#### **Charge Codes for Vehicle Activated Signs**

# <u>A Request for Changes to the OID to clarify the measurement requirements and data to be</u> <u>presented</u>

Document Control

vA	28 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2021	MP (ARTSM)	
vB	5 <sup>th</sup> April 2022	MP (ARTSM)	Revised after first review
vC	28 <sup>th</sup> June 2022	MP (ARTSM)	Revised for submission to UMSUG

#### <u>Context</u>

Getting charge codes for vehicles activated signs is problematic for manufacturers for a number of reasons which are described and addressed below. Furthermore, not having a charge code is a serious obstacle to selling products.

#### Number of Similar Samples for Testing

Due to the very site-specific nature of these products they rarely have five identical samples of product, so cannot meet the Elexon requirements for testing product uniformity.

The lower power use and low numbers of products mean that in the over-all scale of things, this is not a big problem for Elexon, so we need to pursue it through their processes to get agreements

#### BUT

The DfT's Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions, (the legal act defining what can be put by the roadside) categorises signs into size groups (300mm, 450mm, 600mm etc according to the speed of traffic on the road and the requirements for visibility).

As a result manufacturers may produce signs with different messages on them but they will fall into one of the size categories.

#### Additional Facilities

Signs may also have flashing conspicuity indicators and a message such as "SLOW" also proportionate the size category of the sign.

## SO

Elexon have previously accepted that Manufacturers can compile a set of five signs that span one or two adjacent size categories of which at least 2 should be of the larger variant, with and without the flashers and text as a group and submit this group to an agreed test house to receive five distinct but closely grouped measurements.

Specifically, any group of signs so submitted should include two signs with text and conspicuity flashers, one with either conspicuit or text but not both, and two with only the legend.

- Sign 1 Larger size, conspicuity and text
- Sign 2 Larger size, legend only
- Sign 3 Smaller size, conspicuity and text
- Sign 4 Smaller size, conspicuity OR text but not both
- Sign 5 Smaller size, legend only

## Dimming and Duty Cycle

The second variable to be accommodated is dimming. VAS can be dimmed, often across a range of dimming steps.

The third area of difficulty arises from the level of activation, (the duty cycle,) of signs by passing traffic as this is not a constant, with some sites being busier, some less so.

Again, previously Elexon has accepted the following process as a fair accommodation of these two factors.

The equipment is measured in its highest brightness and its lowest brightness. The effective power use is then derived as 30% of the bright power + 70% of the dim power.

This figure is taken as accommodating the quiescent power, the effects of dimming and importantly it also accommodates the average duty cycle of the sign face being activated by passing traffic.

#### <u>Agreement</u>

The manufacturing community represented by ARTSM (The Association for Road Traffic Safety and Management) on who's behalf this document is being submitted, have collaborated in presenting this potential solution to the problems identified above approach based on one applicant's previous engagement with Elexon.

#### Proposal for UMSUG Committee

We request that the OID is amended to read as follows:-

That manufacturers requesting measurements in support of charge codes for vehicle activated signs may submit a set of five VAS to an agreed third-party test house, but these do not have to be identical signs provided they cover no more than two adjected sign classes as identified in TSRGD. Of these signs two should support conspicuity flashers and simple text messages and two shall be only the sign legend and one shall support either text or conspicuity but not both as follows.

- Sign 1 Larger size, conspicuity and text
- Sign 2 Larger size, legend only
- Sign 3 Smaller size, conspicuity and text
- Sign 4 Smaller size, conspicuity OR text but not both
- Sign 5 Smaller size, legend only

Each sign shall be measured in its maximum bright state, Pb and its lowest dim state, Pd.

The effective power, Pe, for each sign shall be calculated as 30% of Pb + 70% of Pd

The average of the effective powers for the set of five units shall be used to implement a charge code.

Each manufacturer shall be allocated a charge code for all their signs of the sizes tested.

By way of example the product description in the register would look something like

"Manufacturer X 300mm and 450mm VAS"

## Information Providing Context and Numbers of Deployed Signs

For the benefit of the UMSUG committee and to set this issue on context, we have also approached local authorities and obtained an indication of the numbers and sizes of vehicle activated signs on their networks.

#### Typical Power Use

The current charge code list includes a generic description with a circuit power figure of 10W. This is the same or higher than all manufacturer specific listings.

## Effective Power Use by Local Authority

Data from two shire and one urban authority are offered in the tables below. Note that recording is not always as comprehensive as might be optimal.

So using the generic circuit wattage value these authorities represent 730W, 3510W and 300W of average continuous power use respectively for ach authority.

# Supporting Data

Local Highway Authority data on VAS deployment in their equipment inventories.

Shire 1					
Nominal Size (mm)	No	Flashers	No		
3120 (11111)	NO.	Na	10.		
300	6	NO	13		
450	50	Yes	54		
600	8	n/a	6		
750	1				
Other	8				
Total	73		73		

Shire 2					
Nominal					
Size (mm)	No.	Flashers	No.		
300	n/a	No	n/a		
450	n/a	Yes	n/a		
600	n/a	n/a	n/a		
750	n/a				
Other	n/a				
Total	351		351		

Urban					
Nominal					
Size (mm)	No.	Flashers	No.		
300	n/a	No	n/a		
450	n/a	Yes	n/a		
600	6	n/a	n/a		
750	n/a				
Other	24				
Total	30		30		