

MINUTES

MEETING NAME	BSC Panel
---------------------	-----------

Meeting number	299
-----------------------	-----

Date of meeting	Thursday 13 February 2020
------------------------	---------------------------

Venue	ELEXON Ltd. Offices – Pink Room
--------------	---------------------------------

Classification	Public
-----------------------	--------

ATTENDEES AND APOLOGIES

Attendees	Michael Gibbons	MG	BSC Panel Chair
	Phil Hare	PH	Deputy BSC Panel Chair
	Colin Down	CD	Ofgem Representative (via teleconference)
	David Lane	DL	DSO Representative
	Victoria Pelka	VP	Consumer Panel Member (Alternate for Stew Horne)
	Lisa Waters	LW	Industry Panel Member
	Tom Edwards	TE	Industry Panel Member (via teleconference)
	Rhys Kealley	RK	Industry Panel Alternate (Alternate for Mitch Donnelly and Mark Bellman)
	Stuart Cotton	SC	Industry Panel Member
	Diane Dowdell	DD	Independent Panel Member
	Derek Bunn	DB	Independent Panel Member
	Jon Wisdom	JW	NGESO Panel Member
	Arik Dondi	AD	Ofgem Presenter (Part Meeting)
	Mark Bygraves	MB	ELEXON CEO
	Victoria Moxham	VM	ELEXON Director of Operations, Panel Secretary
	Jason Jackson	JJ	Panel and Committee Support Manager
	Lawrence Jones	LJ	The Modification Secretary
	Peter Frampton	PF	ELEXON (Part Meeting via Teleconference)
	Chris Wood	CW	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Adey Bolaji	AB	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
	Darren Draper	AB	ELEXON (Part Meeting)
Apologies	Stew Horne	SH	Consumer Panel Member
	Mitch Donnelly	MD	Industry Panel Member

Apologies

- 1.1 The Chair noted apologies from Stew Horne, Mark Bellman and Mitch Donnelly. The Panel Alternates for Mark Bellman, Mitch Donnelly and Stew Horne were also noted.
- 1.2 VP noted that it would be the last Panel Meeting she would attend as a Consumer Panel Member as she was due to leave Citizens Advice for a new role with Ofgem. The Panel Chair thanked VP for her years of service and for the strong and appropriate challenge she had presented to the Panel. VP thanked the Panel Chair and the BSC Panel for the experience she had gained during her appointment as a Consumer Panel Member.

MINUTES

MODIFICATION BUSINESS (OPEN SESSION)

IWA: Initial Written Assessment | **AC:** Assessment Procedure Consultation | **AR:** Assessment Report
RC: Report Phase Consultation | **DMR:** Draft Modification Report

