

Reporting on March 2022

1 System Prices and length

This report covers the month of March. Where available, data uses the latest Settlement Run (in most cases 'II' or 'SF'). In this report, we distinguish between a 'long' and a 'short' market when analysing System Prices, because the price calculation differs between the two scenarios.

When the market is long, System Prices are based predominantly on the System Operator's 'sell' actions such as accepted Bids. When the market is short, System Prices are based predominantly on the System Operator's 'buy' actions.

System Price summary by month (£/MWh)


This table gives a summary of System Prices for March, with values shown in £/MWh.

table.1

Source: Elexon

Frequency of System Prices over last month

This graph shows the distribution of System Prices across Settlement Periods in March 2022 when the market was long and short. 80% of System Prices were between £125.50/MWh and £364.50/MWh regardless of system length. When the system was long, 80% of prices were between £82.01/MWh and £267.09/MWh. When the system was short, 80% of prices were between £224.50/MWh and £443.00/MWh.




System Prices were £100.00/MWh or more on 1,390 occasions and £1,000.00/MWh or more on no occasions in March 2022. In the previous month there were 1,101 System Prices on or over £100.00/MWh and no System Prices on or over £1,000.00/MWh. The highest System Price of the month, £700.00/MWh, occurred in Settlement Period 20 on 7 March. The price was set by two Offers from a CCGT BMU both priced at £700.00/MWh.

There were 33 Settlement Periods where the System Price was less than £0.00/MWh in March, with the lowest System Price of -£90.32/MWh occurring in Settlement Periods 27 and 28 on 19 March. The price was set by Bids from three Wind BMUs priced at -£90.32/MWh.

System Price spread The graph below displays the spread of System Prices in March 2022 as a box plot diagram, split between a short and long system.

1.3 System Price spread



Microsoft Power BI



The middle line in each box represents the median System Price of the month, which is £296.00/MWh for short Settlement Periods and £160.75/MWh for long Settlement Periods. Each box edge represents the lower and upper quartiles (25th and 75th percentile respectively), with the Interquartile Range (difference between the Upper and Lower quartiles) being £97.08/MWh for short System Prices and £40.06/MWh for long System Prices.


Outliers are shown on the graph as circles, and have been defined as being greater than 1.5 times the Interquartile Range (IQR) away from the Upper and Lower quartiles. Under this definition, 204 long and 28 short System Prices in March were outliers. Of the 204 long outliers, 73 were less than the lower outlier boundary. The prices of Long outliers ranged from - £90.32/MWh (the lowest System Price of the month) to £402.41/MWh. The highest System Price of the month, £700.00/MWh, was 2.36 times the median short System Price for the month.

Daily average System Price

The graph below shows daily average System Prices over the last month.



1.4 Daily average System Price



Microsoft Power BI




In March, the average System Price was £162.28/MWh when the system was long and £315.01/MWh when the system was short. The highest daily average price when the system was short was £580.85/MWh, and occurred on 7 March; the system was short for 12 Settlement Periods on this day. The lowest daily average price when the system was long was £14.68/MWh on 19 March. The system was long for 35 Settlement Periods on this day.

Average System Price by Settlement Period

The graph below shows the variation of average System Prices across the day.



1.5 Average System Price by Settlement Period




Short prices were highest in Settlement Period 37, with long prices lowest in Settlement Period 8. The lowest average System Price, regardless of market length, occurred during Settlement Period 1, when the System Price was £184.32/MWh. The daily average long Settlement Period System Prices ranged between £131.12/MWh and £206.64/MWh. Average short Settlement Period prices varied from £248.54/MWh to £366.65/MWh.

Daily System Length

This graph shows system length by day.



1.6 System Length by day



Microsoft Power BI




System Length by Settlement Period

This graph shows system length by Settlement Period for March.

1.7 System Length by Settlement Period

System Length ● Long ● Short



Microsoft Power BI



The system was long for 52% of Settlement Periods in March.

