

## ACTIONS

<b>Meeting name</b>	BSC Panel	<b>Meeting number</b>	296
<b>Date and time</b>	14 November 2019	<b>Actions from</b>	BSC Panel
<b>Venue</b>	ELEXON	<b>Classification</b>	Public

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public /Conf
1.	293 (05)	293/14	A PM queried whether there was scope for maps to be added to BMRS to make the Network Mapping Statement data more intuitive. ELEXON noted that this would not be possible for this year but agreed to discuss this with the PM outside of the meeting in order to explore this possibility for the future.	ELEXON	Oct 2019	ELEXON contacted Panel member to discuss action; Panel member forwarded comparison spreadsheet. Teleconference with NETSO scheduled for 14 October to understand why data sources differ, and agree course of action.  The teleconference took place on 14 October 2019 and it was agreed that this work would be revisited in mid-November 2019 as work on Transmission Loss Factors took priority.	Open	Public
2.	294 (02)	Ofgem Report Verbal Update	A PM requested that the Ofgem Representative look into Generation Storage Licences to ensure that it would not be forcing storage providers to become Capacity Market parties.	Ofgem	Nov 2019	An update will be provided at the November 2019 BSC Panel meeting.	Open	Public

## ACTIONS

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public /Conf
3.	294 (03)	294/09	<p>A Panel Member challenged the assertion within the paper that NIV chasing would increase balancing costs for the National Grid Transmission System Operator (NETSO). The BSC Panel agreed that there was insufficient evidence to confirm this was the case and that the practice may in fact reduce balancing costs.</p> <p>A PM noted that the paper had not been able to explore whether any embedded Generators were engaged in this practice as the data to investigate this was not available.</p> <p>A PM suggested that if Interconnectors were engaged in this practice as suggested by the paper, they may be in breach of the Grid Code and should be reported to Ofgem. The NEGESO Representative took an action to investigate the PM's concerns regarding interconnectors and to confirm whether NIV chasing has a systemic impact on balancing costs. The NGESO Representative noted that they would engage with ELEXON's analyst who had produced the paper to progress this.</p>	NGESO	Nov 2019	<p>1. The data presented in Panel paper 294/09: INVESTIGATIONS INTO NET IMBALANCE VOLUME CHASING ('NIV CHASING') IN GB ELECTRICITY MARKET had grouped the Interconnector and Non Physical Trader data and there is no concern that Interconnectors/Interconnector users are NIV chasing.</p> <p>2. We recognise that Parties may NIV chase before Gate Closure/Submission Deadline as a Trading Strategy.</p> <p>3. Where Parties that do not submit FPN data and are registered in the SVA arrangements change power in real time to NIV chase, ELEXON does not have data to investigate this.</p> <p>4. Having discussed this with National Grid ESO we do not plan to investigate NIV chasing any</p>	To Be Closed	Public

## ACTIONS

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public /Conf
						further at this time.		
4.	294 (05)	Verbal	The BSC Panel agreed that in order to ensure that DCE processes were efficient for future operation, ELEXON should raise a BSC Issue. Consideration should be given to introducing a de-minimis threshold for DCE and the impact to embedded Generators.	ELEXON	Nov 2019	An update will be provided as part of the November Panel Change Report, 296/03.	Open	Public
5.	295 (01)	295/06	A PM suggested that it would be helpful if Ofgem could confidentially report events of default under other Codes to Code Managers/Administrators in order to help Codes better manage Party defaults. The Ofgem representative noted that Ofgem has robust monitoring around Supplier stability but it is limited in how much of this information it is able to share with industry Codes. The PM suggested that this was the type of issue that the Codes Governance review should be looking at. The BSC Panel Chair noted the extensive submission by the Panel to the Code Governance Review and the Panel's enthusiasm to enhance and align the Codes. As such, sharing credit default information was very much in the spirit of the Panel's intent, and Ofgem should include the	Ofgem	Nov 2019	This action was flagged to Ofgem which confirmed that it would consider and respond accordingly	Open	Public

## ACTIONS

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public /Conf
			issue in the scope of the Review.					
6.	295 (02)	AOB	The BSC Panel discussed the existence and cost v benefits of running the EAA. The BSC Panel discussed the risks associated with terminating the EAA including the possibility that historic contracts between market participants might make reference to the EAA. ELEXON took an action to investigate this matter and to take appropriate action.	ELEXON	Nov 2019	An update on this action is provided as an attachment to the action log.	Open	Public