2. Change Report and Progress of Modification Proposals (299/03)

- 2.1 The Modification Secretary presented the Change Report. The Modification Secretary notified the Panel that the [P379](#) Proposer was intending to withdraw P379 due to other business commitments. ELEXON has been engaging with interested Parties and non-Parties. A Panel Member (PM) queried whether there was appetite within the industry for multiple Suppliers. The PM cited the complexity, the resource and cost intensity of the work already undertaken. The Panel Chair noted the positive feedback and interest received when ELEXON first presented the proposal to industry.
- 2.2 The Modification Secretary believed there were both Parties and non-Parties interested in adopting P379, which suggest there is appetite for progressing P379. However, he also noted that there were mixed views from the Workgroup whether there was a market for multiple Suppliers. The Workgroup were agreed that conducting the P379 Impact Assessment would not only help establish the costs and impacts of implementing P379, but also the appetite from industry to implement, especially given the hard work invested by the Workgroup and ELEXON. He also noted that Ofgem were fully supportive of P379 and issuing the impact assessment.
- 2.3 The P379 Workgroup requested a seven month extension. The Panel debated whether this should be granted.
- 2.4 The National Grid Electricity System Operator (NGESO) Panel Member challenged the expanding scope of the Modification, suggesting that the solution had originally been intended to be limited in scope and deliver the minimum viable product to meet the high level proposed solution. The NGESO Panel Member suggested that it would be more appropriate to deliver the level of fundamental change the Workgroup was looking to propose through a Significant Code Review (SCR), rather than through the Change process of a single Code.
- 2.5 The BSC Panel discussed the challenges with respect to the complexity of this Modification along with the reasons that a complex solution was required. Namely that the solution was having to be built upon the complex existing arrangements. The Panel discussed potential options for delivering the solution or taking a different approach. The Modification Secretary, who was also the P379 Chair, confirmed that the Workgroup had listened to the Panel's previous calls to keep things simple, and had consequently limited the solution to licensed Suppliers only. Removing licence-exempt Suppliers significantly simplified the solution and the wider impacts.
- 2.6 The Consumer Panel Member raised their concern that this Modification could be very popular with non-BSC Parties and that care should be taken to ensure that their interests were not excluded.
- 2.7 The Modification Secretary noted that ELEXON could present a further interim report at the following BSC Panel meeting if it would help the Panel reach a decision regarding the extension.
- 2.8 The BSC Panel discussed this option and agreed that an interim report should be brought back to the March 2020 BSC Panel meeting.
- 2.9 The Ofgem Representative noted that as a minimum it would be good to capture the work and analysis which had already been undertaken in order to share it more widely.
- 2.10 The BSC Panel further discussed the wider interest in this solution. ELEXON noted discussions with Ofgem and plans to run a workshop in partnership with Ofgem in order to get as many potential users of the solution as possible in the same room in order to identify as many use cases as possible which could deliver value to the industry and/or consumers.

MINUTES

- 2.11 ELEXON talked through some of the detail of the two solutions being developed in order to explain why both were still being developed. Whilst the structure of the solution was agreed, there were different ways to deliver it, and it is not possible to meaningfully determine this without an impact assessment. For example, there needs to be a calculation entity, to split the metered volumes, but there are options as to who could meet this role.
- 2.12 A PM noted that on the basis that some of the changes the Modification was seeking to introduce were fairly fundamental it would be helpful to have a clear steer from Ofgem with respect to how it saw the solution being developed for this Modification fitting into its longer term road map and strategy for the industry. P379 was being progressed alongside other significant changes, such as P332. The PM suggested that Ofgem needed to have an end goal in mind and to provide steer to the industry with respect to how that goal should be achieved so that changes were made in a joined up fashion.
- 2.13 The Panel Chair summarised that the Panel wished for ELEXON to bring an interim report on P379 progress to the March Panel meeting and that the Panel wished for Ofgem to provide a view as to how this Modification would fit into its more structured view of other Modifications, SCRs and its other decision making.
- 2.14 ELEXON presented its update on [P390](#). With respect to Ofgem's intention to retain its right to review and consent any expansion of ELEXON's vires, the Panel Chair noted P390's original intention to streamline and simplify the process of doing so. The Panel Chair queried, for context, the number of other industry code bodies which required Ofgem's approval in order to expand their vires. The Modification Secretary noted that they did not believe that there were any. The Ofgem Representative noted that Ofgem's rationale in this instance was that it was in the middle of a fundamental review of the industry codes with BEIS, and as part of that Ofgem and BEIS have proposed to increase accountability of code management services, and that in Ofgem's view it was therefore not the right time to remove its existing approval right.
- 2.15 The BSC Panel debated whether the priority the NGESO was placing on Modifications intended to ensure that the UK conformed to EU electricity markets was appropriate, given the UK's recent formal exit from the EU. The NGESO Panel Member noted that the NGESO was inclined to take its legal obligations seriously in order to mitigate what it saw as potentially material legal risks. A PM noted their concern that the NGESO appeared to be spending more time and resources implementing EU directives than it did implementing changes needed within the UK market. And that they were aware of other industry members which shared this concern.
- 2.16 The BSC Panel:
- a) **APPROVED** a four-month extension to the P376 Assessment Procedure;
 - b) **APPROVED** a one-month extension to the P390 Assessment Procedure;
 - c) **APPROVED** a two-month extension to the P392 Assessment Procedure; and
 - d) **NOTED** the contents of the February Change Report.