On 21 March, the system was short for 37 of FALSE Settlement Periods. The long Settlement Periods on this day had an average NIV of -144MWh. The daily average NIV on this day was 133MWh.


Settlement Period 30 had the highest number of long Settlement Periods, with 77% of them being long this month.

Historic long vs short market

This graph shows the percentage of long and short Settlement Periods over the past year. March 2022 had 52% of long Settlement Periods, compared to 45% per month over the previous 12 months.



1.8 Historic Long vs Short Market



Microsoft Power BI




Average Daily System Price when Long by Settlement Day


The graph below displays the daily average System Prices in March 2022 when the system was long compared to the two previous months and the same month last year.



1.9 Average Daily System Price when Long by Settlement Day



Microsoft Power BI




Daily average long System Prices were £136.81/MWh higher in March 2022 than the same month in 2021.

Average Daily System Price when Short by Settlement Day

This graph looks at System Prices from the same months as the previous graph, but when the System was short.

1.10 Average Daily System Price when Short by Settlement Day

Month ● Apr 21 ● Apr 22 ● Feb 22 ● Mar 22




Microsoft Power BI



Short daily average System Prices were £239.83/MWh higher in March 2022 than the same month last year.

Accepted Volumes Accepted Offer Volume by Fuel Type


This graph displays the Offer volumes of fuel types that participated in the Balancing Mechanism during March 2022. Offers are balancing actions taken to increase the level of energy on the System. This report also contains balancing volumes from Balancing Services Adjustment Actions (BSAAs). BSAAs include, but are not limited to, balancing actions such as system-to-system services, Short Term Operating Reserve actions taken outside the Balancing mechanism and forward contracted energy products.



Microsoft Power BI ↶ ↷

Accepted Bid Volume by Fuel Type

This graph displays the Bid volumes of fuel types that participated in the Balancing Mechanism during March 2022. Bids are balancing actions taken to decrease the level of energy on the System.



Microsoft Power BI ↶ ↷

During March, 65% of Offer volume came from Gas BMUs with a further 18% from BSAA and 7% from Pumped Storage BMUs.

48% of Bid volume came from Gas BMUs with a further 29% from Wind and 7% from BSAA BMUs.

2 Parameters

In this section, we consider a number of different parameters on the price. We consider:

- The impact of Flagging balancing actions;
- The impact of the Replacement Price;
- The impact of NIV Tagging;
- The impact of PAR Tagging;
- The impact of DMAT and Arbitrage Tagging; and
- How these mechanisms affect which balancing actions feed into the price.

Flagging

The Imbalance Price calculation aims to distinguish between 'energy' and 'system' balancing actions. Energy balancing actions are those related to the overall energy imbalance on the system (the 'Net Imbalance Volume'). It is these 'energy' balancing actions which the Imbalance Price should reflect. System balancing actions relate to non-energy, system management actions (e.g. locational constraints).


Some actions are 'Flagged'. This means that they have been identified as potentially being 'system related', but rather than removing them completely from the price calculation (i.e. Tagging them) they may be re-priced, depending on their position in relation to the rest of the stack (a process called Classification). The System Operator (SO) flags actions when they are taken to resolve a locational constraint on the transmission network (SO-Flagging), or to correct short-term increases or decreases in generation/demand (Continuous Acceptance Duration Limit (CADL) Flagging).

Daily volume of SO-Flagged/non-Flagged actions

This graph shows the volumes of Buy and Sell actions in March 2022 that have been Flagged by the SO as being constraint related. On 8 March, 96% of Sell volume was SO-Flagged.

2.1 Daily volume of SO Flagged/non SO Flagged actions

Type ● Non SO Flagged Buy ● Non SO Flagged Sell ● SO Flagged Buy ● SO Flagged Sell



Microsoft Power BI



47% of Sell balancing action volume taken in March had an SO-Flag, compared with 65% the previous month. 40% of SO-Flagged Sell actions came from CCGT BMUs, 2% came from Balancing Service Adjustment Actions (BSAAs) and 50% from Wind BMUs. The average initial price (i.e. before any re-pricing) of a SO-Flagged Sell action was -£11.17/MWh.

