noted that at the July 2018 Panel meeting, the Panel agreed on balance that P369 should not be progressed as Self-Governance.

- 3.7 A Panel Member also noted the term "BSCCo Shareholder" in BSC Section X Annex X-1 and did not agree that the Panel should be making the decision on the shareholder when it has not yet had confirmation that the shareholder is changing.
- 3.8 The Ofgem Representative requested that all code changes are coordinated so that they are received by Ofgem at the same time. The Transmission Company Representative noted that his National Grid colleagues are in discussion with those at Ofgem to ensure that changes across all of the codes are presented to Ofgem for decision over the period September/October 2018.
- 3.9 The BSC Panel:
- a) **AGREED** that the P369 Proposed Modification:
 - i. **DOES** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (a);
 - b) **APPROVED** an Implementation Date of 29 March 2019;
 - c) **AGREED** the amended definition of 'Transmission Licence';
 - d) **DIRECTED** the P369 legal text is updated with the amended definition of 'Transmission Licence';
 - e) **AGREED** 'NETSO' is the most suited term to represent NG's ESO functions in the BSC;
 - f) **DIRECTED** the P369 legal text is updated to reflect the alternative approach;
 - g) **AGREED** a recommendation that P369 Proposed Modification should be approved; and
 - h) **APPROVED** the P369 Modification Report, subject to the alternative approach being incorporated.

NON-MODIFICATION BUSINESS (OPEN SESSION)

4. Minutes of Meetings 280, 280A, 280B and Actions arising

- 4.1 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed subject to minor amendments and an update on the actions provided.

5. Chairman's Report

- 5.1 The Chairman informed the Panel that the overall feedback received from BSC Parties on the Annual BSC Meeting held on 12 July 2018 was positive. However two specific issues had been raised:
- The Annual BSC Meeting was held on the same day as National Grid's Future Energy Scenarios (FES) conference so a request has been made to prevent this date clash in the future. ELEXON is going to liaise with National Grid regarding its potential date for the FES in 2019, as it may look to move the Annual BSC Meeting to a different date to the normal July Panel Meeting if it is scheduled for the same day; and
 - The 25 BSC Parties that attended the Annual Meeting were fewer in number than those that attended the 2017 Annual BSC Meeting.

MINUTES

- 5.2 The Chairman highlighted that the BSC Panel has a role to play in encouraging BSC Parties to attend the Annual BSC Meeting.
- 5.3 The Chairman advised that he had not received any responses to the [third open letter](#) he had issued to BSC Parties on behalf of the BSCCo Board on 10 July 2018. He also noted that he did not receive any responses to the first or second open letters to BSC Parties, which was disappointing. However, he highlighted that 84 hits had been made on the website although this was fewer than the 116 hits received on the second open letter. The Chairman welcomed any feedback from the Panel on the nature and contents of the letter so that improvements could be made where necessary.
- 5.4 The Chairman highlighted that seven candidates had been nominated to sit on the BSC Panel and so encouraged the Panel to vote by post or fax by 24 August 2018. In relation to the Independent Panel Members, the Chairman confirmed that Phil Hare was willing to continue his role and was under the same impression for Derek Bunn and so would confirm this with him. The Chairman said that he sought and would take informal soundings from other Panel Members on these appointments before finalising his conclusions.
- 5.5 The Chairman informed the Panel that himself and MB have had several meetings with BEIS officials and it is apparent that the nature of code bodies is high on the political and regulatory agenda, which he was pleased to see.

6. ELEXON Report – (281/01)

- 6.1 MB provided an update on recent activities and developments relevant to the BSC and ELEXON since the last Panel meeting.
- 6.2 MB noted section 3.2 of the paper which detailed that ELEXON and National Grid are proposing to host a workshop on Article 52 of the European Electricity Balancing Guideline (EB GL), which requires all Transmission System Operators (TSOs) to make harmonisation proposals for key elements of imbalance settlement. The date for this workshop has now been set for 22 August 2018.
- 6.3 MB highlighted that ELEXON still does not have a BSC Party to raise a Modification out of its [white paper](#) on multiple Suppliers. If Panel Members know anyone who would like to progress this, please encourage them to contact ELEXON.
- 6.4 MB advised that Ofgem had published its [analysis report](#) on 2 August 2018 relating to the Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review (SCR) and subsequent BSC Modification [P305 'Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review Developments'](#). MB noted that the findings are in line with those reported in ELEXON's [P305 Post-Implementation Review](#), which was published in February 2017. ELEXON will continue to report on Imbalance Prices through the monthly System Price Analysis Report (SPAR), and will continue to make sure BSC Parties are aware of the of the second phase of Modification changes due to be implemented on 1 November 2018.