3. P400 BSC Panel Modification Business via Video/Teleconference (299/04)

- 3.1 A Panel Member expressed their concerns over losing the value inherent in holding face to face meetings of the BSC Panel. The Panel Member noted that excellent video conferencing facilities existed, which could provide an adequate substitute but could be very expensive. The Member had concerns that this Modification could erode the good levels of debate and interaction that the Panel has. He also believed the circumstances where the Panel would need to meet remotely should be exceptional (e.g. travel disruption), whereas this proposal made no such distinction.

MINUTES

- 3.2 The Panel Member noted that they had confidence in the current BSC Panel Chair, to decide whether to allow Panel Members to dial in appropriately. And that they had confidence that with the current composition of the BSC Panel, Panel Members would endeavour to attend meetings in person and preserve the value of face to face discussion and ensure the robustness of BSC Panel decision making; however this solution would be enduring and so would need to be carefully considered.
- 3.3 The Panel agreed that meetings held face to face are more effective and are the preferred method of conducting Modification business.
- 3.4 A Panel Member suggested that good quality video conferencing had become more affordable and effective in their experience. A Panel Member suggested that implementing [P400](#) could help to ensure that the BSC Panel was a more inclusive forum. The Panel Member noted that it would not be possible to know which market participants were being excluded without implementing such a Modification.
- 3.5 The National Grid Electricity System Operator (NGESO) Panel Member noted that a similar arrangement was already in place for the Connection and Use of System (CUSC) Panel and that it worked effectively.
- 3.6 The BSC Panel further discussed the value of face to face meetings with respect to robust decision making versus the benefits of enabling Panel Members to attend BSC Panel meetings remotely.
- 3.7 A Panel Member noted that travel for business was not sustainable to meet net zero and that the BSC Panel should be moving with the times.
- 3.8 The BSC Panel agreed by majority that the benefits outweighed the disadvantage of P400 and that it should be progressed. However it was agreed that clear expectations should be set out in a formal set of guidelines indicating that BSC Panel Members should wherever practicable attend BSC Panel Meetings in person.
- 3.9 A PM requested that the Report Phase Consultation period for this Modification be extended by one week, ELEXON confirmed that it would do so but that the Draft Modification Report would then need to be issued as a late paper to the March 2020 BSC Panel meeting.
- 3.10 The BSC Panel:
- a) **RAISED** this Modification Proposal in accordance with Section F2.1.1(d)(i);
 - b) **AGREED** that this Modification progresses directly to the Report Phase;
 - c) **AGREED** that this Modification:
 - i) **DOES** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d);
 - d) **AGREED** an initial recommendation that this Modification should be **approved**;
 - e) **AGREED** an initial Implementation Date of:
 - i) 25 June 2020
 - f) **AGREED** the draft legal text;
 - g) **AGREED** an initial view that this Modification should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification; and
 - h) **NOTED** that ELEXON will issue the draft Modification Report (including the draft BSC legal text) for a 10 Working Day consultation and will present the results to the Panel at its meeting on 12 March 2020.

4. Governance arrangements for BSC Panel Alternates (299/05)

- 4.1 The Panel discussed the proposed solution for P401. The Distribution Network Operator (DNO) Representative noted that to their mind the issue regarding Alternates could be resolved in a more straight

MINUTES

forward manner were voting to be restricted to one vote per attending Panel Member or Alternate. He believed this was easier and fairer.

- 4.2 The Panel discussed potential amendments to the solution, such as only allowing an attending Panel Member or Alternate to cast two votes if the meeting would not otherwise be quorate.
- 4.3 The Panel agreed that the ambiguity within the Code while not presently an issue should be resolved and that the proposed solution was appropriate. A PM requested that the Report Phase Consultation period for this Modification be extended by one week, ELEXON confirmed that it would do so but that the Draft Modification Report would then need to be issued as a late paper to the March 2020 BSC Panel meeting.
- 4.4 The BSC Panel:
- a) **RAISED** this Modification Proposal in accordance with Section F2.1.1(d)(i);
 - b) **AGREED** that this Modification progresses directly to the Report Phase;
 - c) **AGREED** that this Modification:
 - i) **DOES** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d);
 - d) **AGREED** an initial recommendation that this Modification should be **approved**;
 - e) **AGREED** an initial Implementation Date of:
 - i) 25 June 2020
 - f) **AGREED** the draft legal text;
 - g) **AGREED** an initial view that this Modification should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification; and
 - h) **NOTED** that ELEXON will issue the draft Modification Report (including the draft BSC legal text) for a 10 Working Day consultation and will present the results to the Panel at its meeting on 12 March 2020.