20% of Buy balancing action volume taken in March had an SO-Flag, compared to 28% in February. 61% of SO-Flagged Buy actions came from CCGT BMUs and 33% from BSAAs. The average initial price of a SO-Flagged Buy action was £311.93/MWh.

Any actions with a total duration of less than the CADL are flagged. The CADL is currently set at 10 minutes.

0.8% of Buy action volume and 0.6% of Sell action volume were CADL Flagged in March. The majority of CADL Flagged Buy actions (98%), and CADL Flagged Sell actions (79%) came from Pumped Storage BMUs, with CCGT BMUs accounting for a further 14% of CADL Flagged Sell Actions.

SO-Flagged and CADL Flagged actions are known as 'First-Stage Flagged'. First-Stage Flagged actions may become 'Second-Stage Flagged' depending on their price in relation to other Unflagged actions. If a First-Stage Flagged balancing action has a more expensive price than the most expensive First-Stage Unflagged balancing action, it becomes Second-Stage Flagged. This means it is considered a system balancing action and becomes unpriced.


Flagged Balancing Volumes

This graph shows First and Second-Stage Flagged action volumes as a proportion of all actions taken on the system. Note these are all the accepted balancing actions – only a proportion of these will feed through to the final price calculation.

In March, 25% of balancing volume received a First-Stage Flag with 57% of this volume going on to receive a Second-Stage Flag. On the 8 March, 56% of balancing volume was flagged; with 84% of this volume receiving a Second Stage Flag.

2.2 Flagged volume percentage

Flag ● Stage 1 ● Stage 2 ● Unflagged



Microsoft Power BI




The Replacement Price

Any Second-Stage Flagged action volumes left in the NIV will be repriced using the Replacement Price. The Replacement Price is either based on the Replacement Price Average Reference (RPAR currently based on the most expensive 1MWh of Unflagged actions), or if no Unflagged actions remain after NIV Tagging, the Market Index Price (MIP). In March, 91 (6%) Settlement Periods had a Replacement Price based on the RPAR and 32 (2%) Settlement Periods had a Replacement Price based on the MIP. However, the majority of Settlement Periods (92%) did not have a Replacement Price.

Number of Settlement Periods with Replacement Price by System Length displays the count of Settlement Periods which had a Replacement Price applied, split by the system length and if the Replacement Price was based on RPAR or the MIP.

2.3 Number of Settlement Periods with Replacement Price by System Length

Replacement Price Type ● MIP ● RPAR



Microsoft Power BI



Average Price and Replacement Price by System Length

This table displays the average original and Replacement Price of Second-Stage Flagged actions

table.2.

Source: Elexon

Sell actions will typically have their prices revised upwards by the Replacement Price for the purposes of calculating the System Price. In total, 47% of Sell volume in March was Flagged. Of this Flagged Sell volume, 10% was assigned a Replacement Price. The average original price of a Second-Stage Flagged repriced Sell action was £41.66/MWh and the average Replacement Price for Sell actions (when the System was long) was £221.23/MWh.


21% of Buy volume were Flagged; 0.8% of this volume had the Replacement Price applied. The average original price of a Second-Stage Flagged repriced Buy action was £318.43/MWh and the average Replacement Price for Buy actions (when the System was long) was £255.58/MWh.

If there are no Unflagged actions remaining in the NIV, the Replacement Price will default to the MIP. This occurred in 28 long and 4 short Settlement Periods in March, compared to 48 long and 5 short Settlement Periods the previous month.

