

# HEADLINE REPORT

---

|                     |                        |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| <b>MEETING NAME</b> | Profiling Expert Group |
|---------------------|------------------------|

---

|                       |    |
|-----------------------|----|
| <b>Meeting number</b> | 16 |
|-----------------------|----|

|                        |                 |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>Date of meeting</b> | 13 January 2016 |
|------------------------|-----------------|

|                         |             |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| <b>Purpose of paper</b> | Information |
|-------------------------|-------------|

|                       |        |
|-----------------------|--------|
| <b>Classification</b> | Public |
|-----------------------|--------|

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| <b>Synopsis</b> | This report sets out the headlines and key decisions of the PEG16 meeting. |
|-----------------|--|

---

## 1. The PEG's recommendation on Technical Product Deliverables (TPDs) for Spring, Summer & High Summer 2015/16

ELEXON provided the PEG with its validation and analysis results on the new TPDs. The PEG reviewed the TPDs and had no further comments.

The PEG:

- a) **RECOMMENDED** to the SVG that the new TPDs should be used for Spring, Summer & High Summer 2016.

## 2. The PEG's recommendation on recalculated Average Fraction of Yearly Consumption (AFYC), GSP Group Average EAC (GAE) and GSP Group Default EAC values (GDE)

ELEXON provided the PEG with its validation and analysis results on the recalculated AFYC, GAE and GDE values. The PEG:

- a) **RECOMMENDED** to the SVG that the recalculated values that passed and validation AFYC, GDE and GAE values should be updated in MDD; and
- b) **RECOMMENDED** to the SVG that one recalculated GDE that narrowly failed validation and its associated GAE values should be updated in MDD.

## 3. Review of the Smoothing Parameter

ELEXON noted to the PEG that it does not have the required granularity of data to conduct meaningful impact analysis on changing SPAR value to 1 and invited the PEG to consider whether their companies can provide such data to help with the analysis. The PEG:

- a) **AGREED** to consider provision of data to ELEXON to facilitate SPAR review analysis;
- b) **AGREED** that PEG members will investigate the ability to access meter reading data from their companies to aid any review; and
- c) **AGREED** to further consider and develop the review methodology before making a recommendation to the SVG.