



Approved Minutes

BSC Panel 221

Meeting Name	BSC Panel		
Date of Meeting	13 February 2014		
Meeting Number	221		
Venue	ELEXON Ltd, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3AW		
Attendees	Michael Gibbons Andy Colley Barbara Vest Bob Brown Chris Alexander David Lane Ed Reed Ian Pashley Lesley Nugent Peter Bolitho Richard Brealey Stuart Cotten	MG AC BV BB CA DL ER IP LN PBo RB SC	BSC Panel Chairman Industry Panel Member (via teleconference) Industry Panel Member Industry Panel Member Consumer Panel Member DSO Representative Consumer Panel Member Transmission Company Panel Member Ofgem Representative Industry Panel Member Independent Panel Member Industry Panel Member
	Andreas Flamm Peter Bingham	AF PB	Ofgem National Grid
	Adam Lattimore Adam Richardson Alex Grieve Beth Connew Chris Rowell Claire Anthony Colin Berry Darren Draper David Kemp Laura Delargy Mark Bygraves Nick Groves Lawrence Jones Peter Haigh Talia Addy Victoria Moxham Zahair Ghanty	AL AR AG BC CR CA CB DD DK LD MB NG LJ PH TA VM ZG	ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON ELEXON (Part Meeting) ELEXON ELEXON (Part Meeting)
Apologies	Lisa Charlesworth Phil Baker	LC PB	Ofgem Representative Industry Panel Member

Administration



Approved Minutes

1. Apologies - Verbal

- 1.1 Apologies were received from Lisa Charlesworth and Phil Baker. Lesley Nugent attended the meeting as Ofgem Representative for Lisa Charlesworth. Andy Colley attended the meeting via teleconference.

Modification Business

2. Report on Progress of Modification Proposals – Verbal

- 2.1 The Modification Secretary confirmed the Authority's approval for Implementation of P291 '*REMIT: Inside Information Reporting Platform for GB Electricity*' on 31 December 2014.
- 2.2 AL updated the Panel on Issue 53: '*Reforming the Change of Supplier meter read process for smart electricity meters*'.
- 2.3 The Panel was informed that, following the Panel's deliberations regarding a Performance Assurance Board (PAB) Recommendation to raise a Modification: '*Further Qualification Assurance for Suppliers*', the PAB has considered the matter further and is looking at how existing provisions of the BSC might provide the assurance that it seeks. Consequently, the issue which the Panel suggested could be raised to explore the matter is currently on hold to allow the PAB to carry out this investigation.
- 2.4 For further details, please see the [Change Report](#).

3. Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review – Verbal update

- 3.1 Andreas Flamm updated the Panel on Ofgem's Electricity Balancing Significant Code Review (EBSCR) process to date and outlined the next steps in the process.
- 3.2 A Panel member asked if Ofgem had considered the workload that industry parties are bearing at the moment arising from changes relating to Electricity Market Reform, EU Network Codes, market coupling and market splitting etc. when considering the EBSCR's timelines. AF confirmed that Ofgem is mindful of this and noted that Ofgem would be releasing an open letter regarding its Future Trading Arrangement work. He indicated that this letter was likely to offer further insight into the timetabling of the Future Trading Arrangements work, recognising the breadth of issues that the industry is currently dealing with.
- 3.3 The Chairman acknowledged the different projects and stated that the discussion suggested that the ultimate result for the SCR should be to simplify the outcome, to address the straightforward projects first and to also consider the option to delay if required.
- 3.4 A Panel member highlighted that the Information Technology workload would need to be measured as many companies are struggling for resources. AF agreed to take the Panel's comments back to Ofgem to consider.



Approved Minutes

- 3.5 A Panel member pointed out that the net effect may result in sharper or more volatile cash out pricing and reflected that historical discussions had focused on dampening prices to encourage competition. AF confirmed that Ofgem had looked at this closely and believed that sharper cashout pricing would be more reflective of actual costs, however, Ofgem also felt that employing use of a single cashout price would reduce imbalance costs and that the proposed package, as a whole, will benefit all parties, including smaller players.
- 3.6 The BSC Panel:
- a) **NOTED** the update.