Monthly Average Long Price, Short Price and MIP

This graph compares the monthly average MIP to the monthly average long and short System Prices for the past 13 months. The monthly average long price increased by £66.99/MWh to £162.28/MWh, the short price increased by £100.52/MWh to £315.01/MWh and the MIP increased by £79.66/MWh to £236.85/MWh in March 2022 compared to the previous month.

2.5 Monthly Average Long Price, Short Price and MIP



Microsoft Power BI



NIV and NIV Tagging


The Net Imbalance Volume (NIV) represents the direction of imbalance of the system – i.e. whether the system is long or short overall.

Short system NIV

This graph shows the greatest and average NIV when the system was short.

2.6 Short System NIV

● Average of NIV ● Max of NIV



Microsoft Power BI




Long system NIV

This graph shows the minimum and average NIVs when the system was long. Note short NIVs are depicted as positive volumes and long NIVs are depicted as negative volumes.

2.7 Long System NIV

● Average of NIV ● Min of NIV



Microsoft Power BI



In almost all Settlement Periods, the System Operator will need to take balancing actions in both directions (Buys and Sells) to balance the system. However, for the purposes of calculating an Imbalance Price there can only be imbalance in one direction (the Net Imbalance). 'NIV Tagging' is the process which subtracts the smaller stack of balancing actions from the larger one to determine the Net Imbalance. The price is then derived from these remaining actions.

NIV Tagging has a significant impact in determining which actions feed through to prices. In March, 77% of volume was removed due to NIV tagging. The most expensive actions are NIV Tagged first; hence NIV Tagging has a dampening effect on prices when there are balancing actions in both directions.

The maximum short system NIV of the month (1,075MWh) was seen in Settlement Period 16 on 3 March, where the System Price was £388.00/MWh.


The minimum long system NIV of the month was -1,516MWh, in Settlement Period 30 on 19 March, where the System Price was -£86.95/MWh.

Net Imbalance Volume and System Price

This graph displays a scatter graph of Net Imbalance Volume and System Prices. The dashed lines display a 0MWh NIV and a £0.00/MWh System Price, the red line is a trendline with the expected System Price from a particular NIV based on the month's data.

2.8 Net Imbalance Volume and System Price

System Length ● Long ● Short



Microsoft Power BI



There were 780 long Settlement Periods in March, 36 of which occurred on 7 March. The average NIV on this day was -184MWh, with the lowest NIV (-848MWh) occurring in Settlement Period 29.

PAR Tagging

PAR Tagging is the final step of the Imbalance Price calculation. It takes a volume-weighted average of the most expensive 1MWh of actions left in the stack. The value of PAR is set at 1MWh.

PAR Tagging is active in almost all Settlement Periods, the only periods not affected by the parameter have a NIV of less than 1MWh.


During March, there were 2 Settlement Periods where PAR Tagging was inactive. The average NIV in these Settlement Periods was -0.33MWh. Settlement Period 22 on 17 March had the lowest absolute NIV (-0.16MWh), and therefore was the most balanced Settlement Period of the month.

DMAT and Arbitrage Tagged Volumes


Some actions are always removed from the price calculation (before NIV Tagging). These are actions which are less than the De Minimis Acceptance Threshold (DMAT) Tagging or Buy actions which are either the same price or lower than the price of Sell actions (Arbitrage Tagging). The DMAT is set at 0.1MWh.

Daily Volume of DMAT Tagged volume This graph shows the volumes of actions removed due to DMAT Tagging.

2.9 Daily DMAT Tagged volume



Microsoft Power BI




28.5MWh of total Buy and Sell volume was removed by DMAT Tagging in March, compared to 26.5MWh the previous month. 41% of the DMAT Tagged volume came from CCGT BMUs, 17% from other BMUs and 13% from BSAAAs.

Daily volume of Arbitrage Tagged volume

This graph shows the volumes of actions that were removed due to Arbitrage Tagging.

