|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| request for information | | |
| TITLE | | Performance Assurance Framework Review – Data Provision |
| Date | 5 October 2018 | |
| To | | PAF Stakeholders |
| From | | PAF Review |
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# Measuring Settlement Risk

The Risk Evaluation Register (RER) sets out Settlement Risks, which are risks of any failure or error in a process required under the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) that may impact (or has impacted) Settlement.

The current RER, available on the BSC website[[1]](#footnote-1), is a key component of the Performance Assurance Framework (PAF). An objective of the PAF Review[[2]](#footnote-2) is to deliver a refreshed risk register which will come into effect on 1 April 2019. In order to better monitor Settlement Risks and assess the effectiveness of our techniques, we are reviewing the current data provisions which underpin our current framework.

# Data Provision

During the scoping phase of the PAF Review[[3]](#footnote-3), almost all stakeholders recognised that data provision was critical to the effectiveness of the PAF, and that many of the issues relating to the current framework are at least partly due to current methods of provisioning data; limiting our capacity to assess and quickly adapt our response to Settlement Risk.

# Request for Information

Question 1

We would like to ask Performance Assurance Parties and other stakeholders to tell us about the performance-related reports your organisation receive through the PAF, and specifically, how your organisation utilise these reports, detailing its benefits and limitations. Further comments regarding the quality of the backing data would allow us to identify deficiencies with current data sources.

Question 2

Please detail alternative data sources (not currently utilised for BSC Performance Assurance purposes) which your organisation submits data to or receives data from that could provide further insight for Performance Assurance.

**Please complete in MS Word and send to us electronically at** [**PAFReview@elexon.co.uk**](mailto:PAFReview@elexon.co.uk) **by close of play on Friday 26 October 2018**.

If you would like to discuss or clarify what is required please email us at [PAFReview@elexon.co.uk](mailto:PAFReview@elexon.co.uk) and we can respond by email or arrange a call if preferred.

**NOTE - The information provided will be anonymised and treated confidentially**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Party Name: |  |
| Party Role\*: |  |

\*(Supplier, Meter Operator etc. If you are multi-role please list those that are relevant)

Question 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Report Name** | **Comments** |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |

Question 2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Data / Report Name** | **Data Source Provider / Collector** | **Description of Data** (Content/Format/Frequency/Granularity) | **Purpose**  How does your organisation use the data? |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |

1. <https://www.elexon.co.uk/reference/performance-assurance/performance-assurance-processes/> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. <https://www.elexon.co.uk/reference/performance-assurance/performance-assurance-framework-review/> [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. <https://www.elexon.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/27_264_07_PAF_Review_Scope_Approach_Approval_PUBLIC.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-3)