(Andy Colley joined the meeting via teleconference)

4. Authority direction to consult on a revised Implementation Date for P272 – 221/04

- 4.1 The Authority has directed the BSC Panel to consult on a revised Implementation Date for P272. Paper 221/04 proposed that the Panel deferred any industry consultation on a revised Implementation Date for P272 until it had clarity on the expected timetable and implementation approach for related changes being progressed outside the BSC.
- 4.2 David Kemp gave the Panel a brief overview of Modification P272 'Mandatory Half Hourly Settlement for Profile Classes 5-8' and updated the Panel on Ofgem's request for the Panel to decide on a new Implementation date.
- 4.3 A Panel member acknowledged the [direction letter](#) from Ofgem and commented that ELEXON's processes and communication regarding P272 so far had been delivered efficiently and believed that the tone of the Authority's more general [open letter](#) (issued on the same day as the direction relating to P272) was unfavourable towards ELEXON and undeservedly so. Another Panel member agreed and suggested that a response letter supporting ELEXON's work on P272 should be sent by the Chairman in reply.
- 4.4 The Consumer Representative felt that the tone of the general letter was unfortunate but offered that retaliation could cause unnecessary unrest. Another Panel member was in agreement and suggested that, while earlier input from Ofgem would have helped to improve communication with the industry and to assist in finding solutions, it was important to move forward constructively.
- 4.5 A Panel member wished to acknowledge the current work of the DCP179 'Amending the CDCM tariff structure' Workgroup. The Panel member requested full engagement between the Workgroup and the Authority in the coordination of DCP179.
- 4.6 A Panel member noted that the European Code Coordination Application Forum (ECCAF) had been established to help co-ordinate cross-code change and queried if a model like this was envisaged by Ofgem. Another Panel member acknowledged the benefit of effective coordination across various groups but highlighted that it could also result in an endless cycle with no outcome and wasted resources. It was noted that if the industry did not find a satisfactory solution to cross-code co-ordination of change, then it was



Approved Minutes

likely that Ofgem may progress matters via an Significant Code Review, which, some Panel members felt, may have been the right approach in the first instance.

- 4.7 ELEXON confirmed that it had written to other Code Administrators following receipt of Ofgem's letters. The Panel noted that ELEXON already attends other Code bodies and that it would look again at how it might build on this including the extent to which processes, powers and responsibilities exist that might enable Code Administrators to influence the pace of change across Codes. ELEXON was asked to consider the matter further and report back to the Panel in the near future.
- 4.8 The Ofgem Representative noted the Panel's views and agreed to feed these views back to colleagues. LN welcomed the comments of those Panel members who considered that the industry's approach should be constructive, as this is consistent with the intent of Ofgem's open letter. LN noted the long held industry position that industry is best placed to deliver industry code change and noted that Ofgem agrees industry has an important role to play in delivering coordinated change; this is why Ofgem's open letter asked industry and Panels to consider ways to achieve this. LN noted that one Panel member had mentioned ECCAF and acknowledged that this is a useful example of how the industry can co-ordinate change by encouraging engagement with all Code Panels, noting there may be other examples. LN confirmed that Ofgem would be happy to engage with industry on industry proposals to deliver more co-ordinated change.
- 4.9 The Panel clarified that it was the responsibility of Panel members to determine whether there was sufficient clarity regarding any related changes under other Codes (e.g. DCP179) and agreed that a monthly update would benefit the Panel and provide further insight from a distribution perspective ensuring that this matter and the status of such changes remains as a Panel focus.
- 4.10 The BSC Panel:
- NOTED** the direction from the Authority to the BSC Panel to consult on a revised proposed Implementation Date for P272;
 - NOTED** that the Authority recommends the BSC Panel defer any such consultation until there is clarity on the timetable for DCP179; and
 - AGREED** to defer any industry consultation on a revised Implementation Date for P272 until the Panel has clarity on the expected timetable and implementation approach for DCP179.
 - AGREED** to review this on a monthly basis.