2.10 Daily Arbitrage Tagged volume



Microsoft Power BI



7599MWh of total Buy and Sell volume was removed by Arbitrage Tagging in March. 40% of the Arbitrage Tagged came from CCGT BMUs, 37% from Wind BMUs and 7% from Hydro BMUs.

In March, the average initial price of an Arbitrage Tagged Buy action was £134.48/MWh, and for a Sell action was £180.29/MWh. The maximum initial price of an Arbitrage Tagged Sell action was £674.00/MWh, and the lowest priced Arbitrage Tagged Buy action was -£109.04/MWh.

On 3 March, 1,272MWh of actions were Arbitrage Tagged, representing 1.7% of the daily volume of balancing actions. The average price of an Arbitrage Tagged Buy action was £223.57/MWh, and for a Sell action was £240.77/MWh on this day. 100% of the Arbitrage Tagged Volume came from CCGT BMUs, 0% from Pumped Storage BMUs and 0% from Biofuel BMUs.

3 Balancing Services

Short Term Operating Reserve (STOR) costs and volumes

This section covers the balancing services that the System Operator (SO) takes outside the Balancing Mechanism that can affect the price.

In addition to Bids and Offers available in the Balancing Mechanism, the SO can enter into contracts with providers of balancing capacity to deliver when called upon. These additional sources of power are referred to as reserve, and most of the reserve that the SO procures is called Short Term Operating Reserve (STOR).


Under STOR contracts, availability payments are made to the balancing service provider in return for capacity being made available to the SO during specific times (STOR Availability Windows). When STOR is called upon, the SO pays for it at a pre-agreed price (its Utilisation Price). Some STOR is dispatched in the Balancing Mechanism (BM STOR) while some is dispatched separately (Non-BM STOR).

Daily STOR vs Non-BM STOR volume

This graph gives STOR volumes that were called upon during the month split into BM STOR and non-BM STOR. 69% of the total STOR volume utilised in March came from outside of the Balancing Mechanism.



3.1 Daily STOR BM vs Non BM volumes




Microsoft Power BI



Daily STOR vs Non-BM STOR utilisation costs

This graph shows the utilisation costs of this capacity. The average Utilisation Price for STOR capacity in March was £553.77/MWh; £651.29/MWh for BM STOR and £510.42/MWh for non-BM STOR.

3.2 Daily STOR BM vs Non BM utilisation costs



Microsoft Power BI



On 8 March the largest amount was spent on STOR volume for the month (£1001787), of which 38% of the cost was BM STOR and 62% was non-BM STOR. The utilised BM STOR volume on this day was 521MWh, compared to the average of 50MWh across the month.

De-Rated Margin, Loss of Load Probability and the Reserve Scarcity Price

There are times when the Utilisation Prices of STOR plants are uplifted using the **Reserve Scarcity Price (RSVP)** in order to calculate System Prices. The RSVP is designed to respond to capacity margins, so rises as the system gets tighter (the gap between available and required generation narrows). It is a function of **De-Rated Margin (DRM)** at Gate Closure, the likelihood that this will be insufficient to meet demand (the **Loss of Load Probability, LoLP**) and the **Value of Lost Load (VoLL)**, set at £6,000/MWh from 1 November 2018).

Minimum and average DRMs This graph shows the daily minimum and average Gate Closure DRMs for March 2022.



3.3 Minimum and average DRMs



Microsoft Power BI



The System Operator has determined a dynamic relationship between each DRM and the LoLP, which will determine the RSVP.

The minimum DRM in March was 1.758GW on 1 March in Settlement Period 36 (compared to 4.902GW in February). This DRM corresponded to a LoLP of 0.0225 and a RSVP of £135.16/MWh (see **Top 5 LoLPs and RSVPs**).

The RSVP re-prices STOR actions in the Imbalance Price calculation if it is higher than the original Utilisation Price. In total there were zero Settlement Periods where a total of 0 actions were repriced with the RSVP during March.

Top 5 LoLPs and RSVPs

table.3.

Source: Elexon