



## ACTIONS

---

**Meeting name** P379 Workgroup

**Meeting number** 5

**Date and time** 27 June 2019

**Actions from** Workgroup

**Venue** ELEXON

**Classification** Public

---



| No | Meeting no     | Action  | Owner  | Action update  | Status      |
|----|----------------|---|--------|--|-------------|
| 1  | WG1 - 20190227 | Write up how: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• P379 can facilitate Supplier's billing arrangements</li> <li>• Network charging arrangements could be impacted</li> <li>• The proposal will work on Prepayment meters</li> </ul> | ELEXON | 2019/04/03 - To be discussed at part of use case scenarios and Ofgem presentation<br>Items to be discussed at future meeting- See Meeting plan | In progress |
| 2  | WG1 - 20190227 | Look at the legal requirements for licenced and unlicensed Suppliers.   | ELEXON | 2019/04/03 – To be discussed WG9 - Legal Text Review   | In progress |
| 3  | WG1 - 20190227 | Write up how the proposal will be communicated to the industry focusing on the industry's understanding of the proposal   | ELEXON | 2019/04/03 - In progress   | In progress |
| 4  | WG1 - 20190227 | Write up the legal implications of the change – check the legal impact against the Electricity Act. This should be done before developing the solution.   | ELEXON | 2019/04/03 - To be discussed WG9 - Legal Text Review   | In progress |



| No | Meeting no                 | Action  | Owner  | Action update                             | Status      |
|----|----------------------------|---|--------|---|-------------|
| 5  | <b>WG2 – 20190403 (01)</b> | <p>The WG requested that the term 'materially' be clarified. The undermentioned items should also be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Imbalance risks and position</li> <li>• Contract Notification – to specify who is responsible for what.</li> <li>• Exempt Supplier notification process</li> <li>• What could happen if a Supplier of Last Resort (SoRL) occurred?<br/>Primary and Secondary Suppliers will have different responsibilities. Neutralisation could be an issue because an exempt Supplier may not be impacted by SoLR.</li> <li>• Battery storage or generators arrangements</li> </ul> | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG4 and WG5 | In progress |
| 6  | <b>WG2 – 20190403 (02)</b> | <p>On potential new business models, the WG requested that ELEXON clarify the proposed nature of the CNA, whether it will be a Party Agent, central Agent or BSC Party. The proposer noted that the functional role of the HHDC and CNA is not very different. Fundamentally, someone will perform the data collection role. It is important to know the different party responsibilities.</p>  | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG6 and 7   | In progress |



| No | Meeting no                 | Action  | Owner  | Action update   | Status      |
|----|----------------------------|---|--------|---|-------------|
| 7  | <b>WG2 – 20190403 (03)</b> | The WG advised that with multiple readers on site it is important to clarify the below on meter information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Who owns the meter information</li> <li>• Who the information is relevant to</li> <li>• Who will receive information</li> <li>• Who is responsible for reading the meter</li> </ul> | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG6                         | In progress |
| 8  | <b>WG2 – 20190403 (05)</b> | The WG will need to look at the CNA governance process. There needs to be effective monitoring of the CNA/HHDC.   | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG6                         | In progress |
| 9  | <b>WG2 – 20190403 (07)</b> | The WG requested that ELEXON look at the P375 and P379 assessment timelines.  | ELEXON | 20190521 – Updated P375 plan to be circulated to P379 WG. | In progress |



| No | Meeting no          | Action  | Owner  | Action update   | Status      |
|----|---------------------|---|--------|---|-------------|
| 10 | WG2 – 20190403 (08) | <p>ELEXON took an action to further develop the Use Case considering how Exempt Suppliers forecast what they are going to generate so they can mitigate exposure to imbalance costs. The secondary Supplier should have liability for imbalance, the nature of this liability to be determined in future workgroup discussions. The solution should also:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include a number of functions the Exempt Suppliers would need for arrangements to be fulfilled</li> <li>• Be clear on what the Exempt Suppliers is being classified as under the BSC.</li> <li>• Specify how contract notifications could work.</li> </ul> | ELEXON | 20190521 – Discussed at WG5 – further clarification on Exempt Supply to be provided at future meetings. | In progress |
| 11 | WG2 – 20190403 (09) | In considering the P379 solution, Ofgem is to clarify whether different signals should be sent to the different Suppliers at a premise.   | OFGEM  | 20190521 – In progress  | In progress |
| 12 | WG3 – 20190418 (01) | The group noted that there are ongoing discussions and project work on the Future of Retail Markets. Ofgem offered to provide an update on ongoing discussions and take questions on this.  | OFGEM  | 20190521 – In progress  | In progress |



| No | Meeting no          | Action   | Owner  | Action update   | Status      |
|----|---------------------|--|--------|---|-------------|
| 13 | WG3 – 20190418 (03) | <b>Address who has the priority if customers buy more energy than they need from multiple suppliers</b> –This is a commercial issue. The WG members acknowledged they will need explore simple use case to see what works. Future use cases could look at more than one community energy scheme or secondary Supplier implicating roles and responsibilities and the Customer Notification Agent (CNA) role. | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG6   | In progress |
| 14 | WG3 – 20190418 (04) | <b>What happens if generated volume is greater than consumed?</b> - ELEXON explained that this can be a risk where the CNA is notifying the absolute volume. This is complex to resolve and needs to be addressed as part of the solution.   | ELEXON | 20190521 – to be discussed at WG4 and future meetings.                    | In progress |
| 15 | WG3 – 20190418 (05) | A member volunteered to present on current Community Energy Scheme arrangements and ongoing activities as this will need be addressed in the P379 solution. The proposer is happy to have further discussions on community energy schemes.   | ELEXON | 20190521 – In progress – Added to Meeting plan for future WG discussions. | In progress |



| No | Meeting no          | Action  | Owner  | Action update | Status      |
|----|---------------------|---|--------|---------------|-------------|
| 17 | WG4 – 20190521 (02) | Balance Responsibility discussions to clarify <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the function will be performed through the Party Agent role</li> <li>• Clarify Class A requirements. Class A exempt supply does not allow parties to sale more than generated</li> <li>• Clarification on exempt supply licence and exempt generation licence. What are the party requirements under the BSC?</li> </ul> | ELEXON | In progress   | In progress |

**Completed**

| No | Meeting No | Action | Owner | Action Update | Status |
|----|------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|
|----|------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|



|   |                            |  |        |                                   |                              |
|---|----------------------------|--|--------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | <b>WG3 – 20190418 (02)</b> | <p><b>Line Loss Factor</b> – How will Line Loss Factors (LLFs) be allocated? Existing LLFs will be applied as part of the P379 solution. LLFs could be assigned back to the generator. A Workgroup member questioned how GCF and LLF would be assigned appropriately. ELEXON committed to bringing this for discussion at a further workgroup.</p> <p><b>Balance Responsibility</b> – To help understand balance responsibility the WG needs to look at more complex use cases. Including looking at consumer site spills in the context of LLF regime. The WG should ensure the solution can deal with complicated arrangements. ELEXON confirmed there will be a more detailed look at balance responsibility at following meetings.</p> | ELEXON | 20190521 – To be discussed at WG4 | Completed – discussed at WG4 |
|   |                            |  |        |                                   |                              |
|   |                            |  |        |                                   |                              |