5. **P299 'Allow National Grid access to Metering System Metered Consumption data to support the DSBR service' – 221/05**



Approved Minutes

- 5.1 P299 Initial Written Assessment proposed changes to allow the Transmission Company to gain access to Suppliers' Metering System Metered Consumption data, which is provided by Half Hourly Data Collectors to Half Hourly Data Aggregators. The data is required to support the validation of submitted tender data and to process the settlement of payments for the delivery of the new Demand Side Balancing Reserve service.
- 5.2 A Panel member enquired whether the Modification Workgroup's Terms of Reference (TOR) covered the protection, security and confidentiality provisions relating to data handling. The Panel member requested an amendment to the TORs to insert a point relating to data protection and security.
- 5.3 A Panel member offered that several questions need to be addressed when regarding how related energy and cash-out impacts are treated. They suggested that the Workgroup's TOR also include consideration of the impacts and potential solutions for addressing imbalance volumes that might otherwise result from actions taken by customers in response to the Demand Side Balancing Reserve service, given that Suppliers may have no visibility of this activity and have no way of responding after gate closure.
- 5.4 The Panel Chairman encouraged National Grid to ensure that the relationship with Suppliers remains transparent throughout the process.
- 5.5 A Panel member queried if these matters might be better addressed via a separate Modification proposal and offered that P299 may be worth progressing as a Self-Governance Modification. However, on balance, the Panel agreed the Workgroup should look at these matters.
- 5.6 National Grid assured the Panel that its main aim is to remain transparent throughout the process.
- 5.7 The Panel noted the aspiration to return in April with an Assessment Report but stressed that it was important that the Workgroup complete its assessment fully and that, if it needed longer, the Panel should be ready to accommodate this, given it was being invited to agree the Proposer's request for a shorter assessment period than usual. ELEXON confirmed that it would keep the Panel informed of progress and whether the timetable needed amending.
- 5.8 The BSC Panel:
 - a) **DETERMINED** that Modification Proposal P299 be progressed to the Assessment Procedure;
 - b) **AGREED** the proposed Assessment Procedure timetable such that an Assessment Report should be completed and submitted to the Panel at its meeting on 10 April 2014;
 - c) **DETERMINED** that the P299 Workgroup should be formed from members of Suppliers and Supplier Agents (specifically HHDCs & HHDAs), along with any other interested parties; and
 - d) **AGREED** the Workgroup's Terms of Reference to include additional comments made by the BSC Panel.

6. P297 'Receipt and Publication of New and Revised Dynamic Data' – 221/06



Approved Minutes

- 6.1 P297 sought to ensure that the Dynamic Data Set published in the BSC and on the Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service reflects the revised and new Dynamic Data sent by the Transmission Company.
- 6.2 The Panel noted the consultation comments received and the recommendations of the Workgroup. The Panel had no further comments.
- 6.3 The BSC Panel:
 - a) **AGREED** a recommendation that P297 should be approved;
 - b) **AGREED** an Implementation Date for P297 of 5 November 2015 if an Authority decision is received on or before 16 February 2015;
 - c) **AGREED** the draft legal text and NETA IDD Part 1 and NETA IDD Part 2 redlining for P297;
 - d) **AGREED** that P297 is submitted to the Report Phase; and
 - e) **NOTED** that ELEXON will issue the P297 draft Modification Report (including the draft BSC legal text and draft redlined text for NETA IDD Part 1 and NETA IDD Part 2) for a 15 Working Day consultation and will present the results to the Panel at its meeting on 20 March 2014.

7. Approval of amendments to BSCP201 for Approved Modification P276 – 221/07

- 7.1 The Panel was invited to approve changes to BSCP201 as required to implement Approved Modification P276.
- 7.2 The Panel noted the consultation comments received and had no further comments.
- 7.3 The BSC Panel:
 - a) **AUTHORISED** the amendments to BSCP201 with an effective date of 31 March 2014.

8. Issue 49 'Change of Measurement Class (CoMC) process for Advanced Meters' Final Report – 221/08

- 8.1 The Final Report set out the discussions, conclusions and recommendations of the Issue 49 Group. The Group recommended that some changes could be raised as a result of this Issue. The Panel was invited to note the Group's views and that Issue 49 was now closed.
- 8.2 The Panel had no further comments.
- 8.3 The BSC Panel:
 - a) **NOTED** the Issue 49 Group's discussions and conclusions;
 - b) **NOTED** the Group's recommendation that CPs will be raised to address the issues identified; and



Approved Minutes

- c) **NOTED** that Issue 49 was now closed.

Non-Modification Business: Open Session

9. Minutes of Meeting 220 & Actions Arising - Verbal

- 9.1 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed and an update on the actions provided.
- 9.2 The Chairman updated on open Panel Action 218/01 for ELEXON to set up a workshop for Panel members to discuss the findings of the Knight Report in early in 2014. The Chair confirmed that due to a busy March Panel meeting that a time after the 20th March would be suitable.

