

CP Consultation Responses

CP1480 'Creation of a new authorisation category for corrections to BOA Related Data'



This CP Consultation was issued on 9 January 2017 as part of CPC00773, with responses invited by 3 February 2017.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non-Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
OneSelect Limited	1/0	Supplier
Scottish Power	1/0	Generator

Summary of Consultation Responses

Respondent	Agree?	Impacted?	Costs?	Impl. Date?
OneSelect Limited	✓	✗	✗	✓
Scottish Power	✗	✓	✓	✗

Question 1: Do you agree with the CP1480 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	Yes	I'm not convinced that it will solve the problem in total but it will improve for the better
Scottish Power	No	<p>CP1480 proposes an overly bureaucratic process while the initial view from the SVG suggests several less onerous alternatives.</p> <p>Although the consultation suggests that there are minimal incidents per month, our Settlements team are regularly involved in providing corrections and respond within appropriate timescales.</p> <p>It is unclear why this would be a greater benefit than the current method.</p>

Question 2: Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the CP1480 proposed solution?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

A summary of the specific responses on the draft redlining can be found at the end of this document.

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	Yes	
Scottish Power	No	<p>While the changes might provide a point of contact, there is a lack of clarity on whether a single point of contact would be sufficient. Given that BOAs are a 24/7 operational issue, would it be necessary to provide sufficient Category E nominations to cover the full operational period?</p> <p>Over and above this, it creates an additional unnecessary level of complication in the event of maintaining this point of contact for planned and unplanned absences.</p>

Question 3: Do you believe that it is necessary or desirable to restrict who can authorise corrections to BOA Related Data?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	Yes	I believe this process can only be maintained in combination with strict authorisation
Scottish Power	No	We do not agree that additional controls are necessary and agree with ELEXON that there is no need to restrict consent for BOA data corrections to any particular single individual.

Question 4: Will CP1480 impact your organisation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	No	We are new in the market and don't have any practical experience regarding this matter
Scottish Power	Yes	There would be an increased burden on the Settlements team to maintain and provide cover for the authorised person.

Question 5: Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1480?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	No	We are making the adequate precautions while setting up our system
Scottish Power	Yes	There would be an increased cost as the Settlements team provide cover for the authorised person. These would be ongoing costs and are difficult to quantify given the ambiguous requirement.

Question 6: Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1480?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	Yes	I think this will resolve everything but it's an improvement
Scottish Power	No	There are other less cumbersome and bureaucratic options highlighted by ELEXON, the SVG and ISG.

Question 7: Do you believe there are any potential alternative solutions (e.g. those discussed by the ISG and SVG as detailed in Section 5 of the Consultation Paper) that would provide a better solution than the one proposed by CP1480?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	1	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
OneSelect Limited	No	There are alternatives but they are not better in my opinion
Scottish Power	Yes	Any process that doesn't require a formal Authorised person would provide a better solution in our opinion. There should be no doubt that the initial SVG suggestion where the TC creates its own contact list outside the BSC would be significantly more straightforward.

Question 8: Do you have any further comments on CP1480?

Summary

Yes	No
0	2

Responses

Respondent	Response	Comments
OneSelect Limited	No	
Scottish Power	No	

BSCP18

No responses.

BSCP38

No responses.