5. P382 Amendments to the BSC in the event of no-deal Brexit (299/06)

- 5.1 The BSC Panel discussed ELEXON's recommendation to reject P382 on the basis that the UK had left the EU with a deal for the transition period thereby negating the need for the Modification.
- 5.2 A PM queried why work was not being undertaken to progress towards the delivery of project MARI (Manually Activated Reserves Initiative). ELEXON noted that this work would have to be progressed in tandem with the NGESO. The NGESO Panel Member noted that it would undertake that work when there was a legal requirement on it to do so. Also noting regular discussions at a senior level between the NGESO and Ofgem on this matter. ELEXON noted that it was working closely with the NGESO and that the intention was for ELEXON and the NGESO to raise a joint Modification to progress this initiative.
- 5.3 The BSC Panel:
- a) **AGREED** that P382:
 - i) **DOES NOT** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (a);
 - ii) **DOES NOT** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d); and
 - iii) **DOES NOT** better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (e);
 - b) **DETERMINED** (in the absence of any Authority direction) that P382 is a Self-Governance Modification Proposal;
 - c) **REJECTED** P382;

MINUTES

- d) **APPROVED** an Implementation Date of the Working Day after the end of the Self-Governance window;
- e) **REJECTED** the draft legal text; and
- f) **APPROVED** the P382 Modification Report.

6. Issue 85 “Removal of obligation to visit de-energised sites once every 12 months from BSCP504” – (299/07)

- 6.1 A PM queried whether a BSC Party was in place to raise the CP recommended by the Issue Group. ELEXON noted that if a Party did not step in to raise the Change ELEXON would raise the CP itself.
- 6.2 A PM noted their concern that the Change recommended by the Issue Group would introduce disharmony between the Supplier Licence and the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) by re-introducing the obligation to visit de-energised sites on a regular basis. The Obligation for regular site visits was removed from the Supplier Licence obligations in 2016 by the Authority as other obligations, such as Licence Condition 21b.4 would prompt the Supplier to use a risk based approach to ensure that sites are visited regularly enough to avoid health and safety issues.
- 6.3 The BSC Panel:
 - a) **NOTED** the contents of the report.

7. ELEXON’s response to Ofgem’s SCR letter to the BSC Panel – (299/08)

- 7.1 The presenter from Ofgem provided a brief summary of Ofgem’s strategy for the Switching Programme, including the Retail Code Consolidation (RCC) Significant Code Review (SCR) and the Switching SCR and what it hoped to achieve through the letter which was issued to the BSC Panel. Ofgem noted that it had taken the decision that progress towards the rationalisation of the industry switching Codes would be best achieved through the introduction of an entirely new Code which would subsume existing Code functions (the MRA and SPAA). Hence the introduction of the Retail Energy Code (REC). The presenter from Ofgem noted that Ofgem sees value in co-ordinating the work undertaken as part of the SCR. ELEXON noted that it had been working closely with Ofgem to help ensure that ELEXON is able to deliver what Ofgem expects of it.
- 7.2 ELEXON presented its interpretation of the letter issued to the BSC Panel by Ofgem and the draft response which ELEXON had prepared on behalf of the BSC Panel.
- 7.3 The BSC Panel discussed the draft response prepared by ELEXON. The Panel Chair queried of the Ofgem presenter as to Ofgem’s likely reaction upon receiving the letter were it to be issued in its current form. The Ofgem presenter reiterated that Ofgem saw value in taking a strong leading role in ensuring that the aims it sought to achieve through the SCR were delivered, noting that Ofgem was keen however to work cooperatively with industry and industry Codes, thereby avoiding having to rely too heavily on its SCR powers. The Ofgem presenter noted that Ofgem was comfortable with ELEXON’s response and the timescales under which ELEXON had confirmed it would be able to deliver what was required under the BSC.
- 7.4 The BSC Panel discussed the directive tone of Ofgem’s letter, its very short deadline for response, and the implications with respect to its intention. The Ofgem presenter noted that the letter followed a generic template which had been issued to all of the relevant industry Panels. The BSC Panel then discussed and refined the tone and wording within the response drafted by ELEXON. The DNO Representative highlighted the importance of on-going conversations taking place offline between ELEXON and Ofgem to help ensure the two bodies’ continued cooperation. The DNO Representative also noted that the letter had been addressed to the BSC Panel and as such it should be clearer that the response was being issued on behalf of the BSC Panel and indicate more clearly what the BSC Panel would be doing to ensure that Ofgem’s requests were met in a timely manner.