7. Distribution Report - Verbal

- 7.1 DL noted that the Energy Networks Association (ENA) had issued its Future Worlds [consultation](#) for smart grids which closes on 25 September 2018. For this consultation, the ENA is hosting two stakeholder events: one on 29 August 2018 in Edinburgh and one on 3 September 2018 in London and two webinars: one on 21 August 2018 and one on 13 September 2018. Further details can be found on the [events](#) page of the ENA website.

8. National Grid Report – Verbal

- 8.1 JW highlighted that the consultation in relation to the review of access and forward-looking charges has now been published. This is available on the [Charging Futures](#) webpage.

MINUTES

- 8.2 JW noted that National Grid had published its [wider access to BM roadmap](#) on 9 August 2018. This document sets out National Grid's commitments to enable wider BM access for all by 2020 through improving existing routes to market and developing new routes to market. National Grid hopes that this document will help interested parties understand what they can do now in terms of access to the BM, and when specific milestones will be met on the wider access journey.
- 8.3 JW reminded the Panel of action (279/05) for National Grid to provide a detailed response to the Panel on its concerns regarding the amount of arbitrage volumes occurring in the market, particularly its effect on the cashout price. JW advised that currently, National Grid's control room sees non-BM Short Term Operating Reserve (STOR) providers as providing low-cost solutions to balancing the system. However, as some of these are at the same or lower than the bid price, this results in the arbitrage tagging action within the balancing price methodology. These tagging actions are then removed which means they are not included in the eventual cashout price. JW commented that National Grid believes the majority of this is down to spill payments still being received by non-BM STOR providers until 1 April 2020 when [P354 'Use of ABSVD for non-BM Balancing Services at the metered \(MPAN\) level'](#) and the corresponding ABSVD methodology changes go live. National Grid is committed to providing greater transparency of information around the visibility of non-BM STOR actions. This may involve forming an issue group with the wider industry as National Grid needs Parties' support with this.

9. Ofgem Report – Verbal

- 9.1 CD advised that in relation to enforcement/compliance, Ofgem made its [decision](#) to close its case into Bulb regarding their failure to offer pre-payment Meters to customers. Additionally it had fined Npower £2.4 million for failing to meet its advanced meter rollout obligations.
- 9.2 CD noted that Ofgem had approved the five skeleton Target Operating Models (TOMs) in the ELEXON-led project for market-wide HH Settlement. The project has now entered stage 2 or the 'detailed design' phase.
- 9.3 CD advised that Ofgem had issued a [consultation](#) on access to HH electricity data for settlement purposes, with responses due by 3 September 2018.
- 9.4 In relation to the price cap, CD asked the Panel to note that the bill became an Act at the end of July 2018. Ofgem is now formally required to implement a price cap on Standard Variable Tariffs (SVTs) and default tariffs. It has officially stood down its work on extending the vulnerable safeguard tariff (as those customers will be protected under the broader price cap).
- 9.5 CD also advised that Arik Dondi from Ofgem will provide a further update to the Panel on the Faster Switching Programme at its 13 September 2018 Panel meeting.
- 9.6 MB queried when the results of the cross-code survey will be made publically available. CD apologised for the delay in publishing the results, noting that Ofgem intends to make them available over the next few weeks.

10. Report from the ISG – 281/01b

- 10.1 The Panel noted the report from the ISG.

11. Report from the SVG – 281/01c

- 11.1 The Panel noted the report from the SVG.

12. Report from the PAB – 281/01d

- 12.1 The PAB Panel Sponsor made some additional comments on the PAB report. He raised some concerns around the application of the Error and Failure Resolution (EFR) Technique, and stressed the importance of consistency and clarity from PAB Members when making decisions.

