

# SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

---

<b>MEETING NAME</b>	SVG 222
---------------------	---------

---

<b>Date of meeting</b>	6 August 2019
------------------------	---------------

<b>Paper number</b>	222/01
---------------------	--------

<b>Owner/author</b>	Dnieper Cruz / Sedef Kiris
---------------------	----------------------------

<b>Purpose of paper</b>	Decision
-------------------------	----------

<b>Classification</b>	Public
-----------------------	--------

<b>Summary</b>	This paper invites the Supplier Volume Allocation Group (SVG) to approve five General Change Requests, and note nine Fast Track Change Requests, for implementation in Version 286 of Market Domain Data (MDD) with a go-live date of 21 August 2019.
----------------	---

---

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1. Updates to Market Domain Data (MDD) are made through monthly releases. ELEXON progresses MDD changes in accordance with [BSC Procedure \(BSCP\) 509 'Changes to Market Domain Data'](#).

### General Change Request process

- 1.2. BSCP509 requires that General MDD Change Requests undergo validation by ELEXON, and impact assessment by the Supplier Volume Allocation Agent (SVAA) and Market Participants, before being presented to the SVG for decision. Unmetered Supplies (UMS) Charge Codes are initially reviewed by the UMS User Group (UMSUG) in accordance with [BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies'](#), and also go out for Market Participant impact assessment before being presented to the SVG. We include all comments received from the impact assessment in this paper to assist the decision making of the SVG.

### Fast Track Change Request process

- 1.3. BSCP509 contains the Fast Track process for housekeeping changes and new participant registrations, undergoing ELEXON validation and SVAA impact assessment. However, BSCP509 does not require Fast Track changes to be issued for Market Participant impact assessment or to be approved by the SVG. This means there is a separate deadline which is later than that for General Change Requests. Therefore, Fast Track Change Requests are presented to the SVG for information only.
- 1.4. New Party Agents and existing Licensed Distribution System Operators (LDSOs) registering in a GSP Group must have their Qualification approved by the Performance Assurance Board (PAB) before registering in MDD. However, they can submit Fast Track Change Requests before the PAB meeting for the next MDD version to go live after the PAB has made its decision. Should the PAB not approve the Qualification application, ELEXON will refuse the MDD Change Request. New Suppliers and new LDSOs do not require PAB approval of their Qualification before registering in MDD, and new Suppliers need not have obtained their Supply Licence.

## 2. This month's changes

- 2.1 This paper includes five General MDD changes and nine Fast Track MDD changes to be incorporated into MDD version 286 with a go-live date of 21 August 2019.

# SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

## General Change Requests

2.2 The table below provides an overview of this month's General Change Requests.

CR no.	MPID	Role Code	Details of Change Request	MP IA response
M3382	FEAL	R	End-dating existing NHH Combinations <i>Effective From Date: 21/08/2019</i>	N
M3383	FEAL	R	Creating new valid NHH and HH Combinations <i>Effective From Date: 21/08/2019</i>	N
M3384	GUCL	R	Creating new LLFCs and NHH Combinations <i>Effective From Date: 21/08/2019</i>	N
M3385	YELG	R	End-dating existing LLFCs and HH Combinations with Effective to Date of 31/03/2020 – <i>Change will still be implemented in MDD on 21/08/2019</i>	N
M3386	ELEXON	-	Inclusion of Charge Codes and Switch Regimes <i>Effective From Date: 21/08/2019</i>	N

Role Code: R Distributor

MP IA Response:

N = No Market Participant comments have been made regarding the change

Y = Market Participant comments have been made regarding the change

## Fast Track Change Requests

2.3 The table below provides an overview of this month's Fast Track Change Requests.

CR no.	MPID	Role Code	Details of Change Request
M3387	BMET	M	Change of company name for Market Participant Role Code 'M' <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3388	ENRG	X	Registration of Additional BM Units <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3389	LOND	X	Registration of Additional BM Units <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3390	LOND	X	Registration of Additional BM Units <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3391	OREG	X	Change of company name for Market Participant Role Code 'X' <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3392	RENC	X	Registration of Additional BM Units <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3393	VAVU	X	End-dating Market Participant Role Code 'X' and Base BM Units <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>

## SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

CR no.	MPID	Role Code	Details of Change Request
M3394	EDDI	X	Change of company name and address for Market Participant Role Code 'X' <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>
M3395	ELEXON	-	Creation of Profile Administrator's 'Technical Product Deliverables' (TPDs) for use from September 2019 <i>Effective from Date: 21/08/2019</i>

Role Code: M Meter Operator X Supplier

### 3. Line Loss Factor validations

- 3.1 There is one Change Request for MDD 286, which requests the opening of new LLF Classes (LLFCs) to be effective from 21 August 2019. The relevant LDSOs have submitted their D0265 files ('Line Loss Factor Data Files') for their Mid-Year Submissions. The following table provides further details.