MINUTES

- 7.5 The Ofgem presenter noted that Ofgem's view was that it was working well with its colleagues at ELEXON and that the intention of the letters was to ensure that industry code Panel's had full sight of what was being done as part of the SCR and Ofgem's wider strategy.
- 7.6 The Panel Chair concluded, summarising the two proposed modifications to the response letter and the refinements to the tone and clarification that it is coming from the BSC Panel.
- 7.7 The BSC Panel:
- a) **APPROVED** the draft Ofgem letter (including the progression plan at Appendix A) subject to amendments discussed at the meeting; and
 - b) **NOTED** the contents of this paper.

8. Approval of BSCP602 amended for the April 2020 Standalone Release – (299/09)

- 8.1 ELEXON presented the amendments to [BSCP602](#) which the BSC Panel voted unanimously to approve.
- 8.2 The BSC Panel:
- a) **APPROVED** version 1.2 of BSCP 602 for the April 2020 Standalone Release;
 - b) **NOTED** that version 2.0 of BSCP602 will become effective on 1 April 2020; and
 - c) **NOTED** that all other BSC CIs will be presented to the relevant Panel Committee(s) for approval.

NON-MODIFICATION BUSINESS (OPEN SESSION)

9. Minutes of Previous Meetings & Actions arising

- 9.1 ELEXON highlighted amendments made to the minutes of the January 2020 BSC Panel meeting, the minutes were subsequently approved. ELEXON presented the [BSC Panel Action log](#) for the February 2020 meeting.
- 9.2 With respect to action [296\(01\)](#) regarding Ofgem's coordination of industry efforts in Information Systems (IS) development, ELEXON provided an update from Ofgem's Head of Data. The BSC Panel considered the update and requested that Ofgem provide a more specific update with respect to what Ofgem is doing in order to avoid separate industry Codes spending money and resource developing IS which could instead be shared or consolidated. The BSC Panel queried whether Ofgem should be doing more to coordinate this work to ensure that this work is aligned with Ofgem's Modernising Energy strategy.

10. Chairman's Report

- 10.1 The Panel Chair noted that his items were all covered elsewhere in the agenda.

11. ELEXON Report (299/01)

- 11.1 MB highlighted ELEXON's latest Insight Article, '[The electricity industry – ten years of change](#)', which drew on the wealth of data that ELEXON makes available on its platforms.
- 11.2 MB also noted the work being undertaken to improve the performance of a key service provider. Highlighting meetings which had taken place with the service provider including with their global CEO. MB reiterated ELEXON's commitment to improving performance with respect to key KPIs.
- 11.3 ELEXON also again highlighted that some Suppliers continue to operate in the market without having paid their Capacity Market payments which had been mutualised across other BSC Parties.
- 11.4 A PM noted that they were aware of Capacity Market (CM) monies which had been issued to outdated bank accounts. MB noted it is the responsibility of CM providers to update ELEXON with updated details following a

MINUTES

change and that emails had been sent in advance to confirm account details but, at the PM's request, he would consider if such communications needed to be wider in future.

11.5 The BSC Panel:

a) **NOTED** the report.

12. Distribution Report

12.1 The DNO Representative noted the Electricity Networks Association (ENA) Open Networks consultation which was due to close on 17 March 2020. The DNO Representative also noted an upcoming webinar related to the consultation which was due to take place on 26 February 2020 from 12:30 to 13:30. Links to the [Open Networks consultation](#) and the [upcoming webinar](#) were circulated to BSC Panel Members.