MINUTES

- 12.2 A Panel Member queried whether there are fundamental market issues driving PAB's actions. The PAB Panel Sponsor advised that there is a significant decline in Settlement performance in the NHH market because of the volumes transferred to the HH Market under [P272 'Mandatory Half Hourly Settlement for Profile Classes 5-8'](#), however, the underlying performance issue and risk is still the same as it was pre-migration. He also advised that the PAB has recently started to enforce HH Settlement performance provisions which it has not previously done. Further, ELEXON has reminded the PAB that it has discretion as to how to administer the EFR process.
- 12.3 The TDC Panel Sponsor commented that the TDC tries not to make decisions that would set a precedent, rather assessing the merits of each case. He queried whether there is a consistent number of PAB Members that attend each month as PAB often has long-running issues. The PAB Panel Sponsor advised that quoracy is not an issue; rather there is no maximum on the number of PAB Members all of whom want to voice their opinions.
- 12.4 MB highlighted to the Panel that as a sub-Committee of the Panel, it can remind the PAB that it should be exercising discretion.
- 12.5 A Panel Member, who is recently-appointed to the PAB, expressed similar views of the way the PAB was working. They reiterated that the PAB should focus more on managing risks and suggested that together with the PAB Panel Sponsor, they develop potential improvements for further discussion with the PAB.
- 12.6 The Panel Secretary advised that ELEXON intends to bring a draft paper to the PAB regarding its own observations. This will address the PAB's membership, what the PAB agenda should be, taking a more pragmatic approach to risk management and proposing a review of the PAB's Terms of Reference.

13. Report from the TDC – 281/01e

- 13.1 The Panel noted the report from the TDC.

14. Trading Operations: BSC Operations Headline Report – 281/02

- 14.1 The Panel noted the BSC Operations Headline Report.

15. System Price Analysis Report– ISG207/02

- 15.1 The Panel noted the SPAR.

16. Funding Shares Audit Findings – (281/05)

- 16.1 ELEXON informed the Panel of the results of the recent audit of the calculation of Funding Shares for year ended 31 March 2018, as part of the BSC Audit.
- 16.2 The BSC Panel:
- a) **NOTED** the findings of the 2017/18 Funding Shares Audit.

NON MODIFICATION BUSINESS (OPEN SESSION)

17. Proposal To Write to the Competition in Connections Code of Practice (CiCCoP) Regarding ICP Commissioning Concerns – (281/06)

- 17.1 Feedback received from Independent Distribution Network Operators (IDNOs), Licensed Distribution System Operators (LDSOs) and Meter Operator Agents (MOAs) through the undertaking of [P283 'Reinforcing the Commissioning of Metering Equipment Processes'](#) Error and Failure Resolution (EFR) plans and exit checks

MINUTES

has highlighted concerns around Independent Connection Providers (ICPs) in the undertaking of Commissioning.

- 17.2 The Panel Secretary noted that the proposal to write to the CiCCoP Panel originated from the PAB because of the impact on Settlement performance. Given the recipient, the PAB wished to have Panel involvement.
- 17.3 The Transmission Company Representative noted that ICPs are not BSC Parties so queried who under the BSC has the obligation to make sure that the work is being carried out correctly. ELEXON advised that this is the LDSO. Under the BSC, Commissioning happens in two stages: firstly the current transformers, which LDSOs are responsible, and secondly the Metering System, which the MOA is responsible. The MOA is then responsible for finalising the Commissioning process by completing an overall accuracy assessment for the Metering System based Commissioning records produced by both the LDSO and the MOA. It is the Commissioning of the current transformers that the ICPs are not completing on behalf of the LDSOs which is causing the issue.
- 17.4 The Transmission Company Representative queried whether this is a contractual issue between the ICP and the LDSO. ELEXON noted that there may be more that LDSOs and IDNOs could be doing in order to enforce the contractual terms to ensure that Commissioning is completed successfully. However, LDSOs have highlighted that their efforts in this direction have so far been unsuccessful. The DSO Representative noted that a prime aim of the BSC is to ensure Settlement accuracy. As Commissioning is the very first step towards achieving this, it is particularly important that issues that frustrate this are addressed with some priority. As regards to contractual relationships, the DSO Representative commented that the LDSOs and IDNOs he had spoken to are frustrated because they do not have a direct relationship with ICPs. Therefore it is sensible to highlight the issue to the CiCCoP so that it can provide its views.
- 17.5 The BSC Panel:
- a) **DETERMINED** that a letter should be sent from the BSC Panel to the CiCCoP Panel highlighting the concern around ICP's impact on Commissioning processes.