CR no.	LDSO	No. of new LLFCs	MS Specific LLF Class Indicator(s)
M3384	GUCL	6	A

### 4. Profiling Technical Product Deliverables (TPDs)

- 4.1 ELEXON and the Profiling Expert Group (PEG) have reviewed the profiles to be used in Settlement from 2 September 2019 for the remainder of the current 2019/20 BSC Year. The new TPDs have passed all tests on content and format and Appendix 2 provides the complete checklist used.
- 4.2 The new TPDs are made up of:
- Regression data for the Autumn and Winter BSC Seasons, based on a pooled set of the latest three years' data (2016/17, 2017/18 and 2018/19); and
  - Recalculated Group Average Annual Consumption (GAAC) data for use in the Autumn and Winter Seasons of the current BSC Year.
- 4.3 Spring, Summer and High Summer data is made up of a pooled set of the latest three years of data (2016, 2017 and 2018) which have not been updated in this set of TPDs, as normal.
- 4.4 At its meeting on 18 July 2019, the PEG agreed that the 'pooled' TPDs are the most appropriate set of profiles to use. It unanimously recommends that the SVG approves these for use in Settlement for the remainder of the current BSC Year.

# SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

---

## 5. Recommendations

5.1 ELEXON invites the SVG to:

- a) **APPROVE** the five General Change Requests for implementation in MDD 286 with a go-live date of 21 August 2019;
- b) **NOTE** the nine Fast Track Change Requests for implementation in MDD 286 with a go-live date of 21 August 2019.

## Appendices

Appendix 1 – Fast Track Submissions Criteria

Appendix 2 – Profiling TPDs checklist

## Attachments

Attachment A – UMS Charge Codes (Generic LED)

Attachment B – UMS Standard Charge Code

### For more information, please contact:

Dnieper Cruz, Settlement Operations Analyst

[dnieper.cruz@elexon.co.uk](mailto:dnieper.cruz@elexon.co.uk)

020 7380 4346

## APPENDIX 1 – FAST TRACK SUBMISSIONS CRITERIA

---

Fast Track Change Requests
Green Deal Market Participant IDs
New Entrants (into MDD)
LLFC Description Changes
Additional BM Unit registration of existing Suppliers
Base Calendar & Scottish Day Types Annual Update
SVAA Annual Clear down
Market Participant Name Change
Market Participant Address Change
Market Participant Name and Address Change

# SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

## APPENDIX 2 – FAST TRACK SUBMISSIONS CRITERIA

### Profiling TPDs checklist

**Reporting period:** Year 23\_2 (Autumn – Winter 2019/20)

**Period of Operational Use:** Autumn – Winter 2019/20 (02/09/2019 – 31/03/2020)

Check	Results	Comments																											
<b>Date of receipt</b>	Monday 24 June 2019	Within timeframes according to TPDs timetable																											
<b>Data completeness (FF)</b>	We received all expected files. Expected files: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• X6 .csv Regression data (PC 1, 2b, 2s, 3, 4b, 4s)</li><li>• x10 .csv GAACs</li><li>• x6 .csv Profile coefficients (PC 1 to 4s)</li><li>• x48 .csv Algorithmic stretched coefficients</li></ul>																												
<b>Data format (FF)</b>	All files in correct format. No issues.																												
<b>Data completeness (NFF)</b>	We received all expected files as shown below. <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>Actual</th><th>Expected</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Records</td><td>597552</td><td>597552</td></tr><tr><td>GSP</td><td>1400</td><td>1400</td></tr><tr><td>PFL</td><td>100</td><td>100</td></tr><tr><td>RES</td><td>2500</td><td>2500</td></tr><tr><td>COF</td><td>527600</td><td>527600</td></tr><tr><td>PER</td><td>65950</td><td>65950</td></tr><tr><td>ZHD</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>ZPT</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr></tbody></table>		Actual	Expected	Records	597552	597552	GSP	1400	1400	PFL	100	100	RES	2500	2500	COF	527600	527600	PER	65950	65950	ZHD	1	1	ZPT	1	1	
	Actual	Expected																											
Records	597552	597552																											
GSP	1400	1400																											
PFL	100	100																											
RES	2500	2500																											
COF	527600	527600																											
PER	65950	65950																											
ZHD	1	1																											
ZPT	1	1																											
<b>Data format (NFF)</b>	EFD incorrect in NFF_REG (P0014001) file. Issue flagged with Meniscus, who re-sent with corrected EFD. Checksum performed by ELEXON.																												
<b>SVAA Test Loading</b>	There were no issues identified from the SVAA test loading.																												
<b>Friendly (FF) Vs Non-friendly (NFF) data comparisons</b>	Basic Regression Coefficients are the same in both files.  14 Settlement Period stretch for Switched Load Profile Classes 2 and 4 also match.																												

## SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

Check	Results	Comments																												
Eval (new reg) vs GAD	<p>Y23_2 regression data evaluated for year 2018/19 (September to March) and the outturn NET.</p> <p>This evaluated demand is compared with GAD.</p> <p>Comparisons indicate regressions look okay.</p> <p>Differences spotted: <b>PC2s:</b> 3-4/09/18 (GAD more than 1.5 higher than Eval) <b>PC3:</b> 2/01/19 (GAD 0.7/0.8 lower than Eval) <b>PC4b:</b> Eval and GAD varied significantly from the average ratio of 1, between SP 1 to 15. GAD is much higher than Eval.</p>	Discrepancy in PC4b due to methodology for splitting the base load and switch demand.																												
Y23_2 Vs Y22_2 evaluated regressions at 10-year average NETs for 2018/19	<p>Y23_2 we saw higher evaluated demand for PC4b btw 24 and 27 Dec, and 30 Dec to 2 Jan. PC4s for the same date range Y23_2 had a lower evaluated demand. Total demand looks ok.</p> <p>No overall issues identified.</p>																													
Data Analyst analysis for Y23_2 GADs	<table><tr><th>PC</th><th>Avg Demand</th><th>Avg Std Error</th><th>Precision 2019/20</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.47075</td><td>0.026</td><td>5.52%</td></tr><tr><td>2b</td><td>0.65515</td><td>0.05835</td><td>8.91%</td></tr><tr><td>2s</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>1.71274</td><td>0.12028</td><td>7.02%</td></tr><tr><td>4b</td><td>2.84275</td><td>0.1723</td><td>6.06%</td></tr><tr><td>4s</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	PC	Avg Demand	Avg Std Error	Precision 2019/20	1	0.47075	0.026	5.52%	2b	0.65515	0.05835	8.91%	2s				3	1.71274	0.12028	7.02%	4b	2.84275	0.1723	6.06%	4s				Table presented by Data Analyst at the last PEG meeting.
PC	Avg Demand	Avg Std Error	Precision 2019/20																											
1	0.47075	0.026	5.52%																											
2b	0.65515	0.05835	8.91%																											
2s																														
3	1.71274	0.12028	7.02%																											
4b	2.84275	0.1723	6.06%																											
4s																														
Evaluated algorithmic stretched coefficients all sum to same value per stretch	<p>Differences in all Profile Class 2 stretches are inside tolerable limits.</p> <p>Differences in all Profile Class 4 stretches are inside tolerable limits.</p> <p>The only exception is 20_STREGSMRBH201920.xlsx (Summer/Bank Holiday) which has differences between +0.4% and -0.6%.</p>																													
Group Average Annual Consumptions (GAACs)	No overall issues identified.																													
Negative evaluation counts at long run average NETs +/- 10°F for an evaluated matrix	<table><tr><th>Profile Class</th><th>HH Count + 10°F</th><th>HH Count - 10°F</th></tr><tr><td>PC1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>PC2b</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>PC2s</td><td>6</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	Profile Class	HH Count + 10°F	HH Count - 10°F	PC1	0	0	PC2b	0	0	PC2s	6	0																	
Profile Class	HH Count + 10°F	HH Count - 10°F																												
PC1	0	0																												
PC2b	0	0																												
PC2s	6	0																												

## SVG222/01 – MDD CHANGE REQUESTS FOR VERSION 286

---

Check	Results			Comments
<b>of 365 x 48 values. (17,520 half-hourly evaluations)</b>	PC3	0	0	
	PC4b	0	0	
	PC4s	1	0	