13. National Grid Report

13.1 The NGESO Panel Member noted that Ofgem had launched a review of system operation for gas and electricity. And that the review would conclude in the spring.

13.2 The NGESO Panel Member noted that the NGESO is close to finalising BSC modification requirements for the TCR. This will cover a change to frequency of data in the P210 file, a file for data for annual tariff setting/billing and potentially a file to allow disputes to be reported.

13.3 Also that the NGESO is finalising the Grid Code change for SIL/SEL following last year's CBA commitments.

13.4 He also noted the NGESO's Pathfinder work has resulted in an embedded provider providing reactive power support to the NGESO for the first time.

14. Ofgem Report

14.1 The Ofgem representative noted the following items:

14.2 Ovo

14.2.1 Agreed to pay £8.9m for issuing inaccurate or incomplete info to customers, and for over/under charging customers due to IT issues.

14.3 Utility Warehouse

14.3.1 Will refund and compensate +3k warm home discount customers with £450k after it overcharged them due to a system error.

14.3.2 Will pay a further £200k into a redress fund.

14.4 National Grid and Scottish Power

14.4.1 Ofgem have opened an investigation into National Grid Transmission System Operator (NGTSO) and Scottish Power Transmission regarding their delivery and operation of a subsea cable ("Western high voltage direct current - HVDC").

14.5 Consultations etc.

14.5.1 Ofgem published its Decarbonisation Action Plan.

14.5.2 Ofgem recognises the scale of the challenge to reach net zero and its duties around sustainability.

14.5.3 The action plan sets out the actions Ofgem will take in the next 18 months to help pave the way to net zero.

14.5.4 Ofgem will work with government, industry and consumers to do this at lowest cost.

14.5.5 Ofgem's approach will continue to evolve.

MINUTES

- 14.6 The Panel Chair noted that ELEXON is doing all that it can to help facilitate the Electric Vehicle (EV) rollout and also the imperative issued under Ofgem and Government's nine point plan with respect to removing all barriers to innovation and new business.

14.7 ESO's draft forward work plan

- 14.7.1 Ofgem recognised that the ESO has made continued improvements to its reporting and welcomed the positive engagement to date with stakeholders.
- 14.7.2 Theme of feedback was that the deliverables needed to be more well-defined and specific.
- 14.8 A PM raised concerns over a Supplier which had failed to pay its Renewable Obligation (RO) and criticized the pace with which Ofgem was taking action to resolve this issue. MB noted that there were two aspects to the issue. The first being that all of the other Suppliers were conforming to the rules and secondly that those which are end up having to pay for those which don't through mutualisation. MB noted that Ofgem had suggested that the RO should be invoiced more frequently than once per annum, either daily such as with Settlement or monthly. MB noted that such invoicing is exactly what ELEXON does day in and day out and that he was concerned that if Ofgem pursued this direction and its usual approach of procurement, it could end up with another system being built in another place to perform the same function at additional cost to the consumer.

15. Tabled Reports

- 15.1 The BSC Panel noted the reports from the ISG, SVG, PAB, TDC, the Trading Operations Headline Report and the System Price Analysis report.

16. Review of BSC Specified Charges and Minimum Invoice – (299/11)

- 16.1 ELEXON presented the review of BSC Specified Charges and Minimum Invoice which the BSC Panel considered, debated and voted unanimously to approve.
- 16.2 The BSC Panel:
- a) **APPROVED** the new SVA Specified Charge of £0.00915/SVA MSID per month; and
 - b) **APPROVED** the new minimum invoice amount of £250.

17. Next Meeting

- 17.1 The next meeting of the BSC Panel will be held at the offices of ELEXON Ltd, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3AW on Thursday 12 March 2020.