18. Further BSC Simplification Opportunities – (281/07)

- 18.1 ELEXON highlighted four areas where the BSC arrangements could be simplified and recommends at this time, that the Panel raise one BSC Modification and ELEXON issues a consultation for the other three.
- 18.2 A Panel Member noted that the Panel currently has powers to raise Modifications in certain circumstances and queried whether these need to be made broader. He noted that although some Panel Members work for individual parties and others are consultants, it is regarded as an independent Panel and it does not receive the same criticism as other industry code panels. He was concerned that there is a risk that a change of this nature may jeopardise that.
- 18.3 A Panel Member expressed the view that they saw 'further simplification opportunities to the BSC' and 'extending the Panel's powers' as two separate things. The Panel Member queried whether the intention was to give the Panel powers to make low material changes or whether the intention was to increase the Panel powers to push forward changes that it believes the market needs. Further, the Panel Member could see the merit in pushing forward strategic items that otherwise would not be progressed for example, ELEXON's white paper, which still does not have a Proposer. Another Panel Member did not want the Panel to be perceived as creating issues by raising Modifications that Parties are then compelled to attend Workgroups for. He questioned whether this resource should be used elsewhere, given all the challenges currently faced by the industry.
- 18.4 MB clarified that currently, there is a narrow ability for the Panel to raise Modifications. The proposal is not to introduce additional powers for the Panel to approve changes; this would be a platform to get Modifications raised and subject to the normal Modification Procedures, which include the Workgroup discussions, industry

MINUTES

consultations and the Panel's decision to recommend approval or rejection. He further noted that all Modifications would be subject to Ofgem approval in cases where they are not deemed as Self-Governance.

- 18.5 A Panel Member commented that they could see the benefit in Panel raising Modifications on behalf of parties who are unable to do so, however he believed that this was already being addressed for example under [P370 'Allow the Panel to designate non-BSC Parties to raise Modifications'](#). The Modification Secretary commented that P370 would still require the party to raise and progress Modifications, whereas Panel raised Modifications would move the burden to a nominated representative such as ELEXON.
- 18.6 A Panel Member expressed the view that they had some reservations in extending the Panel's role as they believed the Panel needs to be careful not to be viewed as a central agent for change. They highlighted that the strength of the BSC is the engagement with Parties and therefore checks and balances are important. The Panel Member emphasised that this proposal would need to be heavily consulted on.
- 18.7 MB noted that this proposal also results from signals from Ofgem that there should be better ways of kick-starting changes that are needed and foreseen in the sector. The Ofgem Representative noted that this was a complex issue and could see arguments on both sides of the proposal. MB highlighted that if it is Ofgem's view that panels and code administrators should be playing a more central role in change, then this meeting was an opportunity to reinforce that view.
- 18.8 Overall the Panel agreed that the proposal should be explored further and stressed that suitable checks and balances would be needed to be considered in some depth. A Panel Member suggested that the issues route may be more appropriate at this time to help develop the issue and solution. The Panel agreed that ELEXON should return to the Panel with a further options paper for developing the concept and detailing the progression options (Issue versus Modification). The Panel can then provide comments and ensure that it is comfortable with the changes that are being proposed before this enters the formal Modification route.

ACTION 280/01

- 18.9 The Panel agreed that it was sensible for ELEXON to consult on the three unused areas of the BSC on efficiency grounds.
- 18.10 The BSC Panel:
- a) **COMMENTED** on the further simplification opportunity;
 - b) **COMMENTED** on the further areas for simplification;
 - c) **AGREED** that ELEXON draft an options paper which will be presented to the Panel at its 13 September 2018 meeting for review and agreement regarding the further simplification opportunity; and
 - d) **AGREED** that ELEXON issue a consultation on the three unused areas of the BSC.

19. Any other Business

- 19.1 There was no other business in the Open session.

20. Next meeting

- 20.1 The next meeting of the BSC Panel will be held at the offices of ELEXON Ltd, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3AW on Thursday 13 September 2018.