HEADLINE REPORT

MEETING NAME	BMRS User Group Meeting 1

Meeting number	2014/01
Date of meeting	09 April 2014
Classification	Public

ATTENDEES

Paul Coates	RWE
Chris Steele	EUK
Adrian Bullock	Trayport Contigo
Dave Richardson	DRAX Power
Paul Pettitt	Electralink
Richard Cannock	EDF Energy
Graham Bunt	EDF Energy
George Lear	EDF Energy
Mark Taylor	Vuepoint Solutions
Damon Hari Davis	Exnet
Nick Haines	Good Energy
Zaahir Ghanty	ELEXON
Steve Francis	ELEXON
Mike McCloskey	ELEXON
Nic Hanekon	ELEXON
Will Hulse	Cognizant
Andy Howden	CGI

1. Introduction

ELEXON covered an overview of the project and delivery implementation phases. A question was asked about migration of historical data would date back to NETA go live – BMRS is going to migrate 3 years of historical data as it is currently. Transparency data will be held for five years.

2. API requirements

This covered:

- An overview of API services that will be available during Phase 1 (restful web service)

- An example of the URL for the API

- **Suggestion** was made that 'last hour' could be part of the parameters in the URL as participants wouldn't want duplicated data being pulled from their previous request. Also include the timestamp

ELE**X**ON

- The group wanted clear information on how to retrieve and use data from the API and giving the group early sight of the design.
- **Suggestions** about allowing participants to have a test account
- There were some concerns about having APIs across users particularly after those users changes organisation and consideration to be given to how we can make API keys associated to "applications" within an organisation
- **Agreed** the format has to be CSV, XML. No particular objections against JSON file.

3.   TIBCO requirement

P295 data will be sent via XML to TIBCO users. Suggestion was made whether to send this data with EIC rather than BM Units as this will enable participants to access the ENTSO-E XSDs to configure their systems. This will avoid transformation of the data and ensure the flows are sent to TIBCO users immediately. There was one user who saw benefits in this approach as he would maintain the BM unit – EIC mapping information locally. Another user believed this approach would mean an extra process for participant and suggestion this is consulted with wider industry.

It was clarified data on BMRS website will include EIC and BM unit.

Suggestion was made to make the BMU-EIC data available via the API.

It was also confirmed that daily snapshot on the ELEXON portal will not be available for new data items as the XML is not supported and will need to be requested via the API.

4.   WEB UI

REMIT

General observations were that the website looked good as it mirrored Nordpool which is viewed as best practice. Suggestion that affected area may not be a relevant filtering for GM market and by BM Unit might be more relevant.

Volumetrics: One user highlighted that that they might be issuing REMIT messages at a rate of one per minute for each of their BM units on a bad day. Another thought they might issue 20,000 in a day.

Suggestion to include 'boiler plate' message about REMIT regulations on the REMIT webpage.

Action: To include a separate workshop to discuss REMIT issues

Also recognise the lack of consistency between participants into how they fulfilled their REMIT obligations as some participants submit in greater detail. Emphasis was made on the ability to display follow up messages. There was a suggestion that Participants themselves should supply their own message ID within their submission (as part of the XSD) so that they can easily follow up on any message they have issued. That was received positively by the group.

Action: To provide users with the REMIT XSDs

ELEXON confirmed they will not be rationalising REMIT and OC2 data and these will be treated separately.

Action: Organise next meeting for middle of May.