10. Chairman's Report - Verbal

- 10.1 The Chairman referred to an agreeable exploratory meeting with the new Chairman of Ofgem.
- 10.2 The Chairman updated the Panel that the appointment for an Independent Panel Member is in process and is hopeful that Panel members would be contacted regarding the appointment in the following week in time for April's Panel meeting.

11. ELEXON Report – 221/01

- 11.1 Peter Haigh updated the Panel on ELEXON's recent developments and activities and highlighted the Trading Dispute Rectification plans for DA628. It was confirmed that all parties involved had been contacted and next steps in the process are to be reported on next month.
- 11.2 A Panel member requested to know the number of Parties affected by Trading Dispute DA628. ELEXON agreed to return with more information

ACTION 221/01

- 11.3 Victoria Moxham updated the Panel on recent developments in smart metering and smarter markets providing the Panel with further detail on DECC's consultation responses on consequential changes to the DCC Licence. The changes are to take effect by March 2014.
- 11.4 For further details, please see the [ELEXON Report](#).

12. Distribution Report - Verbal

- 12.1 There was no Distribution report.

13. National Grid Report - Verbal



Approved Minutes

13.1 There was no National Grid Report.

14. Ofgem Report – Verbal

14.1 There was no report from Ofgem.

15. Report from the ISG – 221/01a

15.1 The Panel Secretary asked the Panel if it would like to re-instate the monthly Panel updates from the Panel Sponsors, as opposed to the current ad-hoc process. The Panel agreed that updates on an ad-hoc basis provided more timely views of relevant matters and confirmed that the present ad-hoc approach should remain going forward.

15.2 The Panel noted the report from the ISG.

16. Report from the SVG – 221/01b

16.1 The Panel noted the report from the SVG.

17. Report from the PAB – 221/01c

17.1 The PAB Sponsor updated the Panel on the PAB's concern regarding implementation of the original timetable envisaged for P272, as there was a risk of a bottleneck of change. BB commented that the proposed delay to P272 should allow more time for transition and that the PAB would examine the revised proposal in more detail.

17.2 The Panel noted the report from the PAB.

18. Report from the TDC – 221/01d

18.1 The Panel noted the report from the TDC.

19. Report from the ECCAF – Verbal

19.1 Peter Bolitho updated the Panel on recent ECCAF ¹discussions regarding existing codes and processes.

19.2 A Panel member noted that the Smart Energy Code (SEC) Panel was not part of ECCAF and observed that this was probably fine for the moment since any changes would cascade via DECC given the current status of the SEC.

1) ¹ Meeting Note to GB Panels: <http://www2.nationalgrid.com/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=31515>



Approved Minutes

19.3 PBO thanked ELEXON's Steve Wilkin for giving the ECCAF further insight into the EU obligations of the BSC and informed the Panel that the upcoming discussions could be challenging.²

19.4 The Panel noted the report from the ECCAF.

20. **Trading Operations: BSC Operations Headline Report – 221/02**

20.1 The Panel noted the report from BSC Operations.

21. **Change Report – 221/03**

21.1 The Panel noted the Change Report.

22. **Approval of the Business Strategy 2014/15 – 221/09**

22.1 The Panel was provided with a summary of industry feedback on the draft Business Plan. The Panel was invited to consider the comments on the Business Plan and approve the BSCCo Business Strategy. It was noted that the ELEXON Board would subsequently be asked to approve the Budget.

22.2 Victoria Moxham updated the Panel that one response had been received (from Npower) regarding the 2014/15 Business Plan. A Panel member asked why the response from Npower had been discounted. VM confirmed that the response had not been discounted and had been considered carefully; together with additional feedback offered verbally from BSC Parties that ELEXON had contacted in order to confirm that they had no comments that they wished to submit.. The Panel noted that ELEXON had also contacted NPower to discuss the response and the Executive team and the BSCCo Board had agreed to write to NPower.

22.3 A Panel member referred to the comments on Operational Budget and queried the proposed increase.

22.4 Peter Haigh referenced savings that had been made in previous years in this area and the Panel noted that the budget section provided an explanation of this increase which had been discussed with NPower.

22.5 The Panel concluded that no changes were required to the Business Plan as presented, however, it was later agreed that a minor amendment should be made to the text regarding the development of the BMRS since the Panel had subsequently approved that the development should progress. The Panel agreed that the text be updated to reflect this decision (See paper 221/13)

22.6 The BSC Panel:

a) **NOTED** the comments received on the Business Plan;

2) ² Headline Report: <http://www2.nationalgrid.com/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=31600>



Approved Minutes

- b) **APPROVED** the Business Strategy; and
- c) **NOTED** that the Board will be asked to approve the budget to deliver this strategy.

23. Appointment of Panel Committee Chairman by the BSC Panel – 221/10

23.1 The Panel was invited to approve the appointment of new chairman for the Trading Disputes Committee.

23.2 The Panel had no further comment.

23.3 The BSC Panel:

- a) **APPROVED** the appointment of Keith Campion as the new permanent TDC chairman from 6 March 2014.

24. Review of Specified Charges – 221/11

24.1 The Panel reviewed the BSC Specified Charges as set out in Section D of the BSC. ELEXON observed there was not a strong case for an increase or a reduction in charges and recommended that no change should be made to the current BSC Specified Charges.

24.2 The Panel had no further comment.

24.3 The BSC Panel:

- a) **APPROVED** the proposal that no changes will be applied to the current Specified Charges.

25. Trading Disputes Process – 221/15

25.1 The Panel was provided with details on an identified discrepancy between BSC and BSCP processes for authorising run dates for Post-Final Settlement Runs and Extra-Settlement Determinations.

25.2 The Panel had no further comment.

25.3 The BSC Panel:

- a) **APPROVED** to delegate authority to the Trading Disputes Committee for the process detailed in BSC Section W Paragraph 4.2.2; and
- b) **APPROVED** the retrospective approval of all Post-Final Settlement Runs and Extra-Settlement Determinations that have been performed, as a result of a TDC determination, since November 2010.



Approved Minutes

26. Delivering a modern Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service - Post Industry Consultation – 221/13

- 26.1 The Panel noted the main findings of the recent industry consultation for delivering a modern BMRS. It noted the comments received, ELEXON's response to the issues raised and, in line with the provisions of BSC Section F4.2.1 considered whether to progress the redevelopment of the BMRS.
- 26.2 A Panel member agreed that good communication had been had with larger parties but felt that a view from smaller parties who don't use or even know about BMRS should be sought. ELEXON updated that it had received substantial feedback from smaller parties through the survey. ELEXON had also visited the Small Suppliers Forum and received positive feedback on this engagement. ELEXON reported that it will provide regular updates to the ISG and Small Suppliers' Forum going forward. ELEXON also plans to provide timely education and guidance to industry for the associated changes.
- 26.3 The Consumer Representative added that ELEXON had made significant progress in a transparent format and had followed a clear timetable effectively. ELEXON furthered that it intends to publish timescales as early as possible to enable all Parties to have time to make consequential changes to their systems. The phased approach will stagger the implementation to limit the amount of change at each phase.
- 26.4 A Panel member pointed out that if the consultation had included the budget for the new platform then even more responses would have been received.
- 26.5 Chris Rowell gave the Panel an insight into recent BSCCo Board discussions on the BMRS process and informed the Panel of the Board's endorsement of the new platform. CR continued that due to the large amounts of data being entered into the market on a daily basis, customers are constantly seeking a faster and cheaper proposal to access this data. ELEXON clarified that a significant amount of money would be required to update the old platform in order to deliver an effective service therefore introducing a new platform to eliminate the current operational issues would be advisable. A Panel member requested confirmation of costs for the new platform. CR explained that the effort costs for developing the new platform and implementing P291 and P295 on that platform were less than the cost for implementing P291 and P295 on the existing platform. ELEXON confirmed that the cost is already factored into the business plan therefore no further costs are associated.
- 26.6 CR confirmed that ELEXON is still negotiating with service providers to ensure it can reduce the cost further including the licensing cost associated with the new solution.
- 26.7 A Panel member sought clarification on the provisions of BSC Section F4.2.1. ELEXON noted that the BSC obligation requires BSC Panel approval for changes to BSC systems, even where they do not require modification of the BSC or a Code Subsidiary Documents. CR requested that the Panel note that, on the grounds of efficiency, ELEXON would not seek the Panel's approval of every defect fix or software upgrade. The Panel agreed that this was a sensible approach.
- 26.8 The BSC Panel:



Approved Minutes

- a) **NOTED** the comments received from the 10 respondents and ELEXON responses to the issues raised;
- b) **NOTED** that the ELEXON Board had considered the options and sanctioned redevelopment of BMRS using a phased approach;
- c) **APPROVED** the redevelopment of BMRS using a phased approach; and
- d) **ENCOURAGED** BSC Parties to further engage with ELEXON in progressing with the solution.