ACTIONS

Meeting name: BSC Panel

Meeting number: 300

Date: 12 March 2020

Classification: Public

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public/ Conf
1.	295 (02)	AOB	The BSC Panel discussed the existence and cost v benefits of running the EAA. The BSC Panel discussed the risks associated with terminating the EAA including the possibility that historic contracts between market participants might make reference to the EAA. ELEXON took an action to investigate this matter and to take appropriate action.	ELEXON	Mar 2020	<p>An update on this action was provided as an attachment to the November 2019 action log. ELEXON has continued to pursue a resolution to this action.</p> <p>ELEXON has issued a second letter to the EAA President. We sent one on 9th October asking for summary information on cases presented to them with no reply.</p> <p>On that basis the second letter advised that with reference to the previous letter and the EAA's failure to reply we anticipate that the BSC Panel will instruct ELEXON to cease further funding of the service. The letter asked whether the EAA would like for ELEXON to present further information on the use and frequency of use of the service, and directed that if that was the case the EAA should respond to our previous letter by 24 January 2020.</p> <p>ELEXON has since received a response from the EAA apologising for the delay in responding and advising that a full response would be issued shortly.</p> <p>ELEXON will discontinue all payments to the EAA and will be raising a change to remove/replace references to the EAA from the BSC.</p>	To Be Closed	Public
2.	296 (01)	03	A PM noted that they were a strong supporter of ELEXON's not for profit model and that it might be cheaper to push a centralised data solution through ELEXON than another industry body. However, the	Ofgem	Mar 2020	The relevant team at Ofgem has made contact with ELEXON's Design Authority team. Both parties are working together in order to ascertain the most appropriate means	Open	Public

MINUTES

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public/ Conf
			PM noted that the co-ordination of this data work would sit better with the regulator rather than being directed by an industry Panel. The Panel Chair suggested that Ofgem take away an action to investigate ways in which duplication of effort and expenditure in respect of IS development might be mitigated.			<p>of addressing this action. An update will be provided at a future BSC Panel meeting.</p> <p>At its February meeting after hearing an update from Ofgem's Head of Data, the BSC Panel requested that Ofgem provide a more specific update with respect to what Ofgem is doing in order to avoid separate industry Codes spending money and resource developing IS which could instead be shared or consolidated. The BSC Panel queried whether Ofgem should be doing more to coordinate this work to ensure that this work is aligned with Ofgem's Modernising Energy strategy.</p> <p>ELEXON remains in contact with Ofgem in respect of this matter and has notified Ofgem's Head of Data of the further request from the BSC Panel for a more specific response with respect to how Ofgem might support the coordination of IS development across the industry. Ofgem's Head of Data plans to raise the issue at the next Code Administrators Code of Practice (CACoP) meeting. In the meantime Ofgem is in discussions internally with respect to how this could be achieved and will provide a further update at a future BSC Panel meeting.</p>		
3.	297 (01)	03	The Panel Chair commented that the majority of industry Modifications listed on Ofgem's website as awaiting Ofgem decision appeared without a date by which	Ofgem	Mar 2020	An update was provided by Ofgem and further feedback was provided by the BSC Panel.	Open	Public

MINUTES

No	Meeting no	Paper	Action	Owner	Due date	Action update	Status	Public/ Conf
			the decision is expected to be passed. The Ofgem representative noted that they would pass this feedback on to the relevant team.			At its February 2020 meeting the BSC Panel again requested that this matter is investigated.		
4.	298 (01)	-	The BSC Panel discussed the interim appointment of a candidate put forward by ELEXON under the terms of BSC Section C 3.2.1 to the role of Panel Secretary as defined by the BSC. The Panel suggested that a review should first be undertaken of the responsibilities and requirements of the role. On this basis the BSC Panel requested deferral of the appointment of an interim Panel Secretary.	ELEXON	April 2020	ELEXON will undertake the proposed review and present its recommendations at the April 2020 Panel Meeting.	Open	Public
5.	299 (01)	AOB	The NGESO Representative noted two Data Aggregators (DAs) which had failed to provide required files to the NGESO. ELEXON noted that it would contact the relevant Party Agents and flag this concern to them and that it had been discussed by the BSC Panel.	ELEXON	Mar 2020	ELEXON has notified the NHHDA's of the data flows required by DNOs in order to support the NGESO's TCR work through the NHHDA's respective Operational Support Managers.	To Be Closed	Public