27. Update on Electricity Market Reform – 221/14

- 27.1 Mark Bygraves referenced the EMR Panel Update paper and noted the following additional updates since its publication:
- Code and Licence changes consultation – no responses had yet been forwarded by DECC but this was not unexpected as the closing date was 13 February 2014.
 - EMR System Interfaces – this consultation had now been published on 11 February and that responses were due by 7 March 2014.
 - Engagement generally – as well as the engagement listed, ELEXON was continuing its own meetings with industry.
 - Financial regulations - ELEXON confirmed that DECC has all the relevant Legal Advice it requires for discussions to commence with the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) at the end of February 2014.
- 27.2 A Panel member questioned the reference to the BSCCo Board's decision to establish an EMR subsidiary given that the consultation on Code changes had not yet closed. MB confirmed that the Board had authorised the incorporation of the subsidiary though this hadn't happened yet and he said he would be surprised if any consultation responses suggested that a subsidiary should not be established for EMR activities. However a Panel member indicated that they were aware of recent discussions elsewhere which had concluded that EMR activities should be undertaken within BSCCo and explained this was based on (i) the consultation document provided little rationale for this approach, (ii) concerns over Paragraph 10.2.1 making reference to commercial terms, similar to the subsidiary model previously discussed by the Issue 40 group and (iii) that Grid was not incorporating a subsidiary and that Warm Homes was undertaken within BSCCo. MB explained (i) that a separate subsidiary enabled risks and liabilities to be ring fenced from BSCCo which he believed was consistent with all previous Panel comments and (ii) that Grid's shareholders bore the risks of Grid's EMR activities whereas for BSCCo that would be BSC Parties and as for Warm Homes, the risks for BSCCo were minimal.
- 27.3 The Chairman repeated the rationale about separation of risk from BSCCo by providing EMR activities via a separate subsidiary. It was agreed that DECC's consultation document had provided little explanation for the proposed changes and that MB would circulate a note around all Panel members regarding subsidiaries.



Approved Minutes

ACTION 221/02

27.4 The BSC Panel:

- a) **NOTED** the EMR Update.

28. Any Other Business

28.1 There was no other business in the Open Session.

Non-Modification Business: Closed Session

29. Update on Electricity Market Reform – Attachment A - 221/14a

29.1 There were no questions on the EMR update Attachment A.

29.2 The BSC Panel:

- a) **NOTED** the update.

30. Non-compliant energisation of a new circuit – 221/12

30.1 A circuit was energised before all Settlement requirements were completed meaning that for a time data wasn't being entered into Settlement. Registration was completed retrospectively and Settlement was corrected at the First Reconciliation (R1) Run meaning that there is no on-going impact on Settlement.

30.2 The BSC Panel:

- a) **NOTED** the report.

(Michael Gibbons stepped down as Panel Chairman for agenda item 31 and Dick Brealey took over)

31. Update on Trading Dispute DA618 – Verbal

31.1 Adam Richardson updated the BSC Panel on the arrangements for the Referral of Trading Dispute DA618 (currently scheduled for March 2014).

31.2 The BSC Panel:

- a) **NOTED** the update.

32. Section H Default Update – 221/16



Approved Minutes

32.1 A Company, through non-payment of BS^CCo charges, is in Section H Default. At the 9 January 2014 meeting the BSC Panel recommended that the Company be expelled from the BSC, the recommendation was published for consultation. Paper 221/16 provided the Panel with information on the outstanding charges and recommended that the BSC Panel serve an Expulsion Notice.

32.2 The BSC Panel:

- a)** **NOTED** the Authority's comments regarding the expulsion of the Company from the BSC; and
- b)** **AGREED** to serve an Expulsion Notice to the Company on the 13 February 2014 with an expulsion date of 13 March 2014.

33. Any Other Business

33.1 There was no other business in the